

**UNIVERSITY OF NEW BRUNSWICK
FREDERICTON CAMPUS SENATE MEETING**

The Fredericton Senate met at 4:00 p.m. on Tuesday, 21 November 2017 in Room 303, Tilley Hall. The President, H. E. A. (Eddy) Campbell, was in the chair, and the following members were present:

W. Albert	P. Evans	T. Mengel
I. Allen	C. Fernandez	D. Mitra
D. Amirault	O. Hamilton	N. O'Byrne
J. Andrews	C. Horne	L. Randall
L. Balcom	J. Hughes	D. Rendall
L. Benedicenti	V. Husain	J. Roy
S. Bergin	S. King	A. Sankey
S. Blair	J. Kleefeld	G. Saunders
D. Bremner	P. Kristmanson	J. Smyth
J. Brown	B. Leblon	C. Stacey
L. Calhoun	S. Machum	R. Tervo
C. Canitz	H. MacIsaac	M. Thomas
F. Collins	G. MacLean	A. Ward
E. Dalkir	D. MaGee	L. Waugh
S. DeVarenne	N. Mallory	K. Wilson
D. Duplessis	C. Mayes	J. Wright
J. Edwards	J. McEvoy	
K. Englehart	C. McGinn	

Also Present:

S. Cleave, Acting Assistant Vice-President Academic (Student Services)
M. McNeil, Acting Director and Senior Advisor, Office of the President
J. Redstone-Lewis, Associate University Secretary
N. Tracy, Contract Academic Instructor
Other members of the University community

Unable to Attend:

N. Betts	C. Diduch	J. Reid
C. Brown	R. Gorham	D. Russell
B. Benton	M. Grant-McGivney	P. Seaman
P. Cooling	R. MacKinnon	M. Walma
K. Cunningham	T. Needham	K. Weaver
Q. DeLong	L. O'Sullivan	

CONTENTS

Minute	Page
1. Announcements	4
2. Approval of the Meeting Agenda and Minutes of 17 October 2017	4
3. Business Arising	4
4. Consent Agenda	4
4.1 Activities of Saint John Senate	4
4.2 Report of the Nominating Committee	4
4.3 Report of the Undergraduate Scholarship Committee	5
4.4 Report of the School of Graduate Studies	5
4.5 Research Ethics Board	5
4.6 Certificate and Diploma Candidates	5
4.7 Report of the Senate Curriculum Committee	5
5. Question Period	6
5.1 Questions from Senator Brigitte Leblon	6
6. President's Report	6
6.1 November 2017 Report to Senates	6
7. Report of the Nominating Committee	7
7.1 Appointments to the Joint Nominating Committee for Office of the President	7
8. Report of the Academic Policy and Procedures Committee	8
8.1 Minutes of 14 November 2017	8
9. Report of the Teaching Awards Committee	9
9.1 Report of November 2017	9
10. Report of the Senate Academic Planning Committee	10
10.1 Minutes of 6 November 2017	10
10.2 Minutes of 13 November	10
10.3 Academic Planning at UNB	10
11. Adjunct Professor Policy	15
11.1 Petition to Review the Adjunct Appointment Policy	15
12. Other Business	15

ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICIAL COPY OF THE MINUTES

Materials which were before members and which are not attached as an Appendix to the circulated minutes, are listed below and are attached to the Official Copy of the Minutes in the University Secretariat.

Minute

1.	Announcements	2 pp.
3.	Additions to the Convocation List	1 pp.
4.1.1	Activities of 18 October Saint John Senate	2 pp.
4.2.1	17 November 2017 Minutes of Nominating Committee	4 pp.
4.3.1	November 2017 Undergraduate Scholarships Report and Annual Scholarships Report.....	7 pp.
4.4.1	Graduate Scholarships for November 2017.....	1 pp.
4.4.2	Honorary Research Associates for November 2017	3 pp.
4.4.3	Graduate Courses for November 2017	7 pp.
4.5.1	Research Ethics Board Appointments.....	6 pp.
4.6.1	Certificate and Diploma Candidates for November 2017.....	2 pp.
4.7.1	Curriculum Committee Report: Faculties of Computer Science and Renaissance College	8 pp.
5.1	Questions from Senator Brigitte Leblon	1 pp.
6.1	November 2017 President's Report.....	5 pp.
8.1	14 November 2017 Minutes of Academic Policy and Procedures.....	21 pp.
9.1	November 2017 Report of the Teaching Awards Committee	1 pp.
10.1	6 November 2017 Minutes of Academic Planning Committee	27 pp.
10.2	13 November 2017 Minutes of Academic Planning Committee	2 pp.
10.3	Academic Planning at UNB.....	29 pp.
11.1	Petition to Review Adjunct Appointment Policy.....	1 pp.

