IN THE COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH OF NEW BRUNSWICK
TRIAL DIVISION
JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF FREDERICTON

BETWEEN:

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

- and -

ALLAN JOSEPH LEGERE

TRIAL held before Honourable Mr. Justice
David M. Dickson and a Petit Jury at Burton, New
Brunswick, commencing on the 26th day of August,
A. D. 1991, at 10:00 in the forenoon.

APPEARANCES:

Graham J. Sleeth, Esq.,)
Anthony Allman, Esq., and) for the Crown.
John J. Walsh, Esq.,)
Weldon J. Furlotte, Esq., for the Accused.

Proceedings of September 3 & 4, 1991

Dolores Brewer, Court Reporter.

Copyright 1992, Department of Justice, Province of New Brunswick.

R. V. LEGERE - SEPTEMBER 3, 1991, 9:30 A.M.

- COURT RESUMES (Accused Present. Jury Called, all present.

 THE COURT: Mr. Allman you have another witness?

 MR. ALLMAN: Yes, My Lord. Good morning members of the jury. My first witness is Corporal Ron Godin.
- CPL. RON GODIN, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLMAN:
 - Q. Would you state your name and occupation, please?
- A. My name is Ronald Joseph Godin. That's spelled G-o-d-i-n. I'm a member of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, a peace officer. I have been so employed since January of 1973.
- Q. What particular area of the Royal Canadian Mounted
 Police do you work in?
 - A. I am presently attached to the forensic field of identification since August, 1980, and presently stationed at the Bathurst Forensic Identification Section in Bathurst, New Brunswick.
- Q. So you have been in the identification field since August, 1980 you said?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. What, among other things, particularly as it relates to what you are going to be testifying to today, does the identification field do?
 - A. The recovery, development and comparison of fingerprint evidence, the comparison of physical evidence, plan drawing and photography.
- Q. So among the things specifically are plan drawing and 30 photography?
 - A. Yes.

45 3025 |4 851

- Q. And did you have occasion to be involved in that capacity, that is to say as an identification officer in plan drawing and photography in relation to the death of Annie Flam?
- 5 A. I have.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Could I get this item marked for identification unless there is any if there is no objections.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: No objections My Lord.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Could I get it marked as an exhibit, please.
- THE COURT: What's the custom now? Do they call them P-1 or just exhibit 1? What have they done?
 - MR. ALLMAN: P-1, I believe, and then the defence start at D-1.
- THE COURT: I thought they made a change here recently. I

 prefer the old way of doing it. So this will be
 exhibit P-1. What is this Mr. Allman?
 - MR. ALLMAN: It's an aerial photograph, My Lord, of the area of the Miramichi.

(Clerk marks aerial photograph exhibit P-1.)

- THE COURT: What are you going to do with this Mr. Allman?

 Put it on an easel?
 - MR. ALLMAN: I have had a place prepared for it there My Lord. In addition we have got small individual photographs.
 - I am going to show you first, and then I'll put it up to show the jury, if you could just tell me, please, what exhibit P-1 represents?
- A. Yes, My Lord, it represents an aerial view of the Chatham, Bushville, Douglastown, Nordin, Chatham

 Head, Newcastle, and South-Nelson area. And the river is the Miramichi River that runs through all the communities.

- Q. Could I get your help and we'll put it up on the location where we are going to keep it. Do you have a pointer or an object of some kind that can indicate locations on that?
- 5 A. No. I don't.
 - Q. Would you use that and turn around and indicate to us -- although I'm sure the jury can figure out for itself, what part of that is the Miramichi River.
- A. The body of water we see from the top right-hand

 corner going through the center to the bottom left

 is the Miramichi River. The bridge that we see here

 crossing the river is the Centennial Bridge in the

 Chatham/Douglastown area.
- Q. The way it's pointed at the moment, is north pointing upwards on that picture?
 - A. North would be pointing -- If we look at the bridge going across here it would be sort of this direction here would be north towards the top.
- Q. The Centennial Bridge is running in almost exactly a north/south direction?
 - A. I would say, yes.
 - Q. So the Centennial Bridge is the upper one of those two bridges and is there another bridge?
- A. There's another bridge right here which is called the Morrissy Bridge in the Newcastle/Chatham Head area, is the second bridge.
 - Q. So as you stand looking at it Newcastle is to the left of the Morrissy Bridge?
- 30 A. That is correct.
 - Q. Chatham Head --
 - A. Yes, would be the left of the Morrissy Bridge, yes.

- 1 Q. Chatham Head is to the right of the Morrissy Bridge?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And what's to the left of the Centennial Bridge?
 - A. Up in here would be the Chatham area.
- 5 Q. That's to the right.
 - A. And here we see the CFB Chatham, the airforce base.
 - Q. Did you have occasion -- I take it you have flown over this area yourself?
 - A. Yes. On many occasions I have.
- O. Did you have occasion to attend the residence of an Annie and Nina Flam?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. Subsequent to that place having been burnt.
- A. Yes, I have, on the 29th day of May, 1989 at approximately 10:45 A.M.
 - Q. Could you take -- just get it down for one moment. I'm going to ask you to take this red-headed pin and insert it in the aerial photograph at the location of the Flam residence.
- A. Yes, My Lord, this highway we see here, the road, right of the bridge is Water Street, and where I am inserting the pin is the Flam residence which is at 244 and 242 Water Street in Chatham, County of Northumberland, New Brunswick.
- MR. ALLMAN: I'm just wondering, My Lord, is that visible
 to the members of the jury who are furthest away from
 it? (Pause.) You indicated you went to the Flam
 residence and you also said that one of the things
 you do as an identification officer is draw plans.
 - A. That is correct.

- Q. Did you have occasion to draw some plans of that residence?
 - A. I have.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Any objection to this being entered as exhibit:

 Mr. Furlotte?
 - MR. FURLOTTE: No.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Two plans, one of the upper and one of the lower portion of the Flam residence.
- THE COURT: The lower plan would be $\underline{P-2}$ and the upper would be $\underline{P-3}$.

(Clerk marks lower plan of Flam residence exhibit P-2 and upper plan of Flam residence exhibit P-3.)

- MR. ALLMAN: My Lord because of the logistical problems and it is very difficult to find any one location that suits everybody, we have also had small renditions of that made for the jury and I think there's a copy for Mr. Furlotte and yourself, if that's acceptable. I don't think they need to be entered as exhibits.

 They're simply small reproduction of the exhibit itself.
 - THE COURT: Is this agreeable to you?
 - MR. FURLOTTE: I would have no objections to that My Lord.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Okay, I am not going to go into the main detail at the moment, Corporal, but can you just tell me, please, what P-2 and P-3 represent?
 - A. They represent the residence at 244 and 242 Water

 Street, County of Northumberland, Chatham, New

 Brunswick, the residence of Annie Flam and Nina Flam.
 - Q. P-2 represents what portion of that residence?
- 30 A. P-2 would be the bottom floor, ground level, and P-3 would be the upper level.

Cpl. Godin - direct.

- Q. I'll go through them in more detail in a moment but in addition to that to the sketches that you drew, did you also have occasion to take a number of aerial photographs?
- ⁵ A. Yes, I have.

10

- Q. And do you have those with you?
- A. I believe they are here in court today My Lord.
- MR. ALLMAN: Subject to any objection I would ask that these be entered as exhibits. I gather there is no objection.

THE COURT: What is this?

- MR. ALLMAN: These are a collection of 13 aerial photographs of the Flam residence and surrounding localities.
- THE COURT: They're all different?
 - MR. ALLMAN: They're all different photographs, yes. They are all roughly the same area but all different photographs.
- THE COURT: Well, these will be will we call them $\underline{P-4}$, $\underline{1}$ to 13.
 - MR. ALLMAN: P-4, brackets 1 to 13.
 - THE COURT: Bracket 1 to 13.

(Clerk marks aerial photographs exhibit P-4(1 to 13).

- MR. ALLMAN: Could you take us through the photographs one by one just indicating what each one depicts, starting with P-4(1). You numbered them, I believe, for your purposes F-1 and F-2.
 - A. That is correct.
- 30 Q. Starting then with photograph number 1.
 - A. Before I start describing the aerial photographs, My Lord, I would like to point out that the first six

- aerials were taken by myself on the 8th day of June,
 1989 and the remainder of the aerial photographs were
 taken by Sergeant Chaisson who is also a forensic
 identification specialist at the Bathurst Forensic

 Identification Section.
 - Q. Sergeant Chaisson is in court and I believe he's to be the next witness.
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. So you took the first six and we can go through the others with Sergeant Chaisson. Okay, just take us through the first six then.
- A. Looking at the first aerial photograph, at the top of the photograph we have a body of water, the dark part, which is the Miramichi River. Looking up at the photograph would be the direction north. The building we see here just below the body of water is the shopping center in Chatham, County of Gloucester, New Brunswick, and the road or street that we see that runs from left to right approximately in the center of the photograph is Water Street. You will note right of center we have a building here with a yellow and red balloon on top of it. That's the Pizza Deligh restaurant on Water Street in Chatham.
- Q. Which is the Pizza Delight?
 - A. The building here that has the red and yellow balloon on top of it. Now, if you were to count 4 structures to the left of the restaurant on the same side of throad counting this building, 1, 2, 3, 4, the 4th building to the left of the restaurant on the same side, this here is the wooden structure residence of Annie and Nina Flam which is at 244 and 242 Water Street, Chatham.

- Q. It's immediately to the left as you look at a green-colored roof?
 - A. That is correct, to the left of the green-colored roof.
- Q. In fact if you look at it carefully can you see that it appears to be somewhat battered or burnt? I mean the Flam residence?
 - A. That is correct. The roof is damaged. You can see the damage to both sides of the roof.
- Q. Anything else on picture 1 that we should know about or should we move to picture 2?
- That is all for number 1. Number 2 is basically the Α. same area but we are now looking in a southerly direction. I am now over the Miramichi River and 15 we are looking south. Again, the same shopping cente: at the bottom of your photograph, the parking lot, and, again, the street that runs from right to left in a sort of semi-circle shape is Water Street. Again, to the left of the photograph on the south 20 side of Water Street we can depict the restaurant, Pizza Delight, again with the reference point the balloon. Again, if you count four buildings to the right now, 1, 2, 3, 4, again we will note the Flam residence and the damages to the roof can also be
 - Q. Okay, can we move to number 3 then?
- A. Again, basically the same area, now looking in the westerly direction. The body of water to your right is the Miramichi River. Now, if we go from the street to your top right that comes down and almost makes sort of an "S", again, it's Water Street and, again,

noted in better detail in this photograph.

- as a reference point right of center we can depict
 the yellow and red balloon again on top of the Pizza
 Delight restaurant. Again, as a matter of counting
 four residences now to the top of the Pizza Delight
 Restaurant will point out the Flam residence once
 again. To the top left of the photograph you will
 see several horizontal lines. This is the Miramichi
 Exhibit grounds to the left of your photograph, top
 left.
- Q. If you look at the extreme left of the photograph above those straight lines you can see what looks like a little red roof.
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. What's that?

25

- A. That is the Miramichi Exhibition Centre.
 - Q. The building portion of it?
 - A. The building portion. That will be used as a reference point in other aerial photographs My Lord.
- Q. If you would just take that one back a moment, runnin across the top, the very, very top, is that a road there?
 - A. Yes. We can just barely see it to the top left.

 This road is the highway that leads over the

 Centennial Bridge. Just top left here.
 - Q. If you want to get your pointer out again and just show us now on the large aerial which is exhibit 1 where you are talking about.
- A. Again, looking at the aerial photograph #3 the road that we see here, the top left, is actually the highway here that crosses the Centennial Bridge, so this is the area right here.

45,2025 4 651

- 1 Q. Can we move on to #4 then, please.
 - A. As previously mentioned in aerial photograph #3, in #4 the Exhibition ground can be easily depicted at the bottom center. We are now looking in an easterly
- direction. The body of water on the top left-hand corner the Miramichi River. Again, the shopping center can be seen on the left-hand side just below the body of water and, again, the Water Street, the
- street that curves from center left going in an upward fashion is the Water Street and, again, if you
 look at the first intersection off the Water Street
 here, just a matter of counting 1, 2, 3, it looks
 like three buildings here, right of the intersection
 would be the Flam residence.
- Or I suppose you could go the other way because we can see the balloon, the red and yellow balloon on there --
 - A. Again, yes.

- Q. -- and go from right to left four buildings.
- A. And go four left of the balloon. You can do it that way too, yes. But it gives you an overall view of the Chatham area from an easterly -- looking at an easterly direction.
- Q. And the large the central large red-roofed building
 that is the one that you can see just a little bit of
 the corner in the previous number 3?
 - A. That is correct, yes.
 - Q. Number 5 then, please.
- A. Again, showing the same area but from a different view point. The body of water at the bottom of the photograph is the Miramichi. The large building

- just to the side of the Miramichi is the shopping center previously mentioned. And the road that runs from the right-hand side of the photograph going towards the center is Water Street. Again, the yellow and red balloon can be noted left of center and, again, the Flam residence can be depicted four structures to the right of the balloon. The grounds, exhibition grounds, can be noted at the top of the photograph with the red-roofed building, red and white in color.
 - Q. Top right-hand corner can you -- what's that ramp for?
 - A. The ramp there is the beginning of the Centennial Bridge which crosses the Miramichi River.
- Q. Okay, number 6 then, please.
- A. Again, showing basically the same area from a different altitude, a little bit higher. Again, the body of water the Miramichi River. The shopping center just above along the waterfront. Again, the road that starts from the right of the photograph going towards the center towards the left is the Water Street and, again, the balloon can be used as a reference point on the Pizza Delight restaurant and again, four buildings, wooden structures, to the right of that is the Flam residence. And, again, the Miramichi exhibition grounds can be noted at the top right of the photograph.
 - Q. Now, I understand the remaining numbers 7 to 13 were the ones taken by the other officer, Chaisson?
 - A. Yes.

Q. If you could just leave those there and we will come on to them when he is giving evidence.

So far what we have got from you is the aerial plan - or the large aerial photograph, the smaller aerial photographs that we have just gone through, and the sketches that you drew of the interior of the Flam residence. Did you do anything else at the Flam residence that day?

- A. Yes, My Lord, I managed to take the opportunity to put the entire scene on video.
 - Q. And do you have that video cassette with you?
 - A. I have.

15

20

Q. Could you get that, please? My Lord, I am going to ask to enter this as an exhibit and then I am going to ask the officer to play the video subject to any objection.

MR. FURLOTTE: No objection.

THE COURT: P-5. Video of Chatham area.

(Clerk marks video cassette exhibit P-5.)

MR. ALLMAN: My Lord while the clerk is doing that I'll
just explain the procedure that we are going to ask
him to employ. I am going to ask him to come and stand
here between counsels' tables so that it doesn't
obstruct anybody's view, play the video, and he's
got a laser pointer and I am going to ask him to comment
commentate, so to speak, on the video and move from
the video display using the laser to point over to the
two sketches so that the jury can relate from the
video to the sketch and the sketch to the video. For
that purpose we are going to turn the lights off.
We are going to put this light on so that you can see

those clearly, and we have provided Your Lordship with a light because we have checked all this out and we found it rather dark where you sit so we have provided a light for you to view your sketch of that.

The light at the back will be left on but the lights in this area will be turned off.

THE COURT: How long is the video?

MR. ALLMAN: 40 minutes I believe, is it Corporal?

A. I would figure at least that My Lord.

THE COURT: And some of it, I take it, is close-up of the Flam residence?

MR. ALLMAN: It's all of the residence, yes.

THE COURT: It's all of the residence.

MR. ALLMAN: Yes. Okay, could you play the video, please.

15 (PLAYING OF VIDEO TO COURT AND JURY BEGINS.)

CPL. GODIN: Now, we have here the Flam residence located at 244 and 242 Water Street, County of Northumberland Chatham, New Brunswick. We now see here the front of the residence, top floor. You will note the damages to the roof, the window and the roof here in the front Fire damage.

Here we see the east side of the residence. Now the back. We see one door right here which is the back door to 242 Water Street which is the Nina Flam residence which is the same door we see right here. Same door depicted here of the Nina Flam residence at 242 and as we pan from left to right this area we see here is the Annie Flam residence. The window that we see here is the window right here, and then the back entrance, the red door, is located right here on the plan drawing.

45-3025 |4 85|

25

15

20

25

าก

We are now looking at the east side of the residence. The window that we see here is the window located right here in the den area of the Annie Flam residence. Now panning towards the top portion, two windows. Now looking at the front of the building which is the north side facing Water Street. We have the confectionery store at the front. Now looking at the roof just above 244 which is the Annie Flam residence. You can see the damage to the roof, and again to the window, and this portion here is the window to Nina Flam's bedroom which is located here—the window.

We are now going to the front entrance which is located here of 244 Water Street. You will note the red and white cooler directly in front of the door and a green shelf with bottles of pop on the shelf portion. A lot of debris on the floor and a rack of chips and an upright cooler. This is all located at this entrance right here. Now, we are looking as we are going through the front entrance we are looking right. You can see here shelves with can goods and the counter that we see here, the side of it, is these two counters that we see here, side by side, rectangular shapes. Again, the green shelf can be noted and the red and white cooler. Now, agai this is to your left when you walk into the front through the front entrance. Now, the same red and white cooler. Now, we will pan from left to right. Now looking right as you go into the store. We have here another upright cooler. Here we have a fridge and shelves of different goods. A different view of

10

15

20

25

these shelves. These shelves are located on this wall right here.

Here we have an entrance. You can just barely see the archway that we see here. That's the archway that we see right here. Now, panning from left to right this is the front entrance and the upright cooler next to it. Now we are panning towards the front. The front window of the grocery store which is the window that we see here on the plan drawing.

Now, we are looking at the east wall. Again, there are the can goods. We can see the two counters side by side and a TV on top of one of the counters. And there's another counter that we see right here and shelves with cigarette products, tobacco products That wall is this one right here just behind the counter.

Now, there's another archway here and you will see - this is the same archway that we see here from the store. The washer and then we can see part of the kitchen area. Now, we will be panning from right to left into the den area. This is the room that we see right here - the den. You have the couch, pictures on the wall, the light. This is the different location shot of the same light and couch panning from right to left. The window that we see here is the same one previously mentioned right here, and we have a wall unit right here on the north wall which is this wall unit right here.

Now we're back in the hallway looking towards the kitchen area. We have the washer and stove and the counter which are the same ones here, washer, counter and stove, along this wall here.

5

Now panning from right to left showing the washer, counter, cupboards, stove. Now we are now looking at the back wall of Annie Flam's residence. The window that we see there is the same one as we see here at the back of the residence and the door that we see which we're coming up to, this door in here, is the two back doors to the rear entrance of Annie Flam's residence. The same door, now closed, partly closed. Now panning from right to left. The window that we see here now is the window -- It's not depicted on this My Lord. The table, cupboards, hutch and buffet.

