# TABLE OF CONTENTS PART I: INTRODUCTION

A.	THE	DISPUTE	2
	i)	The Underlying Legislation	
	ii)	The History Of The Dispute	
	iii)	The Dispute Settlement Provisions	
	iv)	The Recourse To Arbitration And The Establishment Of The Tribunal	
В.		E MANDATE OF THE TRIBUNAL	
D,		The Question To Be Determined By The Tribunal	
	i)		
•	ii)	The Law To Be Applied In Answering The Question	
<b>C</b> .	OV	ERVIEW OF NOVA SCOTIA'S ARGUMENT	14

\* \* \* \* \*

### TABLE OF CONTENTS PART II: THE FACTS

A.	Intro	ductio	n	1
B.			e Five East Coast Provinces Concluded An Agreement neir Respective Offshore Areas	3
	i)		al Consideration Of Mineral Rights And Boundaries: -1964	4
	ii)	The	Agreement of September 30, 1964	8
		a)	The Conference Of Premiers Of The Atlantic Provinces Of September 30, 1964	9
		b)	Accession Of Québec To The 1964 Agreement	12
		c)	Joint Submission To The Federal-Provincial Conference Of October 14-15, 1964	14
C.	The A	Annex	aries Established In The 1964 Agreement Are Described In To The Joint Submission To The Federal-Provincial Of October 14-15, 1964	17
D.	In 19 Fixed		e Technical Coordinates Of The Agreed Boundaries Were	19
	i)	Spec 1969	cification Of Coordinates For The 1964 Agreement: 1968-	20
	ii)		roval Of Technical Coordinates By The Five Premiers:	23
E.	Fede: Mine	ral Go rals W	wfoundland Initiated Separate Negotiations With The vernment Regarding Jurisdiction Over Submarine while Confirming The Interprovincial Boundaries In The 1964 Agreement	32
		The G	foundland's Reasons For Initiating Separate Negotiations Fovernment Of Canada In 1973 Did Not Relate To cial Boundaries	33
	ii)	New	foundland's 1973 Proposal Affirmed The 1964 Agreement	35

### PART II: THE FACTS

F.		The 1964 Agreement Has Been Consistently Applied By Nova Scotia In Intergovernmental Agreements And In Legislation37			
	i)	The 1977 MOU	37		
	ii)	The 1982 Canada - Nova Scotia Agreement	39		
	iii)	The 1986 Canada – Nova Scotia Accord And 1988 Canada- Nova Scotia Act	41		
G.		1964 Agreement Has Also Been Applied By Prince Edward and, New Brunswick And Québec	42		
	i)	New Brunswick	42		
	ii)	Prince Edward Island	42		
	jii)	Québec	43		
Н.		1964 Agreement Has Been Applied As Well By Newfoundland Nova Scotia In Issuing Offshore Exploration Permits	44		
	i)	Nova Scotia	45		
	ii)	Newfoundland	46		
	iii)	Summary Of The Parties' Permit Activity	50		
I.		985 Newfoundland Finally Concluded An Accord With The ernment Of Canada	50		
J.	Sum	mary Of The Facts	51		

# TABLE OF CONTENTS PART III: THE APPLICABLE LAW

A.		ERNATIONAL LAW		
В.	BIN	FUNDAMENTAL REQUIREMENT FOR CONCLUSION OF A DING AGREEMENT AT INTERNATIONAL LAW IS THE PARTIES' ENT TO BE BOUND	3	
	i)	International Law Requires No Particular Form For Binding Agreements	3	
	ii)	The Parties' Intent To Be Bound Is The Key	6	
C.		E PRINCIPLES OF INTERNATIONAL LAW GOVERNING THE ERPRETATION OF AGREEMENTS ARE WELL SETTLED	8	
	i)	Agreements Are to Be Interpreted in Good Faith in Accordance with the Ordinary Meaning of their Terms	8	
	ii)	The Subsequent Conduct Of The Parties	9	
D.	CON BOU	E PRINCIPLES OF ACQUIESCENCE AND ESTOPPEL ISTITUTE ALTERNATIVE GROUNDS FOR FINDING THAT THE UNDARY BETWEEN NOVA SCOTIA AND NEWFOUNDLAND HAS EN RESOLVED BY AGREEMENT	12	
	i)	The Principles Of Acquiescence And Estoppel Are Well Recognised At International Law	12	
	ìi)	The Concepts Of Acquiescence And Estoppel Are Interrelated	13	
	iii)	There Is Considerable International Jurisprudence Applying The Doctrine Of Estoppel	15	
F	SIIN	IMARY OF THE APPLICABLE LAW	16	