1. **Announcements**

1.1 **Announcements for 21 November 2017** (posted with agenda)

E. Campbell welcomed Senators to the meeting, noting that they were gathered on the traditional territory of the Wolastoqiyik. He referred members to the announcements that were posted with the agenda and noted that Senate would need to move into closed session for the discussion of item 9 Report of the Teaching Awards Committee.

2. **Approval of Meeting Agenda and Minutes**

2.1 **Minutes of 17 October 2017** (posted with agenda)

The President drew members' attention to the agenda for the meeting and the Minutes of 17 October 2017. Senator Leblon asked that Item 4.2.1 Minutes of the 17 November 2017 Meeting of the Nominating Committee be lifted from the Consent Agenda. Senator Husain asked that item 4.5.1 Appointments to the UNBF Research Ethics Board be lifted from the Consent Agenda. Senator Canitz asked that item 4.4.3 Graduate Courses be lifted from the Consent Agenda. Senator Leblon undertook to send the Secretary revised wording for page 10 of the Minutes of 17 October 2017.

MOTION 2, 1

MaGee/Hughes "That Senate approve the agenda for 21 November 2017, as amended, and the Minutes of 17 October 2017, as amended."

CARRIED

Secretary's Note:

Senator Leblon provided the following amendment to page 10 of the Minutes: "Senator Leblon expressed concern....should one of the **Senate Nominating Committee** members ~~selected~~ become a candidate **for the President's office** ~~in the search process.~~"

3. **Business Arising from the Minutes**

3.1 **Changes to the Graduation List for Convocation 2017** (posted with agenda)

S. Bergin noted the changes to the Graduation List for Convocation 2017.

4. **Consent Agenda**

4.1 **Activities of the Saint John Senate**

4.1.1 **Activities Report for 18 October 2017** (posted with agenda)

The Activities Report for Saint John Senate was received for information.

4.2 **Report of the Nominating Committee**

4.2.1 **Minutes of 17 November 2017** (posted with agenda)

This item was lifted from the Consent Agenda.

4. **Consent Agenda** (cont'd)

4.3 **Report of the Undergraduate Scholarships Committee**

4.3.1 **2017-18 Annual Report** (posted with agenda)

4.3.2 **November 2017 Report** (posted with agenda)

The Report of the Undergraduate Scholarships Committee was received for approval.

4.4 **Report of the School of Graduate Studies**

4.4.1 **Graduate Scholarships** (posted with agenda)

The Graduate Scholarships Report was received for approval.

4.4.2 **Honorary Research Associates for November 2017** (posted with agenda)

The list of Honorary Research Associates was received for approval.

4.4.3 **Graduate Courses** (attached)

This item was lifted from the Consent Agenda.

4.5 **Research Ethics Board**

4.5.1 **Appointments to the UNBF Research Ethics Board** (attached)

This item was lifted from the Consent Agenda.

4.6 **Certificate and Diploma Candidates**

4.6.1 **November 2017 Candidates** (attached)

The list of Certificate and Diploma Candidates for November 2017 was received for approval.

4.7 **Report of the Senate Curriculum Committee**

4.7.1 **Part I: Faculties of Computer Science and Renaissance College** (attached)

The Report of the Senate Curriculum Committee was received for approval.

MOTION 4, 1

MaGee/Mengel

“That Senate approve matters included in the consent agenda, from item 4.1.1 to 4.7.1, excluding items 4.2.1, 4.4.3 and 4.5.1.”

CARRIED

Senator Leblon asked that Item 4.2.1 Minutes of 17 November 2017 Nominating Committee meeting be lifted from the Consent Agenda. She noted that her name should be replaced with T. Needham as part of the regrets in the attendance section.