15

10

20

25

30

Now we are looking back towards the store portion, the small corridor leading to the store area. Again, the same view point, the store area. Panning from right to left we have here an archway that we see here. Now, this is the archway leading to the staircase that we see right here. Now, I am looking directly to the staircase area. You will note that it's fairly dark. There's quite extensive damage in this particular area of the residence. It's quite black and charred. Now, the archway that we see right here is the same one previously mentioned leading to a staircase. I am just panning from the top to the bottom of the same wall seen in the archway and you can just barely see the steps. One there; one here; here; there. The staircase leading up to the second floor. What you see here is a fireman's hose.

Again panning from the bottom to the top showing the damages sustained to the wall and the structure.

5

10

Now, the same wall again, now from a different viewpoint, panning from right to left. Now here we can still see the staircase and here we have a rectangular shape item which is a humidifier at the top - the middle landing. There's another set of stairs going up just around the corner here. This is a humidifier. Again, panning from the top to the bottom, again, of the stairs. Same humidifier on the middle landing. Showing the staircase. And here showing you an area to the left of the staircase and next to the archway that we see here. This is the area right here on the plan drawing. Now, the humidifier previously mentioned is located right here

15

Now we are panning up now towards the staircase. Now we will be going to the second plan drawing which is on the second floor. That shows the second set of stairs that we see here going to the top landing and the wall next to it. Now, right up here we are now on the second floor which would be this area right here on the second plan drawing.

on the first landing in this area here.

20

Now I'm on top of the landing on the second floor looking down towards - down the staircase. We see here the steps, the humidifier at the middle landing and the staircase going down. Now we pan up from there showing the damages to the structure. Now the area we're looking at right now would be this area right here. This wall - these three walls in this staircase area. We are looking at the ceiling area showing the extensive damage, panning from left to right. Now we have - you can see two by fours

30

upright. This is sort of a storage area behind what was a wall at one time, and this is the area right here that we see, between the two walls. As you can see, the walls are no longer there, damaged by fire.

You can see the archway here to this particular closet.

Now panning from left to right along this wall then we will be coming to another archway which will be this archway right here leading to a bedroom at the front of the residence of Nina Flam. The window that we see here is actually facing Water Street. You can see the window that we see here.

Now we are now in this bedroom and in here we have a closet and you can see clothing in the closet. This is the closet that we see here. We have a door that is closed and again you can see the extensive damage to the ceiling area. Now we can just barely see the window to the bedroom at the front on the residence. Panning down we can see a bookcase along the wall here, built into the wall. Just below the bookcase we have a bed. You can see the head posts and the top surface of the bed with a lot of charred debris on top of the bed. Now, as previously mentioned, this is the bedroom here in the second plan. See the same bookcase on the north wall, panni left to right. You can see here the head post, along and then we come to another archway. A night table. Now, we have here the start of an archway. Now we are looking out into the direction of a second bedroom. We have an archway right here which is this archway that we see here. This archway would be the

45 3025 (4 B5)

15

10

5

20

25

15

25

30

archway - the door to Nina Flam's bedroom.

You will note right of this archway here we have another archway behind that we see here. Now this is the same archway. We are now looking in the bathroom area. Now I am standing in this location here looking into the bathroom. You will see that there's a vanity, a shower, and there is the counter right here The same one depicted here.

THE COURT: Which is the Nina Flam bedroom that you referred to?

A. The Nina Flam is the one right here. Now, we are just going to pan from right to left, My Lord. This archway to this archway, which is the bedroom at the northeast corner of the residence which is the Nina Flam bedroom - excuse me, Annie Flam.

The window that we see here is the window that we see here on the plan drawing on the east side of the residence. Again, showing the damages sustained to the ceiling, quite extensive in this area. Showin the floor and the amount of debris on the floor.

Now, we are coming to an area on the floor. I would like to bring your attention to this right here I will be showing you a close-up. It shows the knee or the leg of the body of Annie Flam. The charred remains. Now again panning from that same area showing the debris and the damages sustained to the structure of the building.

Now we are now looking at the south wall of the bedroom of Annie Flam, again showing the extensive damage.

45 2025 14 85]

í

Now we are looking at the archway looking out. Now we are leaving 244 Water Street, panning from left to right, to 242 Water Street which is the residence of Nina Flam. The entrance that we see here is the one that we see here on the first plan drawing showing the entrance. Now looking in we can see directly in front of us the staircase leading up to the second floor. That staircase is the one right here directly in front. Now panning from left to right showing the archway to the bathroom, staircase, closet, and now we are into the living room, dining room and den area which is this area right here. We have the living room, dining room and den. Now panning from left to right we are looking at the furniture in the living room, table, couch, another table, mirror or the wall. Now this here is the west wall which would be this wall here of the residence.

20

25

30

Again panning to the right we are now coming to a window in the living room. This would be the same window here from a different viewpoint. These are the windows at the front of the residence on the north side facing Water Street of the living room area. Now we are panning now towards the front entrance, one of the doors to the front entrance.

Now we have the entrance here that leads to the store area of Annie Flam residence. This is the only access to the other residence on the ground floor.

Pictures on the wall. Same pictures now we're panning left to right but looking towards the kitchen area, and in here we see the staircase. We are now into

20

25

30

Cpl. Godin - direct.

the kitchen area of Nina Flam residence which is this area right here. This big area right here is the kitchen area. We have here a stove and a fridge and cupboards along this wall, and the sink. What we see here are cupboards, sink. We have a doorway here that leads to the washroom, which is right here. Now, again, the same archway.

Now we are panning towards the back of the residence. Now we have a window and the back entrance. These two right here are the window and the back entrance to Nina Flam's residence.

We have another door here that leads to the den area. Same door. Now I am panning toward the den at the back of the residence. A fridge, chairs and table, and again the archway leading out into the corridor leading to the staircase.

Now I am inside the den area now. A piano, lazy boy, couch, pictures on the wall. This is the area right here that we are looking at, the den area of Nina Flam's residence. The window that we see here at the back of the residence is the window that we see right here along this wall. The same window. We are now panning from right to left, sort of a bookcase of some type, china cabinet and, again, an archway leading into the kitchen and we can just barely see the bathroom off the kitchen.

Panning to the north wall of the den area, one of the doors leading into the dining area. Now we are looking into the dining and living room area, looking at the windows at the front of the building.

45.3025 (4 85)

Dining room area. Panning from right to left from the corridor at the front entrance, looking straight up at the staircase, the same staircase mentioned here. Now there's a landing in this area here. Now I am on top of the landing. Now I am in this area right here of Nina Flam's residence. Now I am panning from left to right showing the part of the staircase. Now I am looking straight down at the staircase. Panning upwards, again showing the damage

sustained to the ceiling. Now this is directly above

this bathroom is located right here. The vanity that we see is here; we have a shower and a toilet. This is the shower. You can see still a fireman's hose.

And the toilet that we see here is shattered in

the staircase in Nina Flam's residence. Now I am panning from right to left towards an archway that leads into the bathroom. You can see a vanity right here and one of the archways that's at an angle. Now

10

15

20

25

30

here.

several pieces in this corner right here. Basically the same area, the shattered toilet, fireman's hose. Now we have part of the chimney that has collapsed and fell on the floor, and then we can see just the side of a window right at the back of the residence. Now this area is located right here. We have the washroom, then we have a bedroom with a window. So the chimney that we saw is located right

Now we are back at the top of the staircase now panning from left to right looking at two archways, one here and one here, looking into a bedroom. Now this is the bedroom located right here. There's two

10

15

20

25

30

archways, one there and one there and then we have this bedroom right here. The window that we see here is the window right here in this bedroom, and we will see the bed in a second, this bed right here. Here is part of the bed down here. An awful lot of debris on top of the bed. Little table next to the window. Another table. And the door that we see here is the door that leads to the bedroom. Same door now panning from right to left down the corridor. Now this is the corridor right here. I am standing here looking down this corridor right here. 'As you will note there's several book shelves. A lot of books along the side wall here. And now we are looking at the back bedroom. The window that we see here and the archway is this bedroom that we see here. Your archway is there and the window is here.

Now we have - now there is another book case along this wall that we see here, just right next to the archway. The wall. That's back on to the landing

Now we are looking inside the bedroom, the same bedroom at the southwest corner of the residence. We have here a set of bunk beds with a ladder. Again, you will note the extensive damage caused by the fire We are now looking at the top bunk and then the bottom bunk, and the back window.

You will note here that the window to the left is partly open as opposed to the one on the right which is closed. This one here is partly open. A lot of furniture that's overturned.

45 3025 (4 85)

posts here to the bed.

1

10

Now we are now panning toward the left towards the archway, the entrance to the bedroom. Now this is part of the archway that we see here and we can see some books. A bookcase here and we have another the same books again, and then we have the other corridor which is located right here. This is a short corridor leading to this bedroom right here. This is the bedroom we're talking about. The door. Now just panning on the floor now. Now we can see the chimney that collapsed, previously mentioned in the view of the bathroom area. Now, as I mentioned, this is open and we can see the chimney from the bedroom and the washroom. Again, showing the damages to the walls. Here we can see the headboard of the bed and the bed. The bed cover and you will note the amount of debris on top of the bed. These are the

20

15

25

30

Again, the windows that we see right here. The same window that you could see across from the wash-room area. Same windows from a different viewpoint, showing you a closet here and there's another door right here. Those are the same two closets shown here. Door to the bedroom. Now I am standing at the entrance to this back bedroom looking in a westerly direction. Same bookcase along that wall, and the bookcases along the other wall, the other corridor. Now I am panning from right to left showing you the same corridor from a different viewing angle with all the books along the left-hand side here of the wall.

You can see an archway here. The same archway. Going through this archway here would take you to the top landing. Now we see another door right here.

This is the door to Nina Flam's residence. The door is located right here showing you the bedroom of Nina Flam at the northwest corner of the residence. The windows that we see here are facing Water Street, north side, which are the same windows that we see here in Nina Flam's residence, her bedroom.

THE COURT: You told me earlier the other one was Nina Flam's. You meant Annie Flam?

- A. Annie Flam on the --
- THE COURT: The other side.
 - A. Yes. That was my mistake. We see here the foot-board of the bed. We have a dresser here that's side ways at the entrance. We have a cedar chest just below the window. A night table. Right of the bed. Headboard. Another night table. Left of the bed. And, again, you can see a lot of debris and damages caused to the structure.

Now we are panning towards the archway or the entrance to the bedroom. Here is part of the archway here. The same archway here and here and this is the door leading to the bedroom. We have a closet door behind this door here. Another one. Another door right here. Another dresser. And, again, a lot of debris.

We are now looking at the same archway looking down the staircase, back towards the front entrance. The same front entrance to Nina Flam's residence. We are now looking at Water Street. We are back outside You can see 242 written next to the door. Showing th two front windows to the living room area of Nina Flam's residence. Looking up to the two windows of

30

20

20

25

Nina Flam's bedroom on the north side of the residence and this part here, the damage - extensive damage on window is Annie Flam's residence, top floor. And, again, the front entrance to the Flam grocery store on the north side.

That concludes the video My Lord.

(END OF VIDEO - 11:00 A.M.)

- MR. ALLMAN: Corporal, now that the jury have had the
 opportunity to view the video and get the picture so
 to speak, could you go over, again, just a little bit
 the two plan drawings and outline some of the points
 on those. Perhaps just before you do there's a coup?
 of specific things I want to ask you. You mentioned
 from time to time Annie Flam's residence and then you
 mentioned Nina Flam's residence. What's the relation
 ship between those two things? Are they, one, the
 same, or are they different? How does that work?
 - A. From information obtained Annie and Nina are sistersin-law. They were two separate residences but the
 only connecting part between the two is two doors or
 two archways --
 - Q. Before we get into the doors, basically, and as you look at those two plans, I am looking at them from right to left, which part, the right-hand part or the left-hand part, would be primarily Annie Flam's?
 - A. Annie Flam would be on the right-hand side here and also on the right-hand side in the other plan drawing
 - Q. So the right-hand side of both exhibit P-2 and P-3?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And so I take it it follows that Nina Flam's residence part would be primarily which?
 - A. Would be on the left side.

10

- Q. And I'm excepting now the consideration of the part that nobody lived in which was the store.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. If you wanted to get from the ground floor of Annie
 Flam's part of this building into Nina Flam's part of
 this building what would you do?
 - A. You would have to go through the grocery part of the store, which is located here, and you would have to go through the storage area, through this archway through this archway then into Nina Flam's residence.
 - Q. Any other way that you can get from the ground floor part of Annie's to the ground floor part of Nina's?
 - A. The only way would be to go through from the front door here, go in this way.
- Q. That would mean actually exiting the building?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. So remaining in the building you can only get from Annie's to Nina's in that route that you just described through the storage area which is the -
 It's marked as storage area. It's sort of in the middle left of P-2.
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. What about if Annie were upstairs and wanted to go into Nina's upstairs or vice versa? How would they do that without going outside?
 - A. Well if we're looking at Annie's bedroom --
 - Q. Okay, now just --
- A. She would have to go down the staircase, come out through this archway, through the store, storage area through here, up the staircase which is here, and go up into Nina Flam's bedroom.

- Q. Just go through that again slowly. On P-3 you talked about Annie's bedroom and that's the area that's got a marking that looks like a human figure in it?
 - A. That is correct.
- 5 Q. You would exit out of the door on P-3.
 - A. Out the door, down the staircase --
 - Q. Down the stairs on P-3.
 - A. P-3.
 - Q. Now, into P-2.
- 10 A. We are now on the ground level. We have come down the staircase.
 - Q. Come down the stairs that lead that have the words 'storage area' at the bottom.
 - A. Yes, which is underneath the staircase here.
- Q. Out the door to the right as you look at it.
 - A. Through the store, storage area, through two archways into Nina Flam's residence, up the staircase --
 - Q. On P-2.
- A. On P-2. Now we're on P-3. We're now at the top
 landing and we go straight through here to Nina's
 bedroom.
 - Q. Which is the bedroom on the top left-hand corner as you look at P-3.
- A. Yes, on the northwest side of the structure.
 - Q. Is there any communication from Annie's to Nina's or Nina's to Annie's on the top, the second floor, direct? Is there any way of avoiding going down the stairs and up the stairs?
- 30 A. No.
 - Q. I think you mentioned when we were talking about this earlier, are there any features, doorways, windows,

- etc., that for some reason or other they didn't get on to that plan just so we can make sure it is absolutely accurate.
- A. Yes. These measurements were punched into a computer

 and the measurements the plan drawings we have here

 were produced. There is a window that didn't show up

 here for some reason in the kitchen area.
 - Q. Okay, now that's on P-2, kitchen, east wall, right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. There's a window there where you are pointing that the computer didn't notice.
 - A. That's right. And there's a doorway. You will note in some of the pictures to be introduced later on into evidence, and also it was shown on the video, there is a door here, a white door, that the computer did not --
 - Q. So on P-2 just between the storage area and the living room where what we see is a gap the computer should have drawn a little line to represent a door.
- A. A door right here, yes.
 - Q. Perhaps with Your Lordship's permission I could ask this witness to draw that door on and if need be the jury can just draw their equivalent.
- THE COURT: Yes.
 - MR. ALLMAN: I don't know if they have all got a pen but if not they will have to borrow mine. Would you just do what the computer didn't manage to do and draw the symbolic doorway on there.
- A. I can't remember which way it opens now My Lord. I can look at my notes.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Yes, sure. You have drawn the door according to your notes pointing from left to right as you downwards as you look at the plan.

¹ A. Yes.

15

- Q. Is there anything else any other particular or salient features that you wanted to draw to our attention about the sketches or basically is that it?
- A. There is one other little discrepancy I noticed we have here. Again, this area is quite extensively damaged as you saw in the video.
 - Q. You are looking at P-3.
- A. This wall should continue here. This storage area ends along this wall and as I mentioned in this bedroom we have a bookcase into the wall. Well the bookcase is along this wall here so this is not a storage area but it is the bookcase inside the wall. So this wall that we see here should join.
 - Q. Not that I think it's of any great deal of significand but we want to be accurate in everything.
 - A. But that's the only discrepancy.
- Q. Could you take my pen again and draw a continuation of that wall and, again, the jury can no doubt make the same correction on their copies.

Thank you very much Corporal Godin. Those are all the questions I have for this witness.

THE COURT: Are you standing Corporal Godin aside though?

MR. ALLMAN: Yes. This is probably a convenient moment to mention this My Lord. Corporal Godin is the first of a number of witnesses who we'll be putting on, putting off, putting on, putting off. Mr. Furlotte could either reserve his cross-examination until the total end of this witness or he could cross-examine on each testimonial portion. I think probably the latter would be more convenient but it is certainly

15

20

it is Mr. Furlotte's wish, but he is aware that this witness will be recalled.

THE COURT: Well, just as a guide or a help or an aid to

Mr. Furlotte, can you give some indication of what

later aspects Corporal Godin will be --

MR. ALLMAN: Mr. Furlotte is aware, I think, of both when Corporal Godin will be returning in terms of number,

I think his next appearance is number 21, and in term of what he will be saying on that occasion and all other occasions.

THE COURT: Well, if you don't have to guide Mr. Furlotte would you guide me?

MR. ALLMÁN: The next occasion is to introduce some more photographs; photographs relating to this case but not of the scene.

THE COURT: In connection with the Flam --

MR. ALLMAN: In connection with the Flam incident and those photographs we will be showing to Your Lordship before we introduce them all. It will be necessary for you to look at them and rule on some of them I believe.

THE COURT: Before I ask Mr. Furlotte what he wants to do

I might just say to the jury, normally in a criminal

trial or a civil trial or any other trial when a

witness goes on the party calling that witness is

required to complete their examination of that witness and then the other side has the opportunity to

cross-examine and so on, and that's completed. In a

rather complex case like this where perhaps particula
in the case of some of the police witnesses or the
investigating officers they have had a part in

10

15

20

25

30

different - or in each of the, for instance here, four counts involved, it is convenient to carry the whole thing through in chronological order to have them give part of their testimony and then call them back later and at the appropriate time to complete the chronological picture and that, of course, is what Mr. Allman is seeking leave to do here, and I am quite prepared in a case of this nature to grant that permission. The defence counsel has the option of either cross-examining the witness now on the direct testimony that he has given - or the testimony that he has given on direct examination so far, or they can say no we will leave it until later until he concludes all of his appearances and we will crossexamine him on everything at that stage. If defence counsel elect to cross-examine him now on the testimos he has given to date he can't, of course, come back and repeat that when he is called again. They can only cross-examine him then on what his testimony is at that time. This is just by way of explanation so that you'll know.

Now, Mr. Furlotte, do you want to cross-examine the witness now on this portion or do you want to delay on that?

MR. FURLOTTE: I will wait until he is recalled at a later time.

THE COURT: I think I should say I suppose that strictly and technically if a witness appears more than twice or three times, or four, or something like that, not too many appear that frequently --

MR. ALLMAN: I think the most is. There are some that appear three or four times.

THE COURT: But I suppose strictly at the end of the second appearance he could cross-examine on the first and second if defence counsel so elected.

Well, you are stood aside and there is also the requirement that a witness, until he completes his testimony, cannot discuss the case with anyone. So you can't be guided by crown counsel as to what you might have said better or said worse or - you know. So you operate under that restriction.