### TABLE OF CONTENTS PART VI: APPLICATION OF THE LAW TO THE FACTS

A.	INT	RODU	CTION 1
В.	CON NEV PRO	NFIRM WFOUI OVINC	LICATION OF THE PRINCIPLES OF INTERNATIONAL LAW IS THAT ON SEPTEMBER 30, 1964, NOVA SCOTIA AND NDLAND, AS WELL AS THE OTHER EAST COAST ES, CONCLUDED A BINDING AGREEMENT DIVIDING ESPECTIVE OFFSHORE AREAS
	i)		Agreement Was Concluded At The Conference Of ember 30, 1964
	ii)	The	Parties Intended To Be Bound By The 1964 Agreement 3
		a)	The Terms Of The 1964 Agreement Demonstrate Binding Intent
			The Plain Words Of The 1964 Agreement 4
			• The Object And Purpose Of The 1964 Agreement 5
		b)	The Subsequent Conduct Of The East Coast Provinces, In Particular Newfoundland And Nova Scotia, Demonstrates Their Intent To Be Bound
			The Conduct Of Newfoundland 6
			The Conduct Of Nova Scotia
			The Conduct Of New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island And Québec
C.	COI BOI	NFIRM JNDAI	LICATION OF THE PRINCIPLES OF INTERNATIONAL LAW IS THAT THE PROVINCES AGREED IN 1964 TO RIES THAT EXTEND TO THE OUTER LIMITS OF STATE TION OVER THE CONTINENTAL SHELF
	i)	Esta	Terms Of The 1964 Agreement Confirm That The Provinces blished Boundaries Extending To The Limits Of The tinental Shelf Subject To State Jurisdiction

The Plain Words Of The 1964 Agreement Reveal That The

a)

	$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}}$	rovinces Established Boundaries Extending To The imits Of The Continental Shelf Subject To State urisdiction
	•	The Method Used To Delimit The Outer Segment Of The Boundary
	•	The Direction Of The Outer Segment Of The Line Dividing The Parties' Offshore Areas: The 135° Azimuth
	•	The Extent Of The Line: "To International Waters"
	•	The Map Depicting The Boundaries Established In The 1964 Agreement
	T T	The Object And Purpose Of The 1964 Agreement Confirm That The Provinces Established Boundaries Extending To The Limits Of The Continental Shelf Subject To State urisdiction
ii)	1964 Ag	osequent Conduct Of The Parties Confirms That The preement Established Boundaries To The Limits Of The ental Shelf Subject To State Jurisdiction
	,	Offshore Permit Issuance By Nova Scotia And Tewfoundland
	b) T	he 1972 Technical Delineation Of The Turning Points 22
		The 1973 Newfoundland Proposal To The Federal Government
		The 1982 Canada – Nova Scotia Agreement And mplementing Legislation
		ARIES ESTABLISHED IN THE 1964 AGREEMENT APPLY ND ALL" PURPOSES26

	1)	Apply	y Their Agreed Boundaries For Any And All Purposes ting To Submarine Minerals	26
	ii)		Subsequent Conduct Of The Provinces Supports This pretation	26
		a)	In 1972, The Provinces Were Already Considering A Range Of Possible Jurisdictional Deals	26
		b)	The Provinces In Fact Incorporated Their Agreed Boundaries In Subsequent Intergovernmental Agreements	29
			Agreements Entered Into By Nova Scotia, New Brunswick And Prince Edward Island	29
			The Conduct of Newfoundland	30
E.	IS E		NDLAND HAS ACQUIESCED IN THE 1964 AGREEMENT AT PED FROM DENYING ITS AGREED BOUNDARY WITH DTIA	
F	CON		TONS	22

#### APPENDIX A:

#### PROVINCIAL OFFSHORE EXPLORATION PERMITS

A.	INT	RODUCTION		1	
В.			TIA OFFSHORE PERMITS RESPECTED THE	3	
	i)	Description A	nd Location Of Nova Scotia Permits	4	
	ii)	Summary: No	ova Scotia Permits	6	
C.	NEWFOUNDLAND'S OFFSHORE PERMITS ALSO APPLIED THE 1964 AGREEMENT6				
	i)	The Technical	l Inadequacies Of The Newfoundland Permit System	6	
	ij)	Overview Of	Permits Issued By Newfoundland	8	
	iii)	Newfoundland	d Permits In The Area Of Its Agreed Boundaries	10	
		a) Mobi	l Oil Permit Of September 15, 1967	10	
			Industries Permit Of May 19, 1971: Grand Banks To The Sour -Pierre-And-Miquelon		
	iv)	Summary: Ne	wfoundland Permits	16	

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

### PART V: CONCLUSION

Signature Page	5
----------------	---