4. **Consent Agenda** (cont'd)

Senator Canitz asked if the graduate course descriptions in item 4.4.3 would be entered into the Graduate Calendar, noting that some course descriptions included parts of the text of the proposal. D. Rendall indicated that those errors could be fixed and C. Canitz undertook to send him a list of corrections.

Senator Husain spoke to item 4.5.1, asking about conflict of interest in reference to the appointment of a dean on the Research Ethics Board (REB). He suggested that deans on the REB would have additional opportunities for financial control of grant funding, representing a conflict of interest. D. MaGee noted that Deans do not have signing authority for tri-counsel grants but may over some private grants. E. Campbell indicated that, should conflict arise, it could be dealt with by the Board as it occurs. Senator Hughes noted that the membership of the Board requires a member from the Faculty of Law, noting that J. Kleefeld was the Dean in question. The President suggested that the appointment be temporarily approved, as the Dean could recuse himself should an issue arise where a conflict was felt to exist and that D. MaGee would look into the issue.

MOTION 4, 2 Albert/MaGee “That Senate approve matters included in the 17 November 2017 Minutes of the Nominating Committee, the Graduate Courses and the Recommendation for Appointment to the UNBF Research Ethics Board.”

CARRIED

J. Kleefeld abstained from the vote.

Secretary's Note: A response to the conversation regarding conflict of interest is appended to the Minutes.

5. **Question Period**

5.1 **Questions from Senator Brigitte Leblon** (posted with agenda)

Senator Leblon asked about the President's Task Force on Alternate Program Delivery. A written response was provided in the agenda package explaining that the Task Force would consult with the Senate before its report has been finalized. B. Leblon asked when Senate might expect to see a draft report. The President indicated that it would likely be a part of the agenda package for the January 2018 meetings of the Senates.

6. **President's Report**

6.1 **President's Report to the Senates November 2017** (posted with agenda)

The President drew members' attention to his November 2017 Report to the Senates posted with the agenda. He spoke to the continued work of the Truth and Reconciliation (TRC) task force, noting that Shelley Francis and David Perley are now co-chairing the task force. He noted that feedback collected regarding the administrative services review will be circulated to the University community in the New Year. Consultations regarding the framework for the

6. **President's Report** (cont'd)

6.1 **President's Report to the Senates November 2017** (cont'd)

process to create the University's next strategic plan will begin in early 2018. E. Campbell noted that he would not seek a third term as President and would step down in June 2019. He spoke to the task forces on Tuition Review and Alternate Delivery Programs, noting that work is continuing on these items.

S. Blair spoke to the administrative services review report, asking when conversations about the provost position would take place. The President indicated that the Vice-President (Administration and Finance) was working on the report and information would be made available once it was completed. Senator Leblon asked when the TRC ten point action plan would come to Senate for discussion. G. MacLean indicated that it would be discussed with the Board in December and the discussion at Senate would come through a task force on curriculum. Senators discussed the creation of a new strategic plan for the University. L. Waugh suggested that it might be an advantage to the incoming President to oversee the creation of the plan rather than be asked to implement a plan provided to him/her without any personal accountability or connection to it. The President indicated that the Board was discussing that issue, noting that a draft could be advantageous as candidates could be asked to provide their opinions on the draft as part of the interview process.

7. **Report of the Nominating Committee**

7.1 **Appointments to the Joint Nominating Committee for Office of the President**
(see item 4.2.1)

G. MacLean spoke to the comprehensive discussions held by the Nominating Committee regarding the appointment of two Senators to the Joint Nominating Committee for Office of President (JNC). He explained that, after comprehensive discussion, the Committee did not select a student Senator for recommendation to Fredericton Senate but instead was joining the Saint John Senate in its recommendation that the student voice be included on the Committee as non-voting members.

MOTION 7, 1

MacLean/Canitz "That Senate approve the appointment of Jennifer Andrews (Arts) and Viqar Husain (Science) as the Senate representatives on the Joint Nominating Committee for Office of the President."