MR. ALLMAN: That limitation, I take it, means that he can't talk about the testimony he has already given. I take it we can continue to talk about the testimony he has yet to give.

THE COURT: I think so, but you can't be guiding him as to improving on anything you may have felt he was defective in. However, I am sure that the police officers involved know their position in that regard.

Thank you very much Corporal Godin for now.

MR. ALLMAN: My next witness is Sergeant Dan Chaisson.

20

30

5

SGT. DAN CHAISSON, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLMAN:

- Q. Please state your name and occupation, please.
- 25 A. My name is Frank Daniel Chaisson, member in charge of the R.C.M.P. Bathurst Forensic Identification Section, Bathurst, New Brunswick.
 - Q. The jury has already heard from Corporal Godin the nature of the duties that identification officers perform. I take it your duties are basically of the same kind?
 - A. That's correct, yes.

- 1 Q. In regards to this particular incident, the death of
 Annie Flam, did you have occasion to take a number of
 photographs and place them in a booklet?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- MR. ALLMAN: I would ask to enter this.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: My Lord I would object to some of these pictures going in as their being inflammatory so maybe you could rule on it before --
- MR. ALLMAN: That's fine. We'll review it in the absence of the jury.
 - THE COURT: Yes. All right. This is a series of photographs of?
 - MR. ALLMAN: A series of photographs of the interior of the Flam residence, 93 in all, and I understand that there are some that Mr. Furlotte wants removed so we would have to do that discuss that in the absence of the jury.
- THE COURT: All right. So you will have a break now when we don't. So will the jury go out, please. Do counsel have any objection to the jury taking these photographs and things with them to the jury room. I'll be bringing them back. I am not surrendering them to the jury permanently or anything of that nature but if while they are out they want to examine them.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: I have no objection.
 - THE COURT: No objection. So if you would like to take those pictures along you will have a chance to look at them further.

(Jury withdraws - 11:15 A.M.)

- THE COURT: Okay, do you want me to have a look at the pictures?
- MR. FURLOTTE: Yes, My Lord. My Lord maybe I could have a

30

35DB 510

10 minute break also before we get into this.

MR. ALLMAN: Fine by me.

THE COURT: Presumably at that time, Mr. Furlotte, you would be in a position to indicate which --

5 MR. FURLOTTE: Indicate which photographs, yes.

THE COURT: I think probably it would suffice if I went over them. I must say that my -- As a matter of fact, if you can give me a copy now I can be looking at them during my break as well.

MR. ALLMAN: My guess is that the ones that Mr. Furlotte is objecting to is mostly around the 40 area.

MR. FURLOTTE: Yes, My Lord.

THE COURT: So, we'll have a recess now, please. Let's say 15 minutes.

MR. ALLMAN: When Corporal Godin is coming back he is going to be introducing autopsy photographs. I mentioned obliquely a little while ago that we would have to review those with you also. Mr. Kearney suggests, and it makes sense, that this would be a good time to do all the photographs, the ones that are now to be introduced and the ones that Godin will be introducing a little later. So I will give you a copy of the autopsy photographs that we want to introduce. These are the autopsy photographs and if you want to look at those at the same time.

(RECESS - 11:20 - 11:45 A.M.)

(Accused present. Voir dire session commenced.)

THE COURT: All right, we are in a voir dire session now and, of course, anything that transpires here can't be reported until after the trial is over.

36DB

MR. ALLMAN: We have had a very fruitful discussion during the interval, My Lord, and we have come to a large measure of agreement. Not total but large. If you look at the photographs, first of all there are a number of photographs of a jaw bone - just of the jaw bone.

THE COURT: Where is that?

MR. ALLMAN: I think Your Lordship has that.

THE COURT: In the big one? The little one?

MR. ALLMAN: The one you have before you now. And we have agreed that we will remove all photographs except numbers 4 and 5 which one of our experts needs to refer to. So 4 and 5 will be the only ones left in there.

THE COURT: Right. Well, these are --

MR. ALLMAN: Photographs of the jaw bone of Annie Flam.

THE COURT: Taken, of course, after her death.

MR. ALLMAN: Yes.

20

THE COURT: And who will be testifying to this?

MR. ALLMAN: Corporal Godin who was to be number 21 was coming back simply to introduce those photographs and the autopsy photographs. As a result of the agreement we have reached he will have to introduce 4 and 5, no others, and the autopsy photographs which are in this bundle we're not going to seek to introduce any of them. So what we are going to do first thing this afternoon is recall Corporal Godin just to put in numbers 4 and 5 in that booklet.

30 THE COURT: And any other agreement?

MR. ALLMAN: Now, with regard to the photographs of the scene, which include some photographs of the body in

- the position so to speak, and I think they are around 44 or something like that, starting with 41, we want to introduce 41, 42, 43, 44 and I think those are the ones the defence objects to.
- 5 THE COURT: Well, these were pictures taken --
 - MR. ALLMAN: Taken at the scene depicting --
 - THE COURT: By Corporal Godin at the scene.
 - MR. ALLMAN: No, by Sergeant Chaisson.
 - THE COURT: Sergeant Chaisson. The day of the fire?
- MR. ALLMAN: The day of the fire at the scene depicting the positioning basically in which the body was found.
 - THE COURT: One of these pictures, though, the body is lying on a sheet.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Yes.
- THE COURT: The body had been moved from the original position I take it.
 - MR. ALLMAN: I take it from under the rubble.
 - THE COURT: Turned over.
- SGT. CHAISSON: That's correct, My Lord, it was turned over
 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Furlotte, what do you have to say
 about that?
 - MR. FURLOTTE: Mr. Kearney will be addressing this matter ${\tt My\ Lord.}$
- THE COURT: Mr. Kearney.
 - MR. KEARNEY: My Lord as I understand my learned friend he is referring to photographs beginning with what number?
 - MR. ALLMAN: Well, it's a matter for you what you are objecting to but I took it to be 41, 42, 43 and 44.
 - THE COURT: Perhaps number 40 as well.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Perhaps 40.

38DB 513

10

15

20

25

30

THE COURT: Perhaps number 39 even, I don't know.

MR. KEARNEY: Well, My Lord, beginning with 39, as we see

it, through to 44, the objection of the Crown (sic)

is the tendency to be inflammatory and particularly
when we are dealing with -- of the defence, I'm
sorry, when we're dealing with the jury. My submission is that that 39 and 40 they show that there
was something appearing to be relating to a body under
the debris, and there is going to be testimony

brought forth to show how the debris was taken off
what appeared to be a person and removed exposing
a human being. Now, my submission My Lord is that
that is satisfactory for a jury to see - to understand

that there was a fire, that there is somebody there, and the testimony viva voce will show the condition of the person and, also My Lord, 39 and 40 show that the person was well covered with burnt material and does intend to be inflammatory. However, when you go on to 41, 42, 43 and 44, particularly 44, we have

the gruesome pictures which tend to depict nothing more than - what I am trying to say is that it would have no more than the effect of inflamming the minds of anyone, particularly the jury.

That is the objection of the crown - of the defence - I apologize for that.

The point of any photograph as an exhibit is to help the jury understand what has taken place in a criminal trial and at no time should there be a tendency to inflame the minds of a jury. So as long as they understand that there was a fire, that there was somebody underneath, which certainly 39 and 40

15

20

25

30

show, and with all the viva voce evidence, I don't see how the rest of the pictures can help from the point of view of being fair to the accused.

That's my objection My Lord.

5 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. WALSH: My Lord with respect to these particular photographs, 39, 40, 41, 42 through to 44, we could certainly agree with Mr. Kearney's argument with respect to inflammatory nature of certain photographs and that is why we have agreed not to introduce the actual autopsy photos associated with Annie Flam. However, when it comes to the series of photographs taken by Sergeant Chaisson they do go to an issue before the court. Number one, the position is the body was found, particularly as you can see what apparently appears to be underpants, the position of the underpants in relation to the body. There is an inference that could be drawn from the jury that in fact perhaps Annie Flam was as well treated in the same fashion as Nina Flam in terms of a sexual aspect to it. That's an inference open to the jury. And this is particularly important when the jury has to consider well whether or not these underpants ended in that position because of the way, for example, that she was buried or the way in fact that she was excavated from the rubble. So that's something the jury is entitled to -- It's probative to that particular issue. And, as well, the other issue that the Crown is required to prove is that the Crown will be alleging that Annie Flam received a broken jaw, that the broken jaw was not as a result of a heat

40DB

5

10

15

20

25

fracture but it was a mechanical fracture caused by a blow, and as a result that also goes to the fact and to the issue that at least the jury should know the position that this lady was found in, and the manner in which she was found and what was done in terms of excavating her from the particular rubble. These all go to an issue.

I don't think there can be any question that any photograph of a person who is deceased or who is burnt or is injured is in large respects not something that one wants to look at, certainly, but it's something that we must face the reality of and get to the probative aspect and realize the point that these matters go to proving a particular issue or assisting the jury in resolving any issues before the court.

Thank you My Lord.

THE COURT: Mr. Kearney anything that you want to reply to regarding what Mr. Walsh has said?

MR. KEARNEY: Well, again, My Lord, is that I understand but when we look at #44 we can see that the body was turned over on to a blanket and I still submit that that can all be explained viva voce going back to some of the original pictures where the person is seen under debris without going on and showing the other photographs. The same thing is going to be accomplished.

THE COURT: When I looked at the photographs during the

recess I came to the - or at least developed the

immediate reaction rather quickly that certainly the
autopsy photographs were rather gruesome and one

41DB 516

would wonder if they would illustrate for a jury anything that couldn't be described as well orally or vocally, and my reaction would have been, I think, to have said well none of those pictures should really be admitted. The pictures of the second book, I'm not sure whether they were taken at the autopsy or not but the one that included the photograph of the jaw bones.

MR. WALSH: They were taken at a funeral parlor later My Lord.

THE COURT: At a funeral parlor later. I wondered what possible use they could serve and I was wondering why a medical doctor couldn't perhaps describe as well the significance of anything that showed in those pictures. I didn't appreciate when I first saw the pictures that the two of them were intended to show that the jaw bone had been broken or so on.

I believe you have reached agreement that those two pictures of the jaw bone would be admitted. I wonder - still wonder if perhaps it couldn't be described as well by a doctor. A doctor says yes, the jaw bone was separated, and it was separated by so much, and that was the condition. However, you have agreed on that. You have agreed also to exclude the other ones which I would have done anyway.

With regard to the other pictures, 43 and 44,

I am going to permit those pictures to go in evidence.

They are gruesome. A few years ago one court was

perhaps reluctant to admit pictures showing blood
none of these do show blood incidentally - but great

pools of blood and all that sort of thing, but as

45 3025 /4 851

25

30

20

10

15

20

25

being too inflammatory. I think with the advent of the type of movies we see today and the type of television programs we see, I think the public generally has been acclimatized to some pretty gruesome stuff when you get chainsaw murders and that sort of stuff being shown to children during childrens' hour, and I think a court gives to the crown considerably more freedom than it did - does today. You know yesterday my wife wanted to see - she had never seen 'Dances with Wolves' - 'Dance with Wolves' is it, the movie, and which I saw last March, and you know even these pictures which are gruesome if you could stand 'Dances with Wolves' you could stand these pictures, and they don't have that impact.

I am going to permit those pictures. I am satisfied that they do have a significance in so far as position goes. Some of those pictures quite obviously were taken after some fo the debris was removed from over the body. One of the pictures was even taken of course after the body had been turned over on the sheet. They do seem to suggest that one of the legs and perhaps a part of the other leg had been burned off or destroyed. Is that the significant of those - or at least that appears.

MR. ALLMAN: That's not the main point of the picture.

THE COURT: No, no, I quite agree with you there. However, that's my ruling anyway. So now the other point I might have made was even though in the autopsy pictures I would have excluded the pictures of the charred remains of the body, the last picture in that

45-3025 (4 65)

group, #9 I think, was a pair of panties or something, when you agreed to exclude them are you excluding that one as well?

MR. ALLMAN: Yes. I don't think we need that.

been prepared to consider that had you been insisting on its admittance.

So, can we have the jury back then, now. There's no more comment on that point. When you remove, gentlemen, make sure those pictures - one book will be reduced just to the two pictures.

(Voir dire ends.)

(Jury called, all present.)

THE COURT: Now, you were going on with this witness.

15

25

30

10

DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED:

- Q. Now, I believe, Sergeant Chaisson, you had indicated that you were with the Identification Section and that you had occasion to take a number of photographs
- 20 A. That's correct, yes.
 - Q. First of all, you were present when the previous witness, Corporal Godin, gave his evidence and I think he indicated that the series of pictures that are marked as P-4 with numbers that you took the ones from F-7 to F-13.
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. I am going to give you those photographs. I believe the jury already got their copies. I would ask you to go through them indicating what each picture shows.

- A. First of all, aerial photographs F-7 to F-13 were taken by myself on the 19th of September, 1990 in the R.C.M.P. helicopter in the presence of a Corporal Mole from the R.C.M.P. of Fredericton area. And 5 photograph F-7 is an aerial photograph taken of the Chatham area. It's taken in a southwesterly directio and it shows the area where the residence of Annie Flam and Nina Plam used to be. Now if you look at the photograph F-7 and specifically in this area 10 right here in the corner of the photograph we have Water Street which is a street which runs past this red building on the bottom and comes up towards the right side of the photograph, and we see what looks like a sandy lot. This sandy lot is where the 15 residence of Annie and Nina Flam used to be located which was seen in previous aerial photographs F-l to F-6.
 - Q. By the time you took these photographs the damaged building I take it had been demolished.
 - A. That's correct, yes.
 - Q. If you proceed down from the left on that picture from the sandy lot where the Flams used to be can we see the Pizza Delight?
- A. That's correct. If you come down to the left you car see a building there with a green and yellow frontage to it and there's a small in fact a small Pizza Delight sign right in the parking area.
- Q. I guess by the time you took these photographs they had also taken down the red and yellow striped balloon.
 - A. Apparently so. Yes, that's correct.

10

15

20

25

- Q. Okay, carry on and tell us anything else concerning that picture.
 - A. The photograph itself depicts the surrounding area of the Chatham area and the red-roofed building we see in the background is the exhibition grounds in the Chatham area which was also referred to in the previous photographs.

Aerial photograph F-8, again a photograph taken in the Chatham area. This photograph was taken in a northwestern direction and at the top of the photograph we see the gray area which is the Miramichi River and just below that we see what looks like a shopping mall which indeed it is the mall in the Chatham area, and as we leave the mall and come out on to Water Street, which is the street that runs on the top of the photograph, we can once again see the sandy lot located just in this area here next to the blue and green building.

- Q. With the bluish-colored car parked on it?
- A. That's correct. There is a vehicle parked on the lot. That, once again, is the same area that used to be the residence of Annie and Nina Flam. And, again, we're seeing the residential area of Chatham from a different view than photograph number 7.

Q. Up in the top left-hand corner there's a ramp which would lead you on to what?

- A. That would be the Centennial Bridge which connects the Newcastle/Chatham area.
- Q. Which you see on the photograph F-1, the higher up of the two bridges?
 - A. That's correct, the one right on top. Photograph

10

15

20

25

30

F-9, another aerial photograph taken of the Chatham area. Once again we're looking in a northwestern direction however we have backed up considerably to cover a larger portion of the residential area in the Chatham area. The mall previously referred to, the shopping mall which we saw in photograph number 8, you can just barely see at the very top of the photograph. It's just up here. And the Flam residence or the sandy lot area which was the Flam residence, would be in this area right here.

- Q. The very top middle of the photograph?
- A. That's correct, yes. And now we're viewing the area in between the mall, the Flam residence and other residential areas of the Chatham area.

Photograph F-10, another aerial photograph taken of that area, and in photograph F-10 we have now gone back to the southwestern view or position. You referred to the Centennial Bridge. We can now see a portion of that Centennial Bridge crossing over the Miramichi River plus this gives us a view of the residential area plus oil storage tanks on the opposite side of this bridge.

- Q. Could you just stand up and circle with your pointer the area that's shown on F-10 that's shown on the big aerial photo. Where are we looking at?
- A. It would be in this area right here.
- Q. To the south --
- A. You can see the bridge. We're looking more or less this way at an angle.
 - Q. So the residence of Annie and Nina Flam would be down off where?

10

15

20

A. If one was to continue it would be at the bottom portion of this photograph here.

Photograph F-11, another aerial photograph. This one was taken more in a westerly view than a south-westerly view. It shows and depicts roughly the same area as seen in photograph number 10 but you see now a larger portion of the Centennial Bridge. We can see the road that connects the South Nelson and Chatham Head, Miramichi and Chatham area, plus we see the same bulk storage tanks we saw in photograph number 10, and the residential area that surrounds it.

- Q. Can you see, again, on that one the mall, the Pizza

 Delight and the sandy lot where Annie Flam's place

 used to be?
- A. Yes. In this particular aerial photograph if we come down just below the bridge we can see the mall referred to in the other photographs, and then you leave the mall, come out on that upper Water Street area where it curves and the sandy lot is right there near that green-roofed building, right here.
- Q. Then just by reference to the large aerial plan, the road runs along the river side underneath the bridge. Where is that on the large aerial plan?
- A. This would be this road right here. And you can see where it curves like in the photograph and you see the roof of the mall.
 - Q. If you go a bit keep going on down that road.
- A. This way here?
 - Q. Keep going, yes. Keep going. There is like a little indentation there in the bank of the river. Is that

- right? Yes, there. Can you just have a look at F-11? My impression is it goes down as far or just beyond that little indentation.
 - A. You are referring to this area right here?
- 5 Q. Yes.
 - A. Yes. In photograph F-ll it would be where we see the smoke rising here. It would be that little inlet or body of water in that corner right there, just below the smoke.

Photograph number 12, another aerial photograph taken of the Chatham area. This is taken, again, in a southwesterly direction. Here in this photograph we have come down an elevation and we can see much clearer the sandy lot which I was referring to as the residence of Annie and Nina Flam. We see the mall and we can also see upper Water Street as it runs in front of the mall. And the Pizza Delight can also be seen just at the bottom of the photograph.

- Q. And the exhibition up the top left middle left, and the ramp up to the Centennial right?
 - A. That's correct. The red-roofed building on the left side of the photograph is the exhibition grounds and then we can start seeing where the Centennial Bridge ramp begins here.

And finally, aerial photograph number 13. This photograph was taken - first of all taken in an easterly direction and this photograph depicts an area in the South Nelson-Chatham-Miramichi area. We see this body of water as being the Miramichi River. We also see the highway referred to previously, this particular highway right here that runs all the way

45 3025 (4, 85)

25

- down towards the coastline, down here, as being the same road that we saw in the large aerial photograph, and the residential area in this particular part of the Miramichi.
- O. Could you, again, turn around to the big aerial plan and take that picture with you. Can you as best you can trace the shoreline from where it starts on the small picture then trace it along on the big picture so we can see where we are proceeding.
- A. I don't know if the jury can see but it's here at the bottom portion of the --
 - Q. Going up north.
 - A. Going up north.
- Q. And on the small photograph we come to an indentation again.
 - A. This area here is actually in fact this area here in F-13. That point right there is this point.
 - Q. Then you proceed up a bit to what?
- A. Then we come up to another bridge, the Morrissy

 Bridge, which crosses over into the Newcastle area

 from the Chatham Head area.
 - Q. And if you look at the small photograph there's a pylon. Where is that in relation to the Morrissy Bridge?
 - A. That's on the left side of the photograph. We can just barely see where the bridge would start. Right in this area here.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. And at the end of the plan.
 - Q. And then after that you proceed up along that side of the river bank.