Senator McGinn expressed disappointment with the Committee's decision, noting that the student perspective was important when considering the University's future and that non-voting status on the JNC was unfair, given the commitment required of Committee members. G. MacLean thanked C. McGinn for his comments and noted that the student voice, although non-voting, would be very important to the JNC. Senator Leblon suggested that the section of the **UNB Act** governing the membership of the JNC be amended to include broader representation of the UNB community. The President indicated that the matter could be added to the list of changes that the University was compiling. Senator O'Byrne suggested that the University could ask the Minister for Post-Secondary Education, Training and Labour to amend the **Act** as part of an omnibus bill to update it. Senator Balcom asked about diversity on the

7. **Report of the Nominating Committee** (cont'd)
7.1 **Appointments to the Joint Nominating Committee for Office of the President**
(cont'd)

Committee, noting that the two faculty members proposed were also elected to the Board as faculty members-at-large. Senator Andrews expressed concern about not including a student but noted the importance of the faculty voice in the search process. She noted that, as a potential member of the Committee, she would take the student perspective very seriously. L. Waugh noted that the Nominating Committee was also recommending that Senate recommend to the Committee that it provide for student participation.

MOTION 7, 1 was CARRIED.

G. MacLean drew attention to the recommendation from the Nominating Committee regarding student participation in the presidential search process.

MOTION 7, 2 MacLean/McGinn “That Senate approve the inclusion of one non-voting UNBF student as an advisor to the Joint Nominating Committee for Office of President.”

CARRIED

The Secretary noted that this advice from Senate would be provided to the Joint Nominating Committee.

8. **Report of the Academic Policy and Procedures Committee**
8.1 **Report of 14 November 2017** (posted with agenda)

G. MacLean drew members' attention to the 14 November 2017 report of the Academic Policy and Procedures Committee. The Committee met to discuss revisions to University-wide Academic regulations to update language around student accommodations and transcript requests, the Calendar of Academic Dates for 2018-19 and use of chosen name on University documents.

MOTION 8, 1 MacLean/Roy “That Senate approve the proposed revisions to Section III F of the University-wide Academic Regulations.”

CARRIED

MOTION 8, 2 MacLean/Roy “That Senate approve the proposed changes to the instructions for requesting transcripts in the University-wide Academic Regulations.”

CARRIED

MOTION 8, 3 MacLean/Evans “That Senate approve the 2018-2019 Calendar of Academic Dates, as presented.”

8. **Report of the Academic Policy and Procedures Committee** (cont'd)
 8.1 **Report of 14 November 2017** (cont'd)

Members discussed the addition of a Fall Reading Week. Senator Bremner indicated that the Faculty of Computer Science had indicated that it was not in favour of an earlier start to the term as it would interfere with orientation activities. G. MacLean explained that without starting the term earlier, the reading week would be pushed too late into the term. S. Bergin explained that the concerns of the Faculty of Computer Science were taken into consideration, but the requirement to optimize the number of teaching days as per Senate regulations meant that the term needed to be extended. Most faculties indicated support for an early start date, rather than a later examination period. S. Machum expressed concern that the proposed calendar did not align with the best interests of St. Thomas University. Members suggested re-opening a discussion about the timing of the Winter term reading week, proposing a late February break to more evenly split the term. S. Bergin undertook to consider the issue when planning the 2019-20 academic calendar. Senator Hughes described this as one of the few family-friendly policies held by the University, noting the negative effect a misalignment of the week with the Fredericton School Board could have on female students with children. Senator Horne noted that the purpose of the week was to provide an opportunity for students to study and work on large term projects, not to provide convenience to staff.

MOTION 8, 3 was CARRIED.

9. **Report of the Teaching Awards Committee**
 9.1 **Report of November 2017** (posted with agenda)

MOTION 9, 1 Kristmanson/Leblon "That Senate move into closed session."

CARRIED

The President asked visitors to leave the room and reminded members that the information in the report of the Teaching Awards Committee was confidential until released by the University. C. Canitz drew members' attention to the Report of the Senate Teaching Awards Committee, noting that the Committee was making a recommendation to award the 2016-17 UNB Teaching Innovation Award.

MOTION 9, 2 Canitz/Randall "That Senate approve Alan Lloyd (Civil Engineering, UNBF) and Sandra Bell (Humanities and Languages, UNBSJ) as recipients of the 2016-17 UNB Teaching Innovation Award."

CARRIED

MOTION 9, 3 Canitz/Blair "That Senate move into open session."

CARRIED

The President invited visitors to return.

10. Report of the Academic Planning Committee**10.1 Minutes of 6 November 2017 (posted with agenda)**

G. MacLean spoke to the 6 November 2017 Minutes of the Academic Planning Committee. He noted that the Committee reviewed an outline of the academic planning report and considered proposals for the termination of Bachelor of Science in Engineering (Computer Engineering) and for the creation of a Certificate in Publishing. The Committee also reviewed a proposal to integrate library administration between the campuses.

MOTION 10, 1 MacLean/Collins “That Senate approve the termination of the Bachelor of Science in Engineering (Computer Engineering).”

CARRIED

MOTION 10, 2 MacLean/Canitz “That Senate approve the proposed Certificate in Publishing.”

CARRIED

Senators discussed the consolidation of library administration, noting that the process to arrive at the proposed new structure was a good example of inter-campus co-operation. It was noted that the integration of administration did not include the Law library.

MOTION 10, 3 MacLean/Balcom “That Senate approve the proposed integration of library administration between UNB Fredericton and UNB Saint John.”

CARRIED

10.2 Minutes of 13 November 2017 (posted with agenda)**10.3 Academic Planning at UNB (posted with agenda)**

G. MacLean thanked members of the Academic Planning and Academic Planning & Resources Committees for the hard work and dedication to the process of creating the academic planning document. The Committees met jointly on November 13 to make final revisions to the planning document. He noted the multiple consultations with the University Community, and specifically with Deans and Chairs, and thanked Senators for their participation in the process and the feedback provided to the Committee. He spoke to the shared vision for the University between the campuses and highlighted a few items in the report, noting that it outlined a University-wide, iterative process for academic planning. He spoke to plans to create ad hoc committees to consider specific issues, such as course transferability between campuses, and the Joint Liaison Committee to resolve differences of opinion between the campuses. He noted that the Fredericton Senate did not have the jurisdiction to approve the Saint John-specific section of the planning document, but could receive it for information.

10. **Report of the Academic Planning Committee** (cont'd)
 - 10.2 **Minutes of 13 November 2017** (cont'd)
 - 10.3 **Academic Planning at UNB** (cont'd)

MOTION 10, 4 MacLean/MaGee “That Senate approve the bi-campus component, pages 1-18, and the Fredericton-specific component of the ‘Academic Planning at UNB’ report, and receive the Saint John-specific component for information.”

G. MacLean noted that a similar motion would be made at Saint John Senate to receive the Fredericton component of the document. Members discussed the wording of the motion and considered splitting the motion to separate the components for approval from the component for receipt. It was noted that historically, Senate voted on receiving all documents for information but had moved away from this practice in recent years. Senator DuPlessis noted that the description of the potential joint PhD in Business with the Saint John campus had changed from the version of the draft document reviewed in September 2017 and suggested a shared Masters program that was not part of the plan for the Faculty of Business Administration. G. MacLean noted that both business deans had reviewed and approved the language of that section. D. Mitra noted that the language did not appear to be the same as what he reviewed and approved. Members discussed the paragraph at length. Senator Blair suggested that the document could be edited, noting that her unit was not reflected directly in the document, making it difficult to understand how to work with the document. She suggested that any approval be interim and that it come back in a year’s time for review. The President noted that his understanding was the document was meant to be a living document, and could be revised at over time, as needed. G. MacLean noted that as the University practices the process, it would continually receive feedback and make updates as needed, citing page 12, paragraph 2 that refers to continuous consultation.

Senator Andrews expressed concerns about a lack of transparency in the creation of the document and suggested that more time be allotted for discussion. G. MacLean noted that he understood the concerns around timing, and explained that there was no attempt to hide information or be less than transparent. He noted that the Committee was meeting with groups and trying to incorporate feedback up to the last minute and that it needed time to review the document to arrive at a final draft in co-operation with the Saint John committee, before sharing it. He noted the significance of the co-operation between the academic planning committees, reminding Senators that the original idea had been to create separate campus plans and then consider a University-wide plan.