- A. That's correct. You would actually follow along here where the road is which in fact is this area here.
 - Q. Looking again at F-13 I'll direct your attention to a particular spot and maybe you can point it to the jury.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. In the lower right-hand corner a little ways in from the shoreline there is a curving feature on the landscape. Can you just show the jury what we are talking about?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. What's that?
- A. In photograph F-13 just in from the water we see a curving feature which appears to be a road but in fact it's a railway track line. Railway line.
 - Q. With the point -- Okay, you were doing it. You are following the direction of the railroad track --
 - A. As it goes inland.
- Q. It snakes up along the right-hand side of that picture.
 - A. That's correct, yes. And in fact you can see it here as well as it runs inland.
- Q. Maybe you could just stand up again I know it's a bore but stand up and follow the railroad track.
 - A. Starts from the bottom, now leaving the highway, and starts to run inland here, all through this area here. That's the railway track that we see part of in photograph F-13.
- Q. It's following not by any means exactly parallel but in general terms it is following the river's course although it doesn't curve exactly the same.
 - A. Yes. That's correct.

- MR. ALLMAN: I have no other questions about those photographs.
 - THE COURT: Just one thing the jury might be wondering.

 You mentioned Nelson and you mentioned Chatham Head.
- I think you used those. Where is Nelson; where is Chatham Head?
- A. The actual community, My Lord, of Chatham Head is just in this area off the Morrissy Bridge located here. This area, my understanding of all this rural and residential area here is referred to as Nelson-Miramichi. It comes down through this gap here.

 This is Chatham Head. This is actually Newcastle.

 And as you proceed down the road you find yourself in Chatham proper and then you're into a small area known as Nordin and other areas like that once you cross over the bridge.

THE COURT: There was reference to another area, Bushville, yesterday. Where is Bushville?

- A. My understanding of Bushville, My Lord, is between the actual community of Chatham or the Town of Chatham, this area here, and Chatham Head. It's in this gap here. There's a golf course and so forth here.
- THE COURT: There was reference to South Chatham. Where is South Chatham, or what is South Chatham?
 - A. I'm not sure My Lord. Part of Chatham. Southern part of Chatham perhaps.
- THE COURT: Thank you very much. Excuse me for intervening

 but I thought these might be things that the jury

 like myself might wonder about.

MR. ALLMAN: Anything that can be done to assist clarification we always appreciate My Lord.

I have a bundle of photographs. I understand that these are to be entered as an exhibit. That's your copy I believe.

THE COURT: And these are entered by agreement?

MR. ALLMAN: Well, they are entered mostly by agreement and a number of them, subject to the court ruling was --

THE COURT: Yes. This would be a book of photographs,

P-6. There are what - 93 photographs.

MR. ALLMAN: I believe that's the correct number.

THE COURT: So we will call them P-6, 1 to 93.

(Clerk marks book of photographs exhibit P-6.)

- MR. ALLMAN: I am showing you now, Sergeant, a bundle of

 photographs that was just entered as an exhibit, P-6,

 numbers 1 to 93. What can you tell us about all those

 My Lord I have copies for the jury as usual.
- A. Yes, .P-6 is a booklet of photographs containing 93
 photographs that I took on the 29th of May, 1989 in
 the Town of Chatham, County of Northumberland,
 Province of New Brunswick, and more specifically of
 the residence at 242 and 244 Water Street, the
 residences of Annie and Nina Flam.
- Q. Okay, can you just take us through them one by one and indicate what they show and if it's appropriate in your opinion to do so at any time cross-reference to the sketches P-2 and P-3.
- A. First of all before I start, these photographs will

 be a series of interior and exterior photographs of
 the dwelling, the one dwelling which consists of 242
 and 244 Water Street. Photograph number 1, this is

- the front view of the dwelling or the duplex that we know as 242 and 244 Water Street in the Town of Chatham. In this particular photograph we see the front of the dwelling and we also see the east side of the dwelling. Now, on the front of the dwelling we can see that there's a store front on the left side of the photograph which is the actual side that Annie Flam used to occupy, the store and the area above the store, and on the right side of the photograph that is the area where Nina Flam used to live. That is strictly a residential area.
 - Q. If you look at P-2, the store that's marked on P-2, is that the area to the left of the Lotto 649 sign as we look at the picture?
- A. In photograph 1.
 - Q. Photograph 1, looking at sketch P-2.
 - A. I'm sorry, yes.
 - Q. This area marked 'store'.
- That's correct. Where the area is marked 'store' on Α. 20 P-2 that would be where the Papsi-Cola sign is and the 649 lotto is. It's that area there. In photograph number 2 we're showing the east side of the dwelling that we saw in previous photograph number 1. That's the side where we see one little window and 25 there's a peak, but your attention in the photograph number 2 is to the side of this building. We see just on the edge of the photograph there's a building here, and if we refer to photograph number 3, and this is to be viewed like this in a vertical position we see that same side of building that we saw in photograph number 2. We can still see in the

shadowed area the east side of the Flam house but the reason this particular photograph was taken is to draw your attention to an area just where the cement and the grass come together. There's something on the ground there. And if you look at photograph number 4 you get a close-up view of what that item I was trying to photograph on the ground, and as it turned out it was a rubber surgical glove, just beside the cement and the grass.

10

Α.

5

In photograph number 5 --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, where is the surgical glove?

It's right there My Lord.

MR. ALLMAN: Right at the very middle of photograph --

Of photograph #4.

15 THE COURT: Oh yes. You indicated that to the jury?

Yes, I did, yes. In photograph number 5 this is a rear photograph of the dwelling that we know as the Flam residence. It shows, once again, the two sides. We have on the side on the left of the photograph as 20 being Nina's side of the residence, and the side on the right of the photograph as being Annie's side of the residence. Now also in this backyard area we can see the two rear entrances plus there's two clothesline stands that we can see right beside the door, 25 and your attention in photograph number 5 will be drawn to the clothesline stand that we see right here beside Annie's back door. And when you go to photograph number 6, and that's to be viewed vertical like this again, up and down position, we can see that 30 clothesline stand that I was referring to as being the one beside Annie's back door in photograph number 5.

Now in photograph number 6 there's something on the stairs that lead up to that clothesline stand and if you turn the page to photograph number 7 you can see that it's a ball - type of baseball cap with the wording "Tropicana Tanning" written on the peak - or on the cap.

In photograph number 8 we're back on Water Street

10

15

20

25

30

in the front of the building. At this time we're looking in a different direction. Before in photograph number 1 we were looking in a northeastern direction; now we're looking in a northwestern direction. So now we can see the side of Annie Flam's and the store area closer to us than the side that Nina used to live on. In this photograph you can also see the roof on Annie's side has suffered some damage - structural damage, and also you can see that there's an alleyway that leads just near the 7-Up sign towards the back of the residence. And in photograph number 9, that photograph to be viewed in a vertical position again, shows the alleyway going towards the back. Also in photograph number 9 you see the small part of Annie's back door area. We can see the small porch entry to the back and we also see a small window there. That particular window was broken at the time that this photograph was taken.

In photograph number 10 we're now going to go into the store and we can see in photograph number 10, again viewed in a vertical position, the numbers 244, and we're going to go into the store as we turn the page to photograph number 11. We're now standing just inside the door and, again, you got to view this

45 3025 (4 65)

- in a vertical position, up and down. We're standing inside the doorway. You saw some of these photographs in the video. You can see there's a cooler to your immediate right. There's also a cooler directly in front of us. There's some chip racks on the right as well in the photograph and there's a green soft drink stand. You can just see that on the left side of the photograph.
- Q. If I can just interrupt you for a moment again.

 We're now physically inside the bit that's marked

 'store' on exhibit number 2?
 - A. That's correct, we're just inside the door.
 - Q. And this door with the peak over it is to the left as you look at number 2, is that right?
- 15 A. It would be standing right here where this photograph was taken. And what we're going to do now, we're going to come in here and we're going to just back up a little bit and take the next photograph, which is photograph number 12. We're going to be 20 viewing the store in this direction here. And photograph number 12, again, to be viewed in a vertical position, up and down, you can see the same chip stand we just saw when we came in the store in photograph number 11. You can still see it on the left 25 side of the photograph but I have gone behind it a little bit and I'm now looking into the store area. And the main store area we see the counter area, we see the can goods. You also see in this -- It's quite hard to see but there's a six forty-nine 30 machine there. And as we go to photograph number 13 --

- Just let me stop you there for a moment. Which is ٥. the six forty-nine machine? Like what color is it?
 - It's a bluish --It's in the shadowed area of the Α. photograph but it would be a bluish item that can be barely seen in the corner.
 - About halfway up the middle by the right-hand side of Q. the picture.
 - A. In fact it's just above the white shelving that we see. It's sitting on top of that.

10 Now in photograph 13 we have now gone just past that six forty-nine machine and we're looking at the main counter receiving area of the store. We see the same can goods that we saw in photograph 12 in the background. We see cigarettes on the shelves. And 15 in this particular photograph your attention is going to be drawn as in the center there's two cartons of Export cigarettes and they are noted right almost in the center of the photograph, right there. You have to look in the background, just past the shelves of 20 cigarettes, there's two cartons of Export cigarettes there, where if you go to photograph number 14, hold it vertically up and down, and you can see those two cartons of Export cigarettes just up here in the upper corner. Now the reason this photograph is 25 taken, if you come down from the cartons of Export cigarettes you see a small step-on stool, and if you turn the page to photograph number 15 we discovere that on the top of that step-on stool there was a quantity of money that can be seen in photograph 15.

45 3025 14 851

Now, if we go back just a moment to photograph 13 we saw in the main receiving area of photograph number 13 there was a drawer, a wooden type of drawer located just below the cigarettes. This wooden drawer in photograph number 16 has now been isolated or more closely depicted as being just below the cigarettes. And when we opened that particular drawer we saw what we saw in photograph number 17. It had a quantity of money inside that wooden drawer.

10

Now, in photograph number 18, and I'll refer to exhibit P-2, when I came into the store I came in here and I am now standing right here in front of those can goods that we saw a few moments ago and I am photographing back out towards the way I just came in, and you can see in the photograph the same chip stand that we saw when we walked into the store in photograph number 11. We can see behind the chip stand there's boxes of Tide and so forth on the shelves, but in this photograph your attention is drawn to a green 7-Up soft drink stand that's just to the left of that photograph. Right here My Lord. A green 7-Up stand.

20

15

The 7-Up symbol in red and white appears on there? Q. Yes, that's correct. And if you turn to photograph A. 25 number 19 you can now see that - and this is to be viewed vertically now, up and down, you can see the green 7-Up stand just on the other side of that doorway. So in fact on the plan P-2 what I have done I'm standing right here now, the 7-Up stand would be right here and I'm standing right here. And in this photograph you can see there's an entranceway here

20

25

30

- and in fact if you look on the wallpaper on this wall here it looks like there's some kind of little cupid or something in the wallpaper the way the burnt design is in photograph number 19.
- ⁵ Q. Would you just --
 - A. Right here. Looks like a little figurine on the wall there.

Now, in photograph number 20, and again keeping in mind I'm standing right here, I'm now photographing what's in front of me. In other words I'm standing in the archway we saw in photograph 19 just beside the 7-Up stand and I'm now looking straight ahead into the kitchen area. And also on photograph number 20 --

- Q. On the sketch where are you standing and which way are you looking?
 - A. I am standing right here; I am looking down here; and you can see the washer. Where it says washer here you can see that in photograph number 20 and you can also see something right here, and this is part of the den area and you can see it's an arm of a couch, a loveseat or something that's situated right here.

So if you go up to photograph 21 now you get a better look at the couch or the loveseat that was seen in photograph number 20 and this is a small den or a TV room or whatever.

In photograph 22, to be viewed vertically, I'm going around that little den or little TV room and you will note there's a television in that room and just below the television on the TV stand there's a brown box right there, right below the TV. A small brown cardboard box. And if you turn the page to

Sgt. Chaisson - direct.

photograph number 23 you can see that's a box containing a quantity of money, twenty dollar bills, change and so forth.

Now, photograph number 24 and in fact 25 and 26 I am now going to go into the kitchen area, just past that little den and sitting room. The washer that we saw in photograph number 20 now I'm just on top of it. You can see the washer cover is up. You can see the counter area, part of the stove. You see two tables, one with looks like iron chairs and a wooden table set. And in photograph number 25 I have now positioned myself where you see those two little metal chairs in the background of photograph number 24 if you view it vertically. I'm standing right in front of that table and I'm looking back towards the clothes washer with the cover up. You can see that in photograph number 25. You see the stove and the sink area and, again, the corner of the kitchen woodet table.

In photograph number 26 you can now see the entranceway which I just came in. Again, you see the clothes washer with the cover up. You can see part of the store in fact where the green 7-Up stand if you look through that doorway you can almost see it in the background over there, plus the remaining portion of the kitchen, the kitchen table and so forth.

Now, in photograph number 27 we're going to leave the kitchen area but before we do that we're going to be in this area right here on P-2, the bottom portion. And in the kitchen area there's the

45 302514 851

10

15

20

25

washer and if you look right across there was a door there that led into a little back porch and you can see that door. If you view the photograph vertically up and down you can see that little back door, the back door going into the porch right there, plus you can see the back entrance, the reddish door that we saw in the previous photograph and we viewed the back in photograph number 5. This is the same rear entrance right down here that we saw in photograph 5. And this is the window that I referred to when I said that there was an alleyway and this window was broken. This is the window that had been broken in the small porch area.

Now, photograph number 28 we have gone back to that area in photograph 19. Would you turn back to photograph 19 just for a second, and this is to be viewed up and down, vertically, again. You see that little - what I call a little cupid or a little thing on the wall? Well, if you go back now - or move ahead to photograph number 28 where we are now, again view it up and down, you can see that little figurine or whatever it is on the wallpaper. This particular area is the area that leads upstairs. We're standing right here now. This archway right here. And this is going to lead to the upstairs level of the Flam residence - of Annie's residence. And you can see in photograph 28 that as we were walking through the store before we didn't have any fire damage. We had water damage, some heat damage, some smoke damage, but now we're getting into an area that's black and it's charred. We've had some fire damage here.

45-3025 (4 85)

10

5

15

20

25

5

10

And you can see the stairs that lead upstairs in photograph 28. There's a couple in the photograph.

In photograph 29 I have now moved and I am standing pretty well in front of that little figurine that we saw in photograph 28 and I'm looking up the stairs, plus an area beside the stairs, and we can see in the upper photograph 29 there was a storage area here where the door had been closed and when we opened it we saw that the contents inside in fact were not even burnt. They had been protected from fire. And we see pop bottles and so forth, pepsi bottles on the ground.

- Q. The unburnt area, just/we got this absolutely clear,
 behind the door that you opened that's got what looks
 like brown cardboard, newspapers and something blue
 in it?
- A. Exactly. The bluish I don't recall what it is. It was some sort of a bag. But in photograph 30 you can get a closer look at that little storage area just underneath the stairs.
 - Q. And the point you're making, as I understand it, is that those appear to be intact?
 - A. Everything appears intact, yes.
- THE COURT: Was there a door closed on that? Had you opened a door to take the picture?
 - A. I opened it My Lord.
 - MR. ALLMAN: I think in fact if you look tell me if I'm wrong, on 29 right down the middle from the top there's like a white line.
 - A. Yes.

30

Q. What's that?

63DB

- A. That's the door edge in an open position which, again, would have been protected from the fire when it was closed.
 - Q. If you look at 30 can you see a door handle on there?
- A. I don't recall seeing a handle, no.
 - Q. I'll show you what I'm looking at and see if I might be wrong in what it is.
 - A. I can't recall. It looks like a groove in the door frame but I'm not sure.
- Q. I'm sorry to interrupt you. Carry on 29 and 30.
- A. Okay. Viewing these photographs in an up and down position, vertically, we're going to start up the stairs, and the stairs that we see in photograph number 30 are the same ones we can just see a bit of in photograph 29 and in photograph 28. Now, Corporal Godin previously referred to at the top of the first flight of stairs as a humidifier and we see that there in that photograph. That yellow square that we have there is what used to be a humidifier.

20

25

In photograph 31 I have now continued up the stairs and, again, view this one vertically up and down and you can see now a better view of that humidifier because now I've gone up the stairs and I am standing at the top level of Annie Flam's residence.

- Q. Looking back down the way you just came I gather.
- A. That's correct, yes. And you can see there's burning
 here as well. We have charring and black area plus
 we see a piece of the bannister, that little post
 that's sticking out here. In photograph number 32

- I am now standing beside that little post that we see in photograph 31. Again, view this up and down, and I'm looking into the bathroom area in photograph number 32.
- Now perhaps you can take us on whichever plan is appropriate and tell us where you are standing and which way you are looking on 32.
 - A. So I've gome upstairs --
 - Q. You're on P-3.
- 10 A. The humidifier is right here and I'm standing right here and I'm looking into what used to be the bathroom right here. That's what you see in photograph number 32. And in fact photographs 33 -- 33 you view it looking straight on like this. That's what was in that particular bathroom area at the time.

 A great deal of debris and so forth.

Now on photograph 34 - and this one has to be viewed vertically now, up and down, this is the entranceway or the archway that leads into Annie Flam's bedroom, and in P-3 Annie Flam's bedroom is right here, so I'm standing right here looking into the bedroom.

- Q. Looking in through that entrance?
- A. That's correct. Yes. Photograph number 35 I have

 just stepped into the bedroom and I'm taking a general

 photograph to show the darkened and charcoaled area

 of the bedroom.

Photograph 36 has to be viewed up and down in a vertical position and this particular photograph, again, is taken in Annie Flam's bedroom and it shows the amount of debris that has come down on to the

45 3025 |4 85|

floor area. We have everything in there including roof tiles and you can see they're all stacked up.

And that's even more clearly seen in photograph 37.

You can actually see the asphalt roofing tiles all piled up in the middle. Now, in this photograph your attention is drawn to an area almost in the middle of the photograph and that's what you saw in the video which looks like a bone sticking out. In fact that's what it is right there in the middle of the photograph.

THE COURT: What number is that?

- A. Photograph number 37 My Lord.
- MR. ALLMAN: My Lord perhaps we should just mention to the jury that the next few photographs are rather upsetting.
- A. Now, from photograph 37 on to, finally, photograph number 44 we removed layer by layer of debris, very carefully, and that's what the series of photographs depict. For example photograph 38 we can see now the roofing tiles are no longer there. They have been removed very slowly. Go on to the next photograph. Photograph 39 we have removed yet another layer of debris, gyproc, wallpaper and everything else, and as we go down to number 40 to 41 and 42, finally we end up with what was buried underneath the debris.
 - Q. In 39 what are you beginning to see right in the middle of the picture there?
- A. In photograph 39 in the middle of the photograph we're starting to see what appears to be a right arm and elbow, perhaps the right side, right hip and right leg area. That particular bone that we first saw

- when we started in photograph number 38 was the right knee area and we can still see that in photograph 39.

 And, again, in photograph 40 the knee becomes more evident, the right arm is more evident, and finally, like I say, in 42 the whole shape of the body can now
 - Q. In 41 and 42 we can see what you are telling us is a body, eh?
 - A. That's correct, yes.

be seen.

- 10 Q. In what position is the body lying? On its back, its front, its side?
 - A. The body is lying on its back. It's clad with only a pair of underpants. At least that's all that's present at the time.
- Q. Is that how -- You were watching, I take it, as they removed bits and --
 - A. I was removing it myself, very carefully. And in photograph 43 we have a close-up of the final just before we turned the body over on a white sheet which we can see in photograph number 44.
 - Q. Photograph number 44 then, which position is the body in after it's been put on the sheet?
 - A. It's face down in this particular case.
- Q. On that picture you can see just below the buttocks a red and white object. Can you tell me what that is?
 - A. Yes, that's the same pair of underpants that we can just get a glimpse at in photograph #43 and the other photographs.
 - Q. It's and I don't think this is a leading question, it's obvious that the underpants are not in the position that underpants would normally be worn by

20

10

15

somebody. Can you tell us when you saw the body rolled over and put on to the sheet what position the underpants were in?

A. The underpants in all these photographs have not been disturbed. That's how they were found on the body.

In photograph number 45, again you got to view this one up and down, this photograph was taken in the bedroom of Annie Flam but it's looking up now towards the roof area, and the purpose of this photograph was to show the extreme damage to the structure and how you can see the sky right through the roof which when we looked in photograph number 1 - or perhaps a better photograph would have been photograph number 8, we could see that same structural damage to the roof, how it went right through the ceiling.

Now, in photograph number 46, right beside

Annie Flam's bedroom there's another room over here.

That room right there.

Q. What's it marked on P-3 as? What does it say?

A. Bedroom. And this room is just next door to Annie
Flam's bedroom and if you look at photograph number
lit's the room where you have the peaks there. This
particular window right here where the peak is.

That's that bedroom I'm referring to. And in that
bedroom we found a desk and that's what this photograph shows, and photograph number 46 is the desk, and
when we opened the desk we found in one of the drawers
which we can see as being the left bottom drawer of
photograph number 46, what we saw in photograph number
47. There was a large quantity of money and bills and
so forth in paper envelopes in photograph 47.

45-3025 (4 '85)

10

15

- Q. When you located the desk was the drawer in that open condition or a closed condition?
 - A. It was in a closed condition and we indeed opened it.

In photograph number 48 again we're in that same bedroom where the desk is and if you look at the bottom of photograph number 48 or in the lower left-hand corner you can still see a portion of that desk that I'm referring to. We opened the drawers here as well and in photographs 49 and 50 you can see a jewelry box that has some coins in it and that yellow purse that we see in photograph 50 was full of money as well. And these drawers were closed. We opened them as well.

Now, in photograph 51 we're going back down to the store, in the main store area, and this next series of photographs is going to show us the only way to get over to Nina's side of the duplex from inside. There's no cross-over on top. The only way to cross over is from the bottom.

- Q. You were in court when Corporal Godin was explaining this morning the process of getting from Nina Flam's to Annie's or vice versa, so this is what these are intending to depict?
- A. That's correct, yes. And if you look at photograph number 18 just for a moment, in photograph 18 in the background we saw some shelving which showed two Tide boxes, Tide detergent boxes. When you go back to photograph 51, and you view this one again in a vertical up and down position, you can see those same two Tide boxes right there on the shelf on the bottom, and to the left of that shelving we see Hostess potato chip

10

20

- cardboard boxes which we can see much closer in photograph 52. You have to go by those Hostess potato chip boxes, turn to your left of the photograph 52 and what do you see you would see the door we see in photograph 53. This in fact is the connecting door between Nina's part of the building, the bottom portion, and the store area which is Annie's part. And in photograph 54 when you peek around the door that you see in photograph 53 you see Nina's front door. We can see that.
- Q. Which door is that on the plan P-2?
- A. Looking at the Tide boxes that were right here, the
 Hostess potato chip boxes are all lined up on this
 wall, so you look down here and you see that door,
 and when you peek around that door in 53 you can
 see Nina's front door right there.
 - Q. Can you see that in 54?
 - A. I'm sorry, photograph 54 is where you see the front door, yes.
 - Q. The brown door is Nina's front door?
- A. Exactly, yes. Now, in photograph 55 we're back out front on Water Street and now we're going to go through Nina's part of the dwelling and, again, we see the 242 upper Water Street, or Water Street, and the front door area all exposed. Photograph 56, again view that vertically up and down, we have now come in through the front door and we're staring at the stairway that leads upstairs to Nina's residence.

 We see a clothes closet on the right side of the photograph. The door that I just referred to in photograph 54 would be right in this area near the

green carpet area right here. Also, in photograph

- 56 right behind the front door -- you see the door handle here, right behind that door is where the living room area starts of Nina's residence, and that you can see in photograph 57. If you look in the mirror -- In the photograph there's a corner of a mirror. You can see that same front door in the mirror reflected back out and that's the same door that you see in photograph 56 on the side.
- Q. And we are looking we are standing now in which room?
 - A. Okay, we have come in through this door. That's the door we saw in photograph 56, and that's the same door you can see in the mirror. The mirror is right here and you can see part of the door handle here.
- 15
 Q. It's marked living room?
- That's correct. Now there's no fire damage here. Α. In this particular area we have more water damage and smoke damage but there's no black charcoal burning as we can see here. This is the living room. In 20 photograph 58 we see the other end of the couch that we saw in photograph 57. We're going now towards the dining room area and in photograph 59 we can see that dining room area and the same couch and the chairs that we just saw. As we go by the dining room, and 25 in photograph 60, we see the buffet and china area. As we go by the dining room table we are now in photograph 61 and we are into a family room or a den area where we see the black lazy-boy and the televisio and the lamps and so forth. 30
 - Q. Is that on P-2? The den area with the lazy-boy?

15

20

- A. Exactly. We're just coming right through this door here.
 - Q. There's like double doors there, or at least they look like double doors on the plan.
- A. They were in fact double doors. French doors I believe.

Photograph 62 - you can see the two double doors in photograph 62 just to the right of the photograph, and the lazy-boy chair plus the couch and so forth. Again, there's no burning here. This is basically all water damage and smoke damage here.

Photograph 63 you see the same television in this family room or den that belongs to Nina - you can see the same television we saw in photograph number 61, and also on photograph 63 we see the entrance or the accessway to the kitchen area of Nina Flam's kitchen.

Photograph 64 shows a close-up of that entranceway. The same door you see in photograph 63 you can see in photograph 64, plus the kitchen counter behind and another metal chair.

In photograph 65 we are now looking into the kitchen area of Nina Flam's.

- Q. Can you just go back to 63 and 64. I got the impression some of the jury weren't quite clear about it. 63 you are standing in the den; you're looking through a doorway that's on the left; and you can see a metal curly-backed sort of chair in the kitchen.
- 30 A. That's correct.
 - Q. And on 64 you have moved up towards that door and now you can see the metal chair a lot clearer.

Q.

5.

- A. That's correct, and I'm standing right here with the door open and you can see the counter area and so forth. That's in 64. Well in 65 I am now standing almost where the word 'kitchen' is written on the plan here and I'm looking towards the rear entrance. If you look at photograph 65 you can see the main back door that leads outside and that's the same back door that we previously saw in photograph number --
- 10 A. Yes, that's correct, photograph number 5, and in photograph number 5 it's the open door to the extreme left of the photograph. That's the rear entrance.

 Plus we see the brown door in photograph 65 that led us to the family room or to the den area and back out into the kitchen.
 - Q. And you can see that chair again too, eh?
- A. Yes, that's correct, the same metal chair with the plant is right there. Also in photograph 65 we have a folding door right here along this side here.

 That folding door leads to a small bathroom area which we will see here in a few minutes in the kitchen area.

Photograph 66 I have now turned around and I am standing roughly where that metal chair is where the flower pot is and I'm looking on the other side of Nina Flam's kitchen. We see the stove, the fridge, the microwave, and so forth, and you see that entranceway right here on this photograph, in 66. This is the entranceway that will lead you back to the hallway, to the stairway, to the clothes closet that we saw when we came in through the front door.

45-3025 (4 85)

10

15

20

25

30

Photograph 67, and that's to be viewed vertically up and down, that shows a small bathroom with the folding door that I just referred to in the kitchen area. This is right here. Again, there's no fire damage here. This is strictly water and smoke and some heat damage. And in photograph 68 we have come back out to the area we saw when we first entered the front door of Nina Flam's residence. We have the stairway, the same clothes closet, and this is the archway I just referred to when we were in the kitchen.

- Q. Where are you standing on the plan when you take that picture?
- A. Photograph number 68?
- Q. Yes.
- A. I would be standing roughly right on the corner here looking this way.
- Q. That's like a corner that projects up above the word 'closet'. The word 'closet' is below it; the word 'living room' is up and to its left?
 - A. That's correct. And I am now looking -- I'm photographing it so I can relate the same clothes closet that I saw when I first came in here and you can see the stairway.

In photograph 69 and photograph 70 I am showing that stairway that leads upstairs into Nina Flam's bedroom area. You can see the blue garbage can at the foot of the first flight of stairs. This same blue garbage can we see in photograph number 70. Photograph number 71 I am now standing where that blue garbage can was that we saw in photograph number

10

15

20

70 and I'm looking up the final flight of stairs looking into the upstairs area of Nina Flam's residence, and in fact when I look in photograph 71 I'm seeing the doorway or the archway that leads into Nina's bedroom. And perhaps photograph 72, and that's to be viewed up and down, you can see the exact same doorway that goes right into Nina Flam's bedroom. This is the second flight of stairs.

Q. That's which doorway on P-3?

Α. P-3, you come up the stairs, be this area right here. I'm looking in this area here. And photograph 73 shows exactly what it looks like when I was standing in the doorway of Nina Flam's residence on that particular morning. We see that there has been a great deal of burning and water damage here as well. Heat damage. There's a dresser almost right in the way. And, again, you view this up and down. There's a dresser that's been moved in the way. And on photograph number 74 I have gone a little bit further in the bedroom and you can see the same dresser that I saw at the entranceway in photograph 73. Now in photograph 74, you view this one horizontally, you can see just behind that dresser there's a bed with a great deal of debris and so forth on top of the bed, plus you can see night tables on the right of the photograph and there seems to be another dresser or something to the right of the photograph - to the left of the photograph.

In photograph number 75 I have gone into Nina's bedroom now and I'm standing past that dresser we just saw in photograph 73 and 74 and I'm looking back towards the entranceway and the stairway that I just

45 3025 (4 85)

. .

25

10

15

20

25

30

came up.

- Q. In 75 that's the front of the dresser, the back of which you see in 74?
- A. That's correct. And that's the doorway I just came in and there's the stairway which would be just leading down here. And, again, you can see a portion of the headboard of that bed as well in photograph 75. Photograph number 76 I am photographing the same bed. We're still in Nina's bedroom. You can still see the portion of that dresser we just saw, plus in photograph 76 there's an end table located just to the right side of that bed. Also on photograph 76 you get to appreciate the amount of debris and stuff that has fallen on top of that bed.

Photograph number 77 has to be viewed vertically up and down. This photograph is taken of Nina's bedroom as well and what this photograph shows is the same little night table that we saw in photograph 76 but in the vertical format you get a portion of the wall where the window is, the front - the north side of the building, and you can see also that it's full of debris, see the portion of the bed plus another hope chest or cedar chest in that area right here.

Now, photograph number 78 is a photograph taken in Nina's bedroom and this time this photograph depicts the actual bed. You can see the debris on top of the bed plus debris all around the bed and at the foot of the bed, and we also see a green garbage can there at the foot of the bed.

45 3025 |4 B5|

5

10

15

20

25

30

Α.

Photograph number 79 and photograph number 80 those have to be viewed up and down vertically, and what they show is the pillow area and the blanket area of Nina's bed after the first layer of debris and boards and gyproc has been removed, and we can see there's some blankets in that area plus there's still a lot of other items on the bed itself. Photograph number 80 I removed one of the pillows and you can see that the sheets underneath the pillows have not been burnt. They have been protected by the debris, by the pillow, by the actual stuff that has fallen down. In this photograph though you can't see it in photograph number 80, there was some hairs

Photograph number 81 - and this photograph, again, you view it veritically up and down with the number 81 on the bottom right-hand corner to view that, and this, again, is the same Nina's bed, the same bed area, but the blanket has been moved back a little bit and we can see the same sheets we saw in photograph number 80, but also if you look right near the blanket, right near the shadowed area, there a small cord there. That little twine or cord has a knot in it and that's what the purpose of this photograph is is to show that, and that was removed.

Q. You are talking about which number now?

there which we removed at the time.

Number 81. In photograph 82, and this one can be viewed vertically up and down as well, we are now examining the blanket area, again the top of the bed, removing it layer by layer, very slowly, and on top of this blanket which we saw in photograph 79, we find a burnt match right on that blanket.

10

15

20

25

- Q. That's practically slap in the middle of that picture.
 - A. That's correct, yes.
 - Q. On the white patch between the red and yellow?
 - A. Yes. And that match was retained as well.
 - Now, photographs 83, 84, 85, 86, these are all photographs of Nina Flam's bed. In fact they are of the bed spring and mattress and what we are showing here is we have removed all the layers. We're right down to the mattress as you can see in photograph 84. And also by looking at photograph 84 you see this sort of a burn pattern. There's actually been burning here. That's not as a result of heat damage or smoke damage. There's burning. This black charring here. And photograph 85 shows the same thing, and finally in photograph 86 we have removed we have lifted the mattress off the bed spring, off the box spring, and you can see that the burning had actually got into the bottom portion of the box spring.
 - Q. Carry on.
 - A. Photograph number 87, this photograph once again is taken in Nina Flam's bedroom, and in Nina Flam's bedroom she has two closets and you can see them in the photograph. In 87 this would be the first door to the closet right here.
- Q. That's the one to the right as you look at the picture
- A. Exactly. And there's a second door right here. That one is more shut. In fact it is shut. The second door to the two closet areas which we can see in P-2 here. This is the closets right here. Now that dresser right there has now been pulled out and you can see it in photograph number 87 as being right in the middle of the floor.

45 3025 (4 85)

10

15

20

30

Photograph 88 that's the first closet we're photographing now. That's the first one we saw at the right of photograph 87, and we can see that inside that closet there's a fair amount of burning. It's all black and charcoally in there as well. And photographs 89, 90 and 91, and you view those photographs up and down this way with the numbers in the upper left-hand corner, we have gone into that closet and we have scraped it out. We have dug it out completely. And what we found after we were finished digging, we found that the burning was deep. There was what we call a deep burning pattern right through the two closets there. And 89 and 90 show that as well as 91. On the other hand, photographs 92 and 93 they show the second closet in photograph 87, the one with the door shut, and when we opened that and we dug through that one we found that there was no burning on the floor. The floor in fact had been protected by falling debris and so forth but it wasn't as badly damaged as the previous closet.

- Q. Do I take it you can see that in 93? Has somebody brushed away the debris in the corner of 93 to show the floor underneath?
- A. Yes, we did.
 - Q. That door when you got there was shut?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. You have opened it a little bit in 92 and rather more in 93 to reveal the interior and the points you were just making?
 - A. That's correct. In 92 you can see there's more heat damage. Things had melted in there but there's been no charcoaling or actual burning.

- 1 Q. If I could just go back a moment. Could you go back to 73. Correct me if I'm wrong but 73 through to 93 all depict various views of the interior of what you call Nina's bedroom and then of the closet in Nina's bedroom, correct?
 - A. That's correct. Items and the room itself.
 - Q. And specifically of the bed.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You then proceeded to take it apart so to speak.
- A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. On plan 3 can you point to the bed and the closets that those photographs, 73 to 93, are intending to depict? In fact do depict.
- A. Yes, it would be this area here known as Nina's bedroom. This is the same bed and these would be the
 two closets we referred to.
 - Q. On P-3 that's the room that's the top left-hand room as you look at the sketch?
- A. That's correct, yes.

THE COURT: I wonder if we shouldn't stop there for lunch.

MR. ALLMAN: That would be a convenient time.

THE COURT: How --

MR. ALLMAN: I'll be very brief with this witness. I think about five or ten more minutes at the most.

THE COURT: Then you are ready to go on with other witnesses

MR. ALLMAN: Subject to whether Mr. Furlotte intends to cross-examine or not we will be, yes.

THE COURT: Yes, but I mean when he gets through if he

continues. I mean you are ready to proceed?

MR. ALLMAN: Yes, we will.

15

Sgt. Chaisson - direct.

THE COURT: Well, I warn you as I did Corporal Godin. You can't talk about this aspect of your testimony until you are finished.

(Jury excused.)

(NOON RECESS - 1:05 - 2:15 P.M.)

COURT RESUMES: (Accused present. Jury called, all present.

THE COURT: And you were going to complete the direct examination of Sergeant Chaisson.

10 MR. ALLMAN: That's correct, My Lord.

DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED:

- Q. Sergeant Chaisson in the sketch which is P-3 there's a drawing an outline drawing of what appears to be a representation of a human body and a rectangle underneath. From your observations when you were there what would that appear to represent?
- A. From my observations when I was there, and we're referring to the photographs numbered 40, 41 and 42 and so forth, that would be a representation of the body that we discovered underneath the debris.
 - Q. Okay, so you have got the debris as the top layer.
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. A body underneath. Then what's underneath the body?
- $_{\mbox{\scriptsize 25}}$ A. There is the remaining portion of a bed or a mattress and so forth.
 - Q. I am going to turn from the photographs to a different topic now with this witness. I would ask to enter this item as an exhibit. I understand there is no objection to it.

MR. FURLOTTE: That's correct My Lord.

- ¹ THE COURT: This will be exhibit P-7.
 - MR. ALLMAN: A plastic pill box containing I believe the evidence is going to be containing the remains of a match.
- (Clerk marks container and contents exhibit P-7.)
 - MR. ALLMAN: I am showing you now a box that the Court

 Clerk just marked P-7. Can you look at the writing
 that was already on it, and the contents, and tell
 us what you know about that.
- A. Yes. Item number P-7 is a plastic vial which contains a partly burnt match. It's dated the 30th of May, '89 with my initials, and there's the signature of R. Britt on it as well. This is the same partly burnt match that we saw in exhibit item P-6.
- Q. I believe it's 82.
 - A. And photograph number 82. It's the one that we recovered from the top of the blanket of Nina Flam's bed.
- Q. It made its way from the position we see it in 82 20 picture 82 into there.
 - A. Into the plastic vial into the possession of Constabl Britt.
- MR. ALLMAN: I would ask to enter this item as an exhibit.

 Again, I understand there is no objection.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: That's correct My Lord.