Members discussed the difference in composition between the Academic Planning and Academic Planning & Resources Committees, noting that the Saint John committee included all Chairs and Deans. Senator Mayes expressed concern that because of this composition, the report does not reflect the Local Campus Committee Report (LCC). G. MacLean noted that the creation of this document was a learning process and that the Fredericton Committee learned that it needed to consult Deans and Chairs and did so. Senator Hughes noted that the document was intended to be iterative and asked if the Academic Planning Committee might consider and identify a timeline for iterations. G. MacLean explained that the process for

10. **Report of the Academic Planning Committee** (cont'd)
 - 10.2 **Minutes of 13 November 2017** (cont'd)
 - 10.3 **Academic Planning at UNB** (cont'd)

planning, as described in the document, was intended to be a cycle and continually change based on feedback and the changing needs and priorities of the University. Senator Leblon noted that the report was built on suggestions coming from the units, noting that the information from the two Faculties with vision documents was very helpful. Members discussed the timelines and how the document would be used to direct funding.

G. MacLean noted that it was not intended to pick 'winners' and 'losers', rather the document was higher level, looking at overarching themes coming out of unit reports with a few examples to illustrate the theme. T. Mengel noted the importance of tangible examples, noting that the lists were not meant to be exhaustive. He explained that the intent was that the document would inspire units to use the identified themes in their own planning and spur conversation. He spoke to the achievement of the bi-campus component. S. Blair spoke to timing and suggested that additional time was needed for discussion. The President noted that the document was needed to inform the budget cycle and avoid blanket cuts. He noted that he had to leave the meeting and asked D. MaGee to step in as Chair.

D. Rendall spoke to the evolution of the process, noting that the first attempt at any new process was never perfect but the document was intended to be iterative and a rejection of the inclusive process used to create a bi-campus document would send a powerful message to the University community. Senator O'Byrne suggested that more time was needed to consider the document and proposed to table Motion 10, 4.

MOTION 10, 5 O'Byrne/DuPlessis "That MOTION 10, 4 be tabled until the next meeting of Senate."

Members discussed convening a special meeting of Fredericton Senate to discuss the document. D. Mitra noted that the change in language to the joint PhD in Business component could easily be changed and would not be a reason to table consideration of the document. Members discussed the budget timeline for 2018-19. G. MacLean noted that he and R. MacKinnon expect deans to justify resource requests with data from the LCC reports and that this document would add further context for Deans when making resource requests. He also noted that the Board of Governors wants the Senates to drive the planning process but was expecting a final document for its December meeting. Senator Canitz noted that the document was a guide to planning and asked if more time could be given so that she could consult with her department more thoroughly and receive feedback. She noted that the document had been improved by each review and suggested a special meeting in December to further consider the document. G. MacLean noted that the document reflected an iterative planning process, not a plan and that it should be used by the units as they plan. Senator Balcom spoke to time constraints, noting that even if more time was granted, the Committee would not have time to produce something substantially different for a December or even January meeting. She spoke to the accomplishment of producing the report, noting that the emphasis was intended to convey that the core planning work happens in the units. Senator Saunders

10. **Report of the Academic Planning Committee** (cont'd)
 - 10.2 **Minutes of 13 November 2017** (cont'd)
 - 10.3 **Academic Planning at UNB** (cont'd)

pointed out differences in the Saint John and Fredericton-specific components of the report, describing the Saint John component as more focused than Fredericton's. Senator Wright expressed support for Senator Balcom's comment, noting that it may be helpful to add some language to the original motion specifying that the document will be reviewed after a period of time and that the composition of the Academic Planning Committee will be considered.

Senator Husain spoke to the optics of tabling the planning document if it passed by Saint John Senate but expressed concern regarding the concrete nature of the themes for the Saint John campus, noting that Fredericton could then be disadvantaged at the Board level with regard to resourcing. G. MacLean noted that the Board does not allocate funds to specific programs, but will expect the President's Executive team to do so as it considers the academic envelope. He noted that the Committee responded to the suggestions received by Chairs and Deans, especially around more explicitly stating the importance of foundational programs. He expressed concern about one campus moving forward when the other did not. Senator Collins suggested renaming the document 'Guideline for Academic Planning'. G. MacLean noted that the reason that the name of the document had changed to 'Academic Planning at UNB' was to clarify that it was not a plan.