THE COURT: This is a --

- MR. ALLMAN: This is a portion of knotted black tights or nylons.
- THE COURT: P-8 this would be.

(Clerk marks bag and contents exhibit P-8.)

- MR. ALLMAN: I am showing you now an item which the court clerk marked as P-8. Could you tell me anything you know about that including any reference to any markings that are on the container.
- evidence when we were in the bedroom of Nina Flam we continued to go through the debris layer by layer with the bed and then we progressed to the floor area and exhibit P-6, photograph number 78 is a photograph shows the bed of Nina Flam and it also shows the headboard of the bed of Nina Flam. It was in the area where the green garbage can is located in that photograph in the floor area, mixed up in the debris area, that this particular item was retrieved.
 - Q. This particular item is what?
 - A. It is a black nylon pantyhose type stocking with a knot in it. It has my initials on it and it is dated the 30th of May, '89.
- THE COURT: Wouldn't it be well, Mr. Allman, at sometime or other we have to pass these items around to the jury so they have a chance to look at them. As far as the match goes I don't think that's any -- The jury don't require to see the match. It's a match stick.
- MR. ALLMAN: They can see it fairly well in the photograph.

 THE COURT: You can see it in the picture. But would it not

 be a good idea to have the Sergeant Chaisson hold

 up take out and hold --
- MR. ALLMAN: Perhaps I'll ask him to take it out so that
 they can observe the situation better. Could you
 just do as His Lordship suggested, take it out and
 show the jury the object that's inside.

- A. I see the knot has been removed since we last secured
 - Q. When you had it found it on the floor there what was the condition?
- A. I believe it was knotted. It was in the debris, mixed up with --
 - Q. Do you want to just hold it up and show the jury so they can see.
 - A. Actually, it's a nylon stocking.
- THE COURT: Do I understand you say a sock?
 - A. Stocking, My Lord, yes.
 - MR. ALLMAN: What we have there are two pieces. It was in two pieces when you saw it or two pieces in what condition?
 - A. It seems to be two pieces. When the knot was intact It's quite conceivable that these two were the knotted pieces as such. When we picked it up it was as one unit but now I see it's been -- in the course of investigating it's been untied and so forth.
 - Q. I take it what you are brushing away is the charred debris from that.
 - A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. I just want to go back to the photographs and clarify a couple of questions that perhaps didn't emerge at the time. First is if we could look at photograph number 5. They show the two rear doors of the Annie Flam and Nina Flam residences?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Either from looking at them or from your own recollection or both can you tell us if there is any sort of lighting at either of those entrances? External lighting.

- A. I can't recall if there is or not. Just viewing the photograph there doesn't seem to be. If you look at photograph number 6 you can see near Annie Flam's there is an exterior light there. But I couldn't recall about Nina's.
 - Q. Photograph number 6 is the one to the right as you look at photograph 5.
 - A. That's correct. That's Annie Flam's rear entrance there.
- Q. And the other matter I wanted to ask you about is the photograph number 44. The panties that you can see on there, I'm not referring now to their position on the body but to the condition of the panties. Do you have any recollection of what state they were in?
 - A. At the time that we turned the body over we were actually looking at the back of the body to see if there would be any damage or any injuries or wounds, the panties were not touched, and in fact they were in that state that we see them in the photograph.

 They were stained and down behind the buttocks.
 - Q. Do you recall if they were intact or not?
- A. I seem to recall that they were at least stuck to the body. I am not sure if they were in one piece or not.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Thank you. I should indicate that this officer is going to be stood aside and recalled but I spoke to Mr. Furlotte and I understand he wants to cross-examine at this time on this portion of his evidence.

THE COURT: All right.

20

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FURLOTTE:

- Q. Sergeant Chaisson as I go chronologically through your testimony here today I believe in the photographs that you were referring to, and basically number 4 of P-6, the book of 93 photographs, you mentioned there was a surgical glove was found?
- A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. And that's right next door to the flam residence.
- A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Now, in your experience, Sergeant Chaisson, people who commit break and enters sometimes they use surgical gloves to hide their fingerprints?
 - A. Yes. That is correct.
- Q. It is a tool of your break and enter artists so to speak.
 - A. It can be used, yes.
 - Q. And I understand there was also a hair found inside that surgical glove?
- A. Possibly. I would have to check my notes, I can't recall.
 - Q. Was that surgical glove checked for fingerprints on the inside of the glove?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Were any fingerprints found?
 - A. Nothing at all.
 - Q. Again, photographs 6 and 7 there is a picture of a ball-type cap found.
 - A. Yes.
- 30 Q. Were there any hairs found inside that cap?
 - A. The actual hat was removed and placed in a bag so that no contamination or nothing would fall out of it.

- Now what happened to the hat once it reached our lab in Sackville for the searching and retrieval of hair I don't know. They might have found hair, I can't comment.
- O. Photograph number 9 I believe you mentioned as you look back in between there's a small window that you mentioned was broken.
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. How much of that window was broken?
- A. It was just a circular hole in the window pane.
 - Q. In the window pane.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So there was no way anybody could have entered through that window?
- A. No. In fact when we examined the interior of that porch we found the stone which we can only assume broke the window. There was a rock inside.
- Q. I notice a ladder up against the Flam residence also.

 Would that be put there by firemen or do you have any knowledge of that?
 - A. It's quite possible. When we arrived there the firemen had moved items inside the house and there was hoses in and out of the house, and ladders as well. That could very well be part of the Fire Department's equipment.
 - Q. Now, I notice as you went through the book of photographs in photographs numbered 14, 16 and 17 there was -- 22 and 23, there was quite a bit of money found in the Flam residence.
 - A. Yes, that's correct.

- Q. Was it ever totaled up?
 - A. I believe Constable Britt plus another member who was responsible for the gathering and cataloging of exhibits I believe he was responsible for the counting of that. I myself didn't count it.
 - Q. Do you know offhand how much was roughly?
 - A. No, I don't. I'm not aware.
 - MR. ALLMAN: We will be calling Constable Britt.
- MR. FURLOTTE: I notice in many of the pictures in Annie

 Flam's bedroom the whole roof had been burnt out and
 fell in on top of her bed and you uncovered her body
 layer by layer. How heavy a debris was taken off the
 body of Annie Flam?
 - A. You are referring to how many inches or how --
 - Q. How many inches or feet or how much weight would have been up there?
- A. Actually, to give you an honest answer, it wasn't that much because as we removed first of all the roofing tiles the exposed bone and leg that we saw in the photographs was even more visible, and as we just very gently brushed back the debris by hand and small brushes and so forth it wasn't that hard to move. It wasn't to the point where we had to use shovels or anything like that.
 - Q. I notice in photograph 35 there are a couple of shovels.
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. But you are saying those shovels were not used.
- A. They were not used, no. They were used in other part:

 of the room. For example there's a closet area in

 that particular room that was completely burnt.

- The shovels were used in that area, but not to uncover the body.
 - Q. Now, in photograph 36 I take it that debris that the picture is taken of that's over Annie Flam's bed?
- In photograph 36 actually you see the sunshine coming down. You see bars of light there. That would be actually the area and yes, that's correct, that's over the bed. I'm sorry, I had the wrong photograph. It's this one here, yes, where the sun is shining in through.
 - Q. Right. So there seems to be quite a fall-in in that area from the roof?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, a great deal of that fall-in is I would say

 most of it in photograph 36 appears to be over Annie

 Flam's head the head portion.
- A. Well in fact if you look at photograph 37 it's the same photograph as 36. One is taken with a different flash angle and in photograph 37 we get rid of the rays of sunlight coming in. You can see the protruding knee again, the bone. You can see most of that burnt beam and so forth is on the floor. It's actually missed the bed. It's below the knee. It's on the bottom part of the photograph. Where the remainder part of the body is above the knee portion that we see sticking out. There is, I agree, some items of debris on the body but the majority of it is below. Below the knee.
- Q. In photograph 37 would the head be more to the top of the picture or to the bottom?
 - A. That's correct, yes. The head would be more to the top of the picture. Perhaps in photograph 38 you

- can see barely as we start to uncover the body where the head is. In relationship to the knee it's straight up.
 - Q. I see in photograph 38 also that the left-hand side--
- 5 A. The right-hand --
 - Q. On the left-hand side of the picture right about here --
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. There seems to be a pretty heavy piece of timber laying there.
 - A. Where is that, I'm sorry, I can't --
 - O. This one here.
 - A. Yes. On the corner here?
- Q. On the corner. Would that have been removed from the body? From on top of the body.
 - A. I can't recall moving anything that heavy but it was in the area.
- Q. It was in the area. Now, you mentioned the body was only clad in underpants. Could Mrs. Flam have been wearing a dress or nightgown that was burned off?
- A. No, because when we turned the body over, as seen in photograph I believe it's number 44, if indeed she had been wearing a nightdress or nightgown you can see the flesh in photograph number 44 is not even burnt because it has been protected by the mattress and the debris and so forth. Portions of her gown, had she been wearing one, would still be on that part of the body, on the back side of the body. And as you can see it's just flesh. There's no clothing or fibers or nothing.

- Q. I see also in photograph 43 there seems to be some kind of material just off to her side - the right side.
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And another piece of material on top of her left leg.
 - A. Exactly, yes.

- Q. Would you know what that material is?
- A. That appeared to be part of a sheet or a piece of blanket.
 - Q. Sheet or blanket.
 - A. Yes, it did. Just by the fiber in the weave and so forth.
- Q. Could it have been part of a nightgown or a dress?
 - A. No, I don't believe it could have been.
 - Q. Is there any reason why you don't why don't you believe it couldn't have been a nightgown?
- A. Well it certainly wasn't on the body. If you are referring to it as being crumpled up in the corner yes I suppose it could have been, but it wasn't over the body or on the body.
- Q. I notice also in photograph 43 that Mrs. Flam's panties seemed to be -- The photograph is her lying on her back and her panties seem to be pulled up.

THE COURT: No, she's lying on her stomach.

MR. FURLOTTE: She's lying on her back in 43.

THE COURT: Oh, 43, I'm sorry.

- MR. FURLOTTE: Photograph 43 she's lying on her back.
 - A. Yes, I see that Mr. Furlotte.

- Q. Her panties appear to be in a normal pulled-up fashion.
 - A. Well if you look at photograph 44 --
 - Q. No, I'm asking about 43.
- A. Well to answer that question I have to refer to 44.
 - Q. Okay, go ahead then.
- A. Because in photograph 44 where she's flipped over, if you follow the line the panty line here that runs on the side here it's still in the same area. It's just on the hip bone. So when you flip that hip over you can see it still appears to be in the upright position even though they are down in the back area here.
 - Q. That's in 44.

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Also, in 41 photograph 41 the panties seem to be in a normal pulled-up position.
- A. They haven't been disturbed.
- Q. Haven't been disturbed. So in all the pictures, 41,
 42 and 43, the panties seem to be in a normal pulledup position.
 - A. Certainly from a frontal view, yes.
- Q. Now, you mentioned also in your testimony that the panties on Mrs. Flam were stained and stuck to her body, correct?
 - A. They appeared that way so we didn't disturb them.
- Q. Now, the staining before Mrs. Flam's body was rolled over, had that staining and dried blood stuck somehow to the mattress? Could the panties have gotten in pulled-down position whenever you rolled her over or picked the body up to put the body on the stomach?

- 1 A. When we rolled the body from that on her back to her stomach it did reveal the mattress below her and it wasn't she didn't stick to any part of the mattress and the mattress in fact was protected. It wasn't burnt. And there was red staining on that particular
- burnt. And there was red staining on that particular part of the sheet. There was a sheet there as well.

 And the mattress. And both stained areas were removed and retained.
 - Q. That stained area would appear to be blood?
- A. It appeared to be blood, yes.
 - Q. Photograph 44 there's still a lot of black and charcoal along the back of the body even though it had originally been lying on the back.
 - A. Yes, that's correct.

- Q. So that black staining and charcoal that would have,
 I assume, went on her body from the event of rolling
 it over?
 - A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. I notice in photograph 74 appears to the left of the 20 bed and this is in Nina's bedroom --
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. There is a jewelry box and a purse sitting on top of the jewelry box.
- A. In photograph 74?
 - Q. 74. Would that be a jewelry box sitting on top of a little night table and a purse on top of it?
 - A. Are you referring to on top of the dresser here?
 - Q. On top of the dresser right here, right, up at the upper left-hand corner.
 - A. I don't know. I can't make it out. It looks like a little white box, I agree with you, but I'm not sure what it is. In photograph 76 --

- Q. Looks like a little white leather purse.
 - A. We see it better in photograph 76.
 - Q. On top of the jewelry box.
 - A. I don't see a jewelry box there.
- Q. You don't see a jewelry box in 74. Okay. Let's try number 76. Maybe it's not a jewelry box; maybe it's the night table.
 - A. Oh, I'm sorry Mr. Furlotte, you're referring to this drawer item right here?
- Q. Yes.
 - A. That is a night table.
 - Q. That is a night table.
 - A. Yes, I'm sorry, that's a night table.
- Q. It's not a matching night table but it is a night table.
 - A. It is a night table. It's a small piece of furniture yes. You can see the legs of it in 74 as well, yes.
 - Q. Is that a purse on top of it?
- A. I don't know. It's a little white something or other, I'm not sure what it is.
 - Q. Now, you did observe jewelry boxes in any of the bedrooms?
- A. Yes, in the bedroom adjacent to Annie Flam's bedroom

 we did find one jewelry box in that room there on top

 of a dresser.
 - Q. And was the jewelry all intact in the box?
 - A. It seemed to be. There was some coins and so forth in the box, yes. It was hard for us to tell if anything was taken.
 - Q. There was jewelry and money inside the jewelry box?
 - A. There was costume jewelry and coins in there, yes.

- 1 Q. Now, you mentioned in photograph 80 that I believe it was photograph 80 that was somewhere around the pillow area in Nina Flam's bedroom.
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And you mentioned that there was hairs found.
 - A. That's correct. The photographs don't show it but that's in the area we found some hairs.
 - Q. And do you know if those hairs were sent to the lab for analysis?
- 10 A. They certainly would have been, yes.
 - Q. And what would be the purpose of sending those hairs to the lab for analysis?
 - As a form of physical evidence in the hope that perhaps we could match them up with something.
- Q. Match them up and try to eliminate them from being Nina Flam's?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. And if they weren't Nina Flam's then it might be safe to assume they were the intruder's?
 - A. Someone else in any case.
 - Q. I understand there was a facial hair found in the -The facial hair, was that found in Nina's bedroom or
 was that found in Annie's bedroom?
- A. I can't recall. I would have to check my notes.

 THE COURT: Was there a reference to that on direct examination? I thought you would be able to help Mr.

 Furlotte with where it was found.
 - MR. ALLMAN: I don't believe there was.
- MR. FURLOTTE: I don't recall right offhand Sergeant which bedroom the facial hair was found in. Would it take you long to find it in your notes? Or maybe the Crown do you recall?

45 3025 (4-85)

- MR. ALLMAN: I don't recall but what we can do I don't
 want to delay matters now we will check it out and
 recall the officer or advise counsel and put it in
 as a fact, whatever he wishes. I just wouldn't want
 to hold proceedings up, that's all.
 - THE COURT: Is that agreeable? Would it take you long to find it?
 - A. I'm not sure My Lord. It might. Certainly the exhibit man would be in more of a position to mention it.
 - MR. ALLMAN: I spoke to the exhibit man, My Lord, and he would have to check his notes too so I think that what I suggested is the best way to do it.
- THE COURT: Well, the crown has undertaken Mr. Furlotte to

 find that out and provide you with the answer and

 make Sergeant Chaisson available.
 - MR. ALLMAN: We will be calling Constable Britt and that's one of the things that we can ask him.
- MR. FURLOTTE: Who was assisting you in gathering this 20 type of evidence?
- A. There was Corporal Godin, the previous witness. He worked with me through the whole examination for physical evidence, as well as Constable Britt who was our exhibit man. If we recovered a certain item which we felt should be retained it was turned over to him and he would secure it in the appropriate container, initial it and date it and so forth. So to answer your question, three of us were working on it.
- Q. Okay. So you don't recall whether you picked up the facial hair yourself or whether it was one of the other officers.

- That's correct. It would be indicated on Constable
 Britt's form as well as on the container or bag. He
 would make a notation of who he received it from,
 where it was found, like I said, and the date and time
 and so forth.
 - Q. Okay, and I understand also from the evidence is that there was a hair found stuck in Annie Flam's necklace that she had.
 - A. Quite possible.
- Q. Would you have gathered that one or would that be one of the other officers?
 - A. No, that would have been at the postmortem or at the autopsy they would have retrieved that one.
- Q. Now Annie Flam did when you recovered her body she still had a gold chain around her neck?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - O. It wasn't stolen.
 - A. It was there.
- Q. Obviously it was still there. Now, the burnt match you found, was that checked for fingerprints?
 - A. Something to that effect is not a very good receiver of fingerprints and we didn't bother to check it.
- Q. Now, in photograph 83 I see in the top right-hand corner which, I don't know, it appears to me as if it's a pair of gloves.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you identify what that is?
- A. That's -- As we examine a scene of this nature that's been contaminated by fire and smoke and so forth we wear special overalls, boots, hats and gloves, and this happens to be one of those pairs of gloves that were inadvertently put in the photograph.

- Q. So those gloves would have been used by a police officer rather than the intruder?
 - Α. That's correct, yes. They belong to us. In fact in photograph 86 you can see someone wearing those gloves in the corner.
 - Q. That's correct. Photograph 83, also, there is what appears to be a little bottle, maybe, with a blue cap on the floor at the base of the bed.
 - Α. Yes.
- 10 ٥. Is that what it is or would that be something else?
 - That could be a spool of thread. It could be anything. Α. There was so much debris and stuff all over the floor,
 - So you don't know what that is? Q.
- I don't know for sure what it is, no. In fact Mr. Α. 15 Furlotte, again, in photograph 86 you can see that it's the cover off a small plastic bottle. It's all intact. On the bottom just below the bed.
- ٥. Yes. Now, exhibit P-8, the black nylon that was found among the debris that was found in Nina's 20 bedroom?
 - Yes, that's correct. A.
 - Q. And it was just a foot mylon with a knot tied in it?
 - Α. Yes, that's correct.
- Which you don't know whether it has any significance Q. 25 or not?
 - Α. It was just peculiar that we were finding things with knots in them, like that piece of twine that we saw it the photograph and those particular nylons, so we retained them.
- 30
 - Do you ever see your wife -- or are you married? Q.
 - Yes, I am. Α.

- Q. Have you ever seen your wife tie knots in her nylons or pantyhose before she puts them away or --
 - A. No.
- Q. Now Sergeant I saw from your notes I got with the

 police brief that the body of Annie Flam was badly
 burnt and you state physically damaged. Aside from
 being burnt what do you mean by physically damaged?
- A. The knee for example. The knee that was exposed was right to the bone, tendon damage and so forth. That remark would have referred to the perhaps the outer appearance that the body had suffered as a result of the heat and fire and so forth.
- Q. And I understand you found some brown and white hairs in Nina's room, and you found, I believe, 10 finger-prints and 12 palm prints at Nina's except for two in the store area.
 - A. That's correct, yes.
 - Q. Were those fingerprints and palm prints checked out against Mr. Legere?
 - A. Yes, they were.

- Q. And did any of those fingerprints or palm prints match Mr. Legere?
- A. No, they did not.
- Q. Were those palm prints and fingerprints checked out with any of the other I have here 24 suspects?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. Were they checked out with all the other suspects?
 - A. Yes, they were, yes.
- Q. Did any of them match?
 - A. No.

Q. Were those prints checked out with Nina's prints?

- A. I had originally requested elimination prints be received from Nina Flam but as a result of her injuries from the fire her hands were damaged to the point where I could not obtain a set of fingerprints from her, or palm prints.
- Q. Both hands?

5

15

20

- A. Both hands. So they were never compared.
- Q. Do you recall how many other suspects there were in the Flam case besides Mr. Legere?
 - A. Not offhand, no, I can't recall. I know we compared a variety of individuals but to give you a specific number I can't.
 - Q. Could there be 30?
 - A. Possibly, yes. I would have to check my notes to be more specific.
 - Q. Sergeant Chaisson in your investigation when you were viewing the scene were you able to notice any point of entry by the culprit as to how the culprit may have broken into the home?
 - A. As part of our examination we checked all the windows as well as all the doors and we found that all the locks were functioning and all the windows were properly in place except for that small broken window in the back.
 - Q. Which nobody could have gotten through.
- A. No. And there was a small -- The window upstairs in the back bedroom of Nina's side was open. We noticed that in the video this morning. It was open a crack. Not in Nina's bedroom but in the back part of the house.

- ¹ Q. In the back part.
 - A. Yes.

100DB

- Q. There was no ladder up against the house or anything?
- A. Not at that time.
- Q. For anybody to climb into that window.
 - A. Not through that space, no.
 - Q. And the only way to enter that would have been with a ladder?
 - A. Or climbing on the roof, yes.
- 10 Q. Which window was that on your --
 - A. That would be on Nina's side on the south side of the building in this area here where the bunk beds were.

 It was a small opening of the window, just a space.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: I have no further questions.
- THE COURT: Redirect examination.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Just a very brief reexamination My Lord.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLMAN;

- Q. Sergeant Chaisson you mentioned about the surgical
 glove to me and then to Mr. Furlotte. Do you know,
 and if you don't just say so, whether there are any
 medical offices or doctors' offices in the vicinity
 of the Flam residence?
 - A. I don't know.
- 25 Q. In picture 74 there was some questions about a jewelry box and I wasn't quite clear what he was saying. Is there a jewelry box that you can see in 74 or 76?
- A. In photograph 74 Mr. Furlotte was referring to the
 end table we see at the side of the bed here. You
 can see the legs. And in fact there's another photograph which clearly shows it is a table. Photograph
 78 you can actually see it is a complete small table.

- Q. But is there a jewelry box or something on there?
 - A. I don't recall seeing one but I -- I don't think so.
- Q. You mentioned about jewelry and it was put to you that there were items of jewelry, I think it was costume jewelry, found around, and you said it's hard to know what was taken. Could you explain what you meant by that?
- A. In photograph number exhibit P-6, photograph number
 49 and 48, there is, for example, there's a small
 green type cash box that's open. There's coinage
 and so forth inside. If there was anything missing
 from that box, for example, rings and so forth, there
 would be no way for us to know and that's what I meant
 by that remark.
- Q. If you don't know what was in there before you don't know what's --
- A. That's correct. And when we were going through
 Nina's bedroom there was all kinds of items on the
 floor, chains and so forth. There could have been
 something missing. There would be no way for us to
 tell.
 - Q. You were asked about other suspects and I think you mentioned there may have been 30 or something like that. How many years have you been with the identification department?
 - A. I have been in the R.C.M.P. for 23 years, 15 of those have been with the Identification Section.
- Q. Again, if you could answer this, and if you can't

 I understand it, from your experience when the police
 commence investigating a murder what approach do they
 take in terms of potential suspects?

- 1 A. They, of course, the investigators will submit names to us of offenders they feel might be responsible for this offence and if we have recovered any kind of fingerprints or palm prints we can retrieve the fingerprints of these particular names that they
 - Q. And what happens to the suspects, starting off with 30 or 50 or whatever number, as the investigation progresses?

submitted and we try to do a comparison.

- A. As far as we're concerned we'll, for example, retrieve the fingerprints of suspect number "A", compare them with the fingerprints we found at the scene, make a notation of it and those prints go back into our filing system.
- Q. What I am getting at is this: suppose you got suspect "A", and then you compare his prints and the prints found at the scene and they don't match, so what happens to "A"?
 - A. He's eliminated.
- Q. And what happens then to B, to C, to D, to E, and all the other suspects?
 - A. Well they're all compared in turn and if they are not identified they're eliminated, as far as the finger-prints go. I mean they may be concerned with some other aspect of investigation.
 - Q. Yes. And on those other aspects, not just the fingerprints but any other aspect, what are the police looking for in relation to each suspect?
- A. Any kind of physical evidence. Footwear, finger-prints, hair, anything that can be of assistance.
 - MR. ALLMAN: I have no other questions.

- THE COURT: Sergeant I have one question that the jury
 might wish me to ask you and that is you referred to
 a hole in the window. What photograph was that? Was
 that 10?
- Yes, My Lord, that was in the alley shot photograph number 9 in fact. We can see a portion -- When you look down the alley you can see a portion of the back porch of Annie Flam's residence and there's a small window. The photograph doesn't really show it that clear but in the pane of glass there's a hole in it.

THE COURT: That's this little --

A. That's correct My Lord.

THE COURT: That little window. 15

A. That's correct, yes. And when we examined the inside of that porch we did find a stone on the floor of the porch.

THE COURT: But there was no door there?

A. No, there is no door.

THE COURT: That was a small window.

A. That's correct My Lord.

THE COURT: It wasn't a hole that you could reach through and open a lock.

25 A. No.

THE COURT: And open the door up.

A. No.

THE COURT: And where did that window lead to?

- A. That leads to the back --
- 30 THE COURT: Do you have it on your --
 - A. Yes, My Lord, it's right down here. There's the window there. That would be the back porch area

Cpl. Godin - direct.

which opposite from the window, exactly opposite,
there's a rear entrance that leads to Annie Flam's
residence.

THE COURT: Any questions arising out of mine?

- 5 MR. FURLOTTE: No, My Lord.
 - THE COURT: Thank you very much Sergeant. You are stood aside and you can't, of course, discuss this aspect of the evidence with anyone, including counsel for the crown, until all your evidence is complete.
- 10 A. Yes, My Lord.
 - MR. ALLMAN: I will recall Corporal Godin. He had been going to come later but we had had discussions and we need to call him now.
- CORPORAL RON GODIN, recalled, having been previously sworn, continued his testimony as follows:

 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLMAN CONTINUED:
 - Q. Corporal Godin you are still under oath; you understand that.
- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you have occasion to take some photographs relating to a particular portion of the body of Annie Flam?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Tell us about those photographs, when and where? I guess there is no objection to these being entered as an exhibit. I should perhaps just mention to the jury that these don't look very pleasant either. (Clerk marks photographs exhibit P-9.)
- Q. Corporal I am showing you now what's been marked as exhibit P-9. Tell me what you can, please, about thos photographs two photographs.

- Yes, My Lord. On the 1st day of June, 1989 I had the opportunity to meet with Mrs. Moira MacLaughlin who is a forensic anthropologist of the University of New Brunswick in Fredericton, New Brunswick. We had the opportunity at this time to examine the body of the deceased Annie Flam and as a result, and under Mrs. MacLaughlin's directions, I took a few photographs of the victim's jaw.
- Q. So these are the two photographs of the jaw of Annie

 Flam taken on that date?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. That's all I want to ask about the photographs for the moment. I want to just go back in time as it relates to that aspect of matters. Did you have occasion to attend the autopsy of Annie Flam?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Did you from your own knowledge of autopsies and that kind of thing form any assessment as to the condition of her jaw?
- A. Well, when we attend a forensic autopsy the usual procedure is to have the body x-rayed prior to examining the body and upon x-raying the body it was discovered that the victim had a fractured jaw.
- Q. Given that you -- When was the autopsy?
 - A. The day prior, the 31st of May, 1989 in Saint John.
 - Q. So you became aware at the autopsy that there was reason to believe Annie Flam's jaw was fractured?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you do anything as a result of that?

- A. As a result of that the information was passed on to the investigators later on that day. Through discussions it was agreed that a person with the expertise, an anthropologist, should examine the
- fracture more closely and make a conclusion as to the origin of the fracture as to how it occurred.
 - Q. Did you make any observations at the scene where

 Annie Flam's body had been found that may have any
 relevance to the question of the broken jaw?
- 10 A. Yes. Upon discussing the fracture with Mrs.

 MacLaughlin at Castle's Funeral Home in Saint John
 her conclusions were at the time that the fracture
 was caused due to external force.

THE COURT: You are calling her, are you?

- MR. ALLMAN: I am calling her and I'm not putting this in for the truth of the observation, merely that this was passed on to this officer who rightly or wrongly therefore believed that to be the case. I will be calling her.
- THE COURT: All right.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Based on that information you believed that the jaw was fractured by external force?
 - A. Yes.

25

- Q. So what did you do?
- A. From examining the scene on the 29th and the 30th day of May, 1989, I could not see at the time any objects or anything that could have caused the fracture. So after Mrs. MacLaughlin's conclusion I returned to the scene in Chatham, New Brunswick and reexamined the scene. Went through the debris to see if there would be anything in the debris that could have caused this

- fracture and I did not find anything amongst the debris in my opinion that could have caused the fracture through external force as mentioned by Mrs. MacLaughlin.
- Q. In relation to where the body had been found and the debris on top of the body basically what did that debris consist of?
 - A. Basically it was all charred material and a few layers of asphalt shingles.
- 10 Mr. Furlotte I think was asking your predecessor, Q. Sergeant Chaisson, to look at some photographs and I think he pointed out what he took to be a beam in photograph -- just a second, I don't want to misquote it. When you were looking at the material to consider 15 the possibility of whether any of the material might have caused the fracture to the jaw I understand you to say you thought not. On 38 - picture 38 over to the left there's a beam or what may be a beam about halfway down that side. Would that from your 20 observation have had the opportunity to do anything to Mrs. Flam?
 - A. It's nowhere near where the head was. The head is --
 - Q. Just show the jury where the head is.
- A. We can see part of the knee that was protruding out of the debris. Part of her arm and her head is in this area here so you are looking at a couple of feet there, My Lord. The head would be here and the beam that's being referred to was along the side here so you're looking at a couple of feet. I noted also that the construction was made out of two by four lumber and the piece of two by four that you see on the floor which are charred are quite light

Cpl. Godin - direct.

- because there is no more weight to it once it's all charred, so they are fairly light. I couldn't find anything around the debris that could have been heavy enough to have caused the fracture.
- MR. ALLMAN: I have no other questions of this witness and so far as we're concerned this concludes the evidence relating to the Flam matter. He will be recalled for the other matters so if Mr. Furlotte wishes to cross-examine him on the Flam aspect of this case this would be an appropriate time to do so.

THE COURT: What is your wish Mr. Furlotte?

MR. FURLOTTE: I wish to cross-examine now My Lord.

THE COURT: You're going right back to the earlier evidence?

MR. FURLOTTE: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: Not just on this aspect.

MR. FURLOTTE: Not just on this.

THE COURT: I mean you would have to go back to the original. All right, cross-examination then.

20 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FURLOTTE:

- Q. Now, I will start Corporal Godin with your last testimony rather than the first time you were on because maybe I won't even cross-examine you on the first aspect.
- 25 You mentioned that you were at the autopsy?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Which was performed by who?
 - A. Doctor MacKay.
- Q. Doctor MacKay. And from the x-ray of Mrs. Flam's jaw you realized it was broken?
 - A. That is correct.

- Q. And I believe you testified that you wanted to get an expert opinion as to whether or not what could have caused that jaw to break.
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And you got the opinion of Mrs. MacLaughlin.
 - A. She was contacted by the investigators, yes.
 - Q. And was it Doctor MacKay's original opinion that it was caused - could have been caused by heat fracture?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. So you weren't satisfied with Doctor MacKay's opinion; you wanted to see if he may be wrong, is that correct?
 - A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. Now, exhibit P-9, photographs 4 and 5, you were

 15

 present when Mrs. MacLaughlin -- Mrs. MacLaughlin

 was present I assume whenever you took these pictures?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And all the flesh around that bone that was stripped away by Mrs. MacLaughlin?
- A. Most of the flesh was stripped away by Doctor MacKay during the post --
 - Q. By Doctor MacKay.
- A. Yes. But under the directions of Mrs. MacLaughlin she wanted the area cleaned off more than what it was and she did clean it off in order for me to take these photographs, but most of the bones were pretty well like the way you see it but she just cleaned them off a bit with a scalpel.
- Q. She cleaned them off. And I see the bottom picture the bones are separated quite a bit but that was manually separated by yourself and Mrs. MacLaughlin?

- A. What we see here at the bottom is exactly what you see on top, it's just that it's a closer representation.
 - Q. A closer representation.
- A. Yes. The crack looks a lot greater in the bottom photograph.
 - Q. And could have Doctor MacKay separated the bone as is seen here in these pictures 4 and 5?
- A. Oh yes, you could move it back and forth. It was completely fractured.
 - Q. Did you observe Doctor MacRay when he was stripping it down to the bone?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You were there present?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And whenever it was originally stripped I suppose the skin down to the bone it was more of just a crack down the jaw bone and then Doctor MacKay separated it as we see there now?

A. That's correct, yes.

- Q. So it's not necessarily the blow to the jaw, if it was caused by a blow, which caused this separation or fracture to this extent? It would have been caused to this extent by Doctor MacKay?
- A. I don't understand your question.
 - Q. Well I believe a jaw fracture usually I believe you think a jaw fracture as being a crack, the bone cracked, rather than broken right in two.
- 30 A. Yes.

25

Q. Do you know whether or not this jaw bone was simply cracked or broken right in two as this is?

- 1 A. If I remember, looking at the x-rays with Doctor
 MacKay there was a well, it was offset. You could
 definitely see there was a break. The two bones were
 close together but they were offset so there was
 definitely a break that could be seen on the x-ray.
 From what I can recall the bones were fairly close
 together. There was not a big gap between the two
 bones but there was they were out of line showing
 that there was a definite fracture.
- Q. Do you know whether or not when the body of Mrs. Flam was being carried out -- were you present when it was being carried out?
 - A. No, I wasn't.
- Q. Now, you mentioned you went back. You were concerned that maybe some of the debris had fell down and broke her jaw so you went back to check the scene to see if there was anything in the area.
- Α. Well, initially, when she did mention that the jaw got broken through external force I remembered vivid: 20 in my mind the scene. I had been there for two days and I couldn't recall anything there that could have caused the fracture so I just wanted to convince myself by going back again and looking at the debris and especially looking up on top, looking at the 25 trusses, to see if there was anything there that could have fallen on the body, and from what I could see there was nothing in my opinion there that could have caused the external damage. Because if you look at the damages caused to the roof above Annie's bed-30 room it looks extensive from the inside but if you look at the outside the trusses are still intact but

- the tongue and groove boards that are across the trusses is what actually burnt and fell in with the asphalt shingles, but the trusses were still intact at the top of the peak.
- Q. What was the finishing of the inside of the bedroom?
 Was it gyproc or paneling?
 - A. From going through the debris, from what I can recall, it appeared to be I would say Sheetrock.
 - Sheetrock.
- 10 A. Yes.

- Q. Gyproc sheets.
- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, if a whole sheet of Sheetrock fell in, Sheetrock is considerably heavy, is it not?
- A. Yes it is, yes, in its entirety, yes.
 - Q. Just about takes maybe two men to handle one sheet of Sheetrock?
 - A. Because it's very hard to handle.
 - Q. Hard to handle and heavy.
 - A. Heavy? It appears heavy because it's hard to handle.
 - Q. But it would weigh at least 50 pounds, one sheet of Sheetrock?
 - A. Probably a little bit more, yes.
- Q. Now, you mentioned I pointed out photograph 38
 which appears to be a large piece of timber off to
 one side and would have been a couple of feet from
 the head area.
 - A. You're still referring to this one right here?
- $_{\rm 30}$ Q. Yes, this one here that has the head right about there.
 - A. The head is right about there, yes, a couple of feet.

1 Q. Now, when you were removing the debris from Mrs.

Elam's body you didn't realize you would have to go
back a couple of days later to see if there was anything that possibly you uncovered her with that may
have broken some bones, did you?

- A. Can you rephrase that again?
- Q. At the time that you were removing the debris from the body of Mrs. Flam at that particular time you didn't realize that a couple of days later you would have to go back and see if there was any debris that possibly fell on her which broke bones?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. That didn't cross your mind?
 - A. No.
- Q. So is there any particular reason why you would remember whether or not you removed that piece of timber from the head area?
 - These pictures were taken as the scene was found.
- Q. As the scene was found, right. 20
 - A. By Sergeant Chaisson. This scene had not been disturbed up to that point.
 - Q. Well, come on now Sergeant. Photographs 35 and 36 show a considerable amount and 37 show a considerable amount on top of the body and in photograph 38 the body is at least partially uncovered.
 - A. Okay, I see what you're getting at, yes.
- Q. So it's possible and I'm talking about possibilities here, I'm not talking about absolute possibilities or negligible aspects, it's possible that you could have removed that piece of timber off the head area of Mrs. Flam.

- A. What I am getting at, I had looked at all these big pieces on the lst, coming back to the scene, and you would be amazed to see that these pieces of timber are extremely light. They are almost featherweight because all that is is just if you can call it charcoal put together. It was very, very light at that point.
- Q. They may not have been extremely light at the time that they fell in, and once they fell in then they continued to burn and then they are extremely light.

 That's a distinct possibility.
 - A. For them to fall they have to burn.
 - Q. Well at least partially burn.
- A. In my opinion it would be a fair amount before it would actually fall through.
 - Q. Well, that piece didn't seem to burn all that much before it fell through.
 - A. Pardon?
- Q. That piece we're viewing in photograph 38 off to the left that seems to be a good size chunk. It didn't burn all the way through.
 - THE COURT: Which exact piece is it you are referring to?

 I'm not just clear.
- MR. FURLOTTE: On the left-hand side of photograph 38 right at the base of the wall.

THE COURT: Horizontally?

MR. FURLOTTE: This piece right here.

A. See what we are dealing with here is a piece of two

by four and all we are seeing there is about a foot

of it so from what I'm seeing there, what we are

looking at as far as the piece of wood we're talking

about, would be extremely light.