Senator Canitz suggested calling a special meeting of Senate in December to discuss the document and allow for time to incorporate the changes to the Business, Science and Arts components and clarify nomenclature issues. G. MacLean noted that the amount of revision suggested was considerable and that a meeting in December may not provide sufficient time for the Committee to make these large-scale revisions. E. Mancke, Academic Planning Committee member, expressed concern that tabling the document could cause harm to bi-campus relations and suggested that if Senators were in favor of tabling, that they only table the Fredericton component. Senator Blair expressed concern that tabling would not provide enough time to address the issues identified and suggested approving the document on an interim basis with an amendment to reflect the institution of a mechanism to review and update. Senator J. Brown suggested that tabling could be helpful to fix minor concerns and allow for more time to consult and craft a motion. Senator MacLean asked the Chair to call for the question on MOTION 10, 5.

MOTION 10, 5 was DEFEATED.

Senator MacLean suggested that Senators consider an amendment to MOTION 10, 4. Senator Wright suggested that an amendment reflect the timeline of consultation, and a review of the composition of the Academic Planning Committee. Senator DuPlessis suggested that the amendment also note that the section on the PhD in Business should revert to the language used in the September 2017 draft of the planning document. G. MacLean indicated that he would look into the wording of the section regarding the joint Business programs. J. Brown spoke further to the suggestion by S. Blair that rather than introducing an amendment to speak to the wording of specific paragraphs, it should recognize the provisional nature of the

10. **Report of the Academic Planning Committee** (cont'd)
 - 10.2 **Minutes of 13 November 2017** (cont'd)
 - 10.3 **Academic Planning at UNB** (cont'd)

document which would be reviewed in 12 months and include ongoing consultation with the units and the Academic Planning Committee. G. MacLean and D. MaGee supported a friendly amendment to MOTION 10, 4. Senator McEvoy spoke to the fixed notion of a 'plan', noting that the document could be both a plan and planning document. He noted the importance of recognizing the effort put into the document and suggested an amendment to MOTION 10, 4 to approve the general principles and receive the rest of the document.

MOTION 10, 6 McEvoy/O'Byrne "That MOTION 10, 4 be amended to approve the general principles outlined in Academic Planning at UNB and receive the Fredericton and Saint John-specific components of the document."

MOTION 10, 7 Leblon/Blair "That Senate extend its meeting by 15 minutes."

CARRIED

J. McEvoy clarified that receiving the document meant that it could continue to be edited and revised. Senator Evans spoke to the merits of the amendment proposed by Senator Brown, noting that the intent of the document is to be iterative and by trying to make the document perfect detracts from its iterative nature. Senator Waugh expressed support for Senator J. Brown's amendment. Senator Wilson expressed concern about the public and Board perception of receiving the Fredericton component, noting that it will appear that Fredericton is not unified. D. MaGee called the question on MOTION 10, 6.

MOTION 10, 6 was DEFEATED.

J. Brown proposed the following amendment to MOTION 10, 4: "that Senate approve the bi-campus component, pages 1-18, and the Fredericton-specific component of the 'Academic Planning at UNB' report, and received the Saint John-specific component for information, as amended on page 15, second line from the bottom, to read: "It may be that a shared program at the graduate level...", and further amended as follows: that Senate approve the bi-campus component and the Fredericton-specific component of the 'Academic Planning at UNB' report as a provisional document and acknowledges the Saint John component of the report. The Academic Planning Committee will consider suggestions for revision advanced by chairs and deans on an ongoing basis and report on changes and improvements to the document at 6-month intervals with a mandatory formal report to Senate not more than 12 months after this motion is accepted."

MOTION 10, 4 was CARRIED as amended.

[APPLAUSE]

D. MaGee thanked the Academic Planning Committee for its work.

11. Adjunct Professor Policy**11.1 Petition to Review the Adjunct Appointment Policy** (posted with agenda)

D. MaGee explained that Senate received a petition from Dr. Tracy asking that it consider how affiliate appointments are awarded. The petition appears to have two different components. The first part speaks to various UNB affiliate appointments, including Adjunct Professor but also HRA (Honorary Research Associate), etc. that have been historically handled through the School of Graduate Studies. He suggested that D. Rendall consider that component and report back to Senate.

The second issue is more broad and refers to research contract administration. D. MaGee undertook to review that component. N. Tracy reminded Senators that there was some urgency around these issues, noting the timeline for grant applications.

12. Other Business

Senator Canitz asked that documents for Senate's consideration be circulated at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 7:15 p.m.

Sarah DeVarenne,
Secretary

attachment