- Q. That piece of two by four would have --
 - A. If you know what I'm getting at, what we are seeing there is very, very light. We're looking at a two by four and we are only seeing about a foot of it.
- ⁵ Q. But all the other studding seems to be in place on that wall so that two by four must have come from the roof.
 - A. I would presume that it has come from the roof but we can't tell for sure.
- Q. And it didn't finish burning?
 - A. No, it did not. It looks more intact than what's on the wall here.
- Q. The only thing I'm saying, Sergeant, is that some other piece of two by four could have fell down, struck Mrs. Flam's jaw and then after it broke the jaw it could have continued on burning out into ashes. That's a possibility, is it not?
- A. The thing is, what we have here, if a piece of wood would have fallen on the body first and having the asphalt shingles fall on top of it, that piece of wood would have not burnt any further than when it fell down. It would have been extinguished by the--
 - Q. You are not an expert in fires, are you?
- 25 A. An expert in fires?
 - Q. Yes.
 - MR. ALLMAN: With all due respect Mr. Furlotte has been asking a great many opinion questions of this witness and I haven't objected. He can't settle for the good ones and object to the bad ones.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: So what you are saying Corporal Godin, you are just using common sense to support your argument.

- A. Basically I can't I couldn't see anything at the time and after to satisfy myself that an object could have caused the jaw to fracture through external force. I couldn't find anything there to satisfy myself.
 - Q. What about the firemen when they were using their hoses? Fire hoses have a lot of pressure?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And those fire hoses could have moved some of the debris that had fallen away from the body area?
 - A. Very possible, yes.
 - Q. I notice in your notes that you mention something about unknown hair samples found, white and black in color, on the top of Nina's bed.
- A. That's correct, yes.
 - Q. Had you seized these hairs yourself, or one hair, or how many were there?
 - A. I did not seize them personally. Constable Britt took possession of them.
 - Q. He took possession of them?
 - A. Yes, correct.

- Q. Did you seize any hairs from any particular area in the residence?
- A. No, I did not.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: I have no further questions.
 - THE COURT: Reexamination?
 - MR. ALLMAN: I have no reexamination My Lord. This witness can be stood aside until his next attendance in connection with other matters.
 - THE COURT: Thank you Corporal. What is your program for the rest of the afternoon Mr. Allman?

20

Mrs. English - direct.

MR. ALLMAN: We have ample witnesses I believe to keep us going, My Lord, but perhaps if we took a 10 or 15 minute adjournment now and went until 4:30 we could have achieved a reasonable day. But we can go longer than that if you wish.

THE COURT: Well I don't want to go that much longer than that. We will stop about half past four. Well, if we could have a fifteen minute recess and try to keep it to 15 minutes and then we'll come back and go at it until 4:30.

(RECESS - 3:30 - 3:45 P.M.)

COURT RESUMES: (Accused present. Jury called, all present THE COURT: Now, another witness Mr. Allman.

15 MR. ALLMAN: The Crown would call Maureen English.

MAUREEN ENGLISH, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLMAN:

- Q. What is your name and occupation, please?
 - A. My name is Maureen English. I'm the Night Supervisor at the Hotel Dieu Hospital in Chatham.
 - Q. And Night Supervisor of what?
 - A. Nursing.
- Q. Nursing. Are you yourself a qualified nurse?
 - A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. How long have you been in that occupation?
 - A. I have been a Registered Nurse for 25 years.
- Q. On the 29th of May, 1989 were you working in that capacity at the Hotel Dieu Hospital in Chatham?
 - A. Yes. Yes, I was.

118DB Mrs. English - direct.

Q. And did you have occasion at that time and place to meet a lady identified to you as Nina Flam?

- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Approximately what time of day would it have been when

 Nina Flam was admitted to the hospital?
 - A. About 12 minutes after 4 A.M.
 - Q. Can you tell us, please, what occurred in relation to Nina Flam, your observations of her physically and treatment and so on?
- A. Well, when Nina was brought in by ambulance she was conscious and she had a lot of burns on her body. She was covered with soot. She had a cast on her right arm which had been applied a couple of weeks ago because she had broke her wrist. She responded to questions that we asked her but volunteered no conversation. Like just direct answers to the questions relating -- The questions that we asked her were relating to discomfort that she may be suffering.

Q. And you were asking those questions as to her condition with a view to trying to relieve any pain or

suffering she was enduring?

- A. Right. Yes.
- $_{25}$ Q. What was her principal problem from your observation that she was suffering from?
 - A. The burns.
 - Q. What about her breathing? How was that?
- A. Her breathing was okay. We did give her supplementary oxygen but she was complaining of no chest discomfort or any problems with her breathing but having been exposed to smoke we supplemented her with oxygen.

45 2025,4 951

- 1 Q. Initially when she entered into the hospital what part of the hospital is all this happening in?
 - A. In the Emergency Department.
 - Q. And who was present when she first arrived?
- 5 A. The night float was there, Mary King, and I came by a few minutes later with the burn supplies.
 - Q. So could you just say that again. You arrived --
- A. I arrived a few minutes after Nina had gotten there with the burn supplies, the supplies from the Central Supply Department with all the sterile sheets and whatnot to look after --
 - Q. You had been notified that a burn patient was coming in and you had gotten the necessary types of equipment for that?
- A. Right.
 - Q. Did any other nurses come on the scene and get involved?
- A. Yes, one other nurse. I called Mrs. Palmer down to give us a hand because I anticipated another patient to be arriving from the same incident.
 - Q. And what about physicians doctors? Did any doctors get involved in Nina's --
- A. Yes. Doctor Losier was on call that evening and we called him and he came in or that morning I should say.
 - Q. What treatment or relief did you yourself administer to Nina Flam?
- A. We initially assessed her burns. We gave her started IVs. We wrapped most of her burns in sterile
 saline with sheets soaked in sterile saline to
 alleviate further pain and further burning as the

- tissue sometimes burns even though the fire has been extinguished from it, and we just generally went on with our routine nursing care with IVs. And in the porcess of maintaining good fluid and electrolyte balance we usually insert a Foley catheter and whatnot and it was during this --
 - Q. I'm going to stop you there. A catheter, what is a catheter?
- A. It's an indwelling catheter to drain the urine from the body.
 - Q. Where is that inserted?
 - A. Inserted into the urethra.
 - Q. Did anything happen at that time?
- A. Well, we were I was having a conversation with her

 and I was explaining the procedure to her when she--
 - Q. So she would know that you were going to insert an object --
 - A. Going to do something.
- Q. -- in that location?
 - A. That's right. Yes.
 - Q. Now, there's a legal rule that you're not allowed to get into conversation that you had with Nina Flam but did you in fact have conversation with her at this period of time?
 - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. And as a result of the conversation or subsequent to the conversation what happened in regard to that?
- A. Well, I couldn't proceed with the procedure that I

 was going to do. I went out and I notified Doctor

 Losier of my conversation and I immediately called

 the Chatham Town Police.

- Q. And what were you asking the Chatham Town Police to supply?
 - A. I was asking them to supply a rape kit.
- Q. What else did you proceed to do in relation to Nina Flam?
 - A. At the time?
 - O. Yes.

- A. Well, there was just conversation and reassurance and things like that given to her and more comfort.
- We started to wash a bit of soot off her face and different things like that.
 - Q. Basically then, if I get the picture, you just administered to her comfort.
 - A. Just nursing -- yes, right.
 - Q. Did you remain and continue looking after Nina Flam or did you have to leave for some reason?
- A. Well, as supervisor of the hospital I am responsible for everything that happens in the hospital and I have to answer a lot of different calls and different questions and queries and I let Mrs. King and Mrs. Palmer, two capable nurses, go in with the patient and the doctor and the town constable that arrived with the rape kit and they proceeded.
- Q. Did you yourself have anything to do with or indeed observe anything being done in regard to this rape kit that you seen?
 - A. No.
- Q. From a point of view of shock or ability to comprehend and converse with you how was Nina then?
 - A. She was very quiet but she answered the questions that were asked to her appropriately but with short answers. Just point-blank answers type of thing.

Mrs. English - direct.

- Q. When you talked with her I gather you now know that her name was Nina or Nena?
 - A. Yes.

5

- Q. How did you get that information? Did you know her or did she volunteer her name or --
 - A. How did I know who she was?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. Well, I don't know her personally but as a prominent lady of the town everybody knows who she is so when I knew she was coming up I knew that she was Nina and not Annie.

MR. ALLMAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Furlotte.

15 CROSS-EXAMINATION_BY MR. FURLOTTE:

- Q. Mrs. English you say that after talking to Nina Flam that you talked to Doctor Losier and then called Chatham Town Police for a rape test?
- A. Yes.
- Q. I assume Nina Flam told you she was raped.
 - A. Yes, she did.
 - Q. And did she mention what name her rapist called her?
 - A. Yes, she did. She said that he called her Nena.
 - Q. The rapist called her Nena?
- A. Right.
 - Q. Rather than Nina?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. And did she describe the rapist to you?
- A. The only thing that she said was that he was slight and he wore a ski mask.
 - Q. Slight and wore a ski mask. And I take it you weren't present when the rape test kit was administered?

A. No, I wasn't.

123DB

- Q. Have you ever been present when rape test kits are administered before?
- A. Yes, I have been.
- Q. I understand there's instructions in a rape test kit.
 - A. Yes, there are, and they usually follow them.
 - Q. Do you usually follow instructions that are in the kit, usually?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Anytime that you vary from it?
 - A. Sometimes with children.
 - Q. Sometimes with children you vary from it?
 - A. Yes
- Q. Do you recall who was present when the rape test kit $\ensuremath{\text{u}}$ taken?
 - A. Yes. Doctor Losier was there and Constable Carnahan,
 Mary King and Marjo Palmer.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: No further questions.
 - THE COURT: Reexamination?

20 THE COURT: REGRAMO

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLMAN:

- Q. When you said that she told you that the rapist called her Nena and not Nina did you have any other conversation on that topic with her?
- A. I said I -- I wasn't aware that people called her

 Nena and I asked her do some people call you Nena and

 she said yes, some people do, but that was the extent

 of that was the extent of what she said, was just

 yes some people do.
- Q. She told you that she had been raped you said. Did she give you any other details of any of the other activities of the person who allegedly raped her?

 What else he had done.

- A. She said I was raped and I asked her when and she said tonight by the intruder that set the fire.
 - MR. ALLMAN: I have no other questions of this witness, My Lord, and she's not stood aside, she's completed.
- THE COURT: Thank you Mrs. English. You are free to go.

 MR. ALLMAN: Colleen Moran.

<u>COLLEEN MORAN</u>, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLMAN:

- Q. What's your name, please?
- A. My name is Colleen Moran.
- Q. And what's your occupation?
- A. I'm a Laboratory Technologist at the Hotel Dieu Hospital in Chatham.
 - Q. Could you keep your voice up? It's hard to hear over the noises. You are a Laboratory Technician at the --
 - A. I'm a Laboratory Technologist at the Hotel Dieu Hospital in Chatham.
- 20 Q. That's the same hospital the previous witness works at?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What are your qualifications?
- A. To become a Lab Technologist you mean? You study a

 two year program and then you write exams national
 exams and then you become qualified in five
 different disciplines.
 - Q. What do those disciplines include specifically as it relates to the matter that we are going to be con-
- 30 cerned with?
 - A. Okay. Small cellular detail identification.

- Q. Would that include identifying sperm and semen samples?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So that's part of the training that you pass exams in?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And I take it that you therefore must have passed an exam to become a technologist?
 - A. Yes, I did, as well as further training on the job.
- Q. When did you pass the exam to become a technologist?
 - A. In 1982.
 - Q. And have you been working as a technologist since 19827
 - A. Yes, I have.

- Q. In addition to the initial training and the exam you passed do you continue to take ongoing training?
 - A. Yes, we do.
 - Q. And on-the-job training?
- A. Yes, as required as new tests are developed in our our area.
 - Q. Are there different levels of technologists?
 - A. Yes, there is.
 - Q. Which are you?
- A. I'm a Lab Tech II.
 - Q. You proceeded from I up to II?
 - A. II.
 - Q. When did you become a Lab Tech II?
 - A. About a year after I was a Lab Tech I.
- Q. At present what particular functions do you perform as a lab technologist at the Hotel?

15

20

- A. Okay. At the Hotel Dieu we have what is called a general laboratory which we do several types of testing, cell counting, cetyl chemistry, urine analysis and semen analysis.
- 5 Q. And in respect to semen analysis what do you do so far as that is concerned?
 - A. We do fertility testing as well as postvasectomy testing.
 - Q. Postvasectomy testing to do what?
 - A. To try to find if there's even any sperm left.
 - MR. ALLMAN: My Lord subject to any objection I would ask that this lady be declared an expert entitled to give opinion evidence on the topic of semen testing for looking for sperm.
 - THE COURT: What particular types of questions are you going to ask? I mean in a general way.
 - MR. ALLMAN: I am going to be asking her about a sample that she looked at and what, if anything, she found in relation to that sample.

THE COURT: Any questions you want to put?

MR. FURLOTTE: I have no questions.

THE COURT: Well, I declare the witness an expert in the field of what - semen testing.

MR. ALLMAN: Semen testing.

THE COURT: Semen testing. You're a semen tester.

MR. ALLMAN: Is that the right way of expressing it?

- A. No.
- Q. Well you tell me what the right way of expressing it is.
 - A. I would just say microscopic analysis.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Microscopic analysis of --
 - A. Of body fluids.

Q. Including semen?

A. Yes.

5

THE COURT: That's it then, that's an expert.

MR. ALLMAN: My Lord I would ask that she be declared an expert.

THE COURT: I might just explain to the jury, in a more serious sense, the reason for this. Mr. Allman has just made an application that this witness be declared an expert in this particular field and the reason he 10 has to do that is that normally a witness can only testify when on the witness stand about something he or she has seen or observed or heard with their own his or her own eyes or ears. A witness isn't normally allowed to give an opinion on something. 15 You can't ask any witness, you know, what is your opinion about this or opinion about that. You can't give opinion evidence. There is an exception to that and that is where a person has a particular expertise in some given field and it's material to a 20 case then the witness can, if qualified as an expert, can be asked questions pertaining to that particular field, and that's what obviously Mr. Allman intends to do here. So the witness has been declared an expert. In order to be declared an expert a prima 25 facie case has to be made out that a witness is in fact an expert and is qualified to give an opinion. This witness's evidence is no different from that of any other witness because it will be up to you to assess whether she knows what she's talking about, 30 whether she may be telling falsehoods or may be falsifying her evidence, or giving opinions that

10

15

20

25

30

aren't justified. You have to make that assessment. The mere fact that the witness is declared an expert doesn't mean that everything a witness says is the gospel truth. Quite frequently -applies in civil cases, and quite frequently in both civil cases and in criminal cases you'll have two experts testifying, both qualified as experts in a particular field, testifying and giving completely opposite opinions. For instance two pathologists or two medical doctors might testify as to the cause of death in a particular instance, and one might give one opinion as to a cause of death and the other give another opinion. In that case it is up to the jury in the long run to decide which of those experts are you willing to - which evidence are you going to accept.

So I just say that by way of explanation. This is not to suggest you don't know what you are talking about.

MR. ALLMAN: Just before we get into the details about this case you mentioned that one of the things you do is postvasectomy analysis. What's the -- I think you already indicated but I'm not sure, what's the purpose of that? What are you looking for?

A. Postvasectomy the man has to submit a semen sample to check and see if any sperm are still passing through. So we have to take the sample and make several slides and scan the slides totally to find even one sperm like because that will show that he's not --

- Q. Not yet totally infertile.
- A. Right, infertile.

45-3025 14 B51

129DB Ms. Moran - direct.

Q. I want to come to the particular occasion we are talking about now, May the 29th, 1989. Did you receive something that morning from a Constable Derek Carnahan of Chatham P.D.?

⁵ A. Yes, I did.

10

- Q. About what time of day?
- A. I arrived at the hospital about 6:30 A.M. and Constable Carnahan was there as well as Maureen English, Doctor Losier and two other nurses, Mary King and Marjo Palmer.
- Q. And what was it that you received from Constable Carnahan?
- A. He had the rape kit on the desk and it was already sealed and Doctor Losier asked that I would look at the slides so he opened the kit and gave me a card-board slide holder which contained two slides and asked me if I would look at them and --
 - Q. And what was the purpose of looking at that object that Carnahan had provided to you?
 - A. Doctor Losier wanted to know if any sperm were present.
 - Q. What did you proceed to do after you received that from Constable Carnahan?
- A. I asked if he would accompany me to the lab and he followed me down. We went and opened the lab. It's not yet opened that time of day, and we went into the end room where the microscope is where we do our fine microscopic examinations and I asked him if these slides were going to go on to Sackville for testing and he said yes.

- Q. When you were told that there would have to be further testing done in Sackville what -- Excuse me, what by the way is Sackville now, or what did you understand it to be?
- 5 A. Sackville is we just call Sackville is where our Crime Lab is.
 - Q. Crime Lab.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So you were aware that these were going to be used

 for further testing in Sackville Forensic or Crime
 Lab?
 - A. Um-hmm.
- Q. Did that have any impact upon the test or procedures that you were going to follow in the hospital that morning?
- A. Yes. Usually our regular procedure is to add saline, which is like a fine salt solution, to the slide and observe it and it makes the small matter easier to identify. Since these slides were going somewhere else to be tested I could not alter the state of them in any way.
 - Q. So what does the fact that you can't add or put them in saline mean?
- A. Well, the slide is just -- The doctor takes the specimen, smears it on and it's dry, and it's very hard to see anything in that state on just a plain microscope that we have. You have to either stain the matter or add saline to make it more make the material more refractive.

- Q. Given the choice between those two methods, the saline method which I gather is the one you would normally use in say a vasectomized male --
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the method that you found yourself having to use, the dry slide method, which is the right one to use?
 - A. Oh, the wet. The wet method is definitely better.
- Q. You were forced to use the dry for the reason you just explained?
 - A. Yes, I had to.
 - Q. As a result of that then what was it that you were able to do with the dry --
- A. I just placed the slide on the microscope, scanned
 it. I could see mucus-like material, I thought one
 skin cell. Those things like they look that way to
 me but I cannot say yes they are unless I can look
 at it in the wet way the wet method.
- Q. Using the dry slide method, the method that you did use, would you expect in fact to be able to test accurately for the presence of sperm and semen?
 - A. No.
- Q. If a vasectomized male wants to know if he was safe or not would you ever use the dry slide method?
 - A. No, definitely not.
 - Q. When you used this method, the dry slide method on this occasion, were you able to say whether there were or were not sperm present?
- A. I could say that I didn't see any sperm present, that
 I could not find it, but it's not a definitive result.
 Like it's not conclusive.

1 0. Not conclusive as to what?

132DB

5

10

- A. As to whether the sperm is there or not.
- Q. Had you had the opportunity which you were denied because the stuff had to go on to the lab, to use the saline or the wet method, do you think that that would have enabled you or not to see sperm if in fact there was sperm to be seen?
 - A. Yes, I could find it, yes.
- Q. After you had -- Your test, as I understand it, then is simply to stick the slide under --
- A. The light.
- Q. -- a microscope and look and see what you can see.
- A. Um-hmm.
- Q. After you had concluded your observations did you have conversation with Constable Derek Carnahan?
 - A. I told him, I said I do not see any sperm there but
 I said it's very hard to tell with this slide and I
 said, you know, I cannot tell you that it's there or
 not. Like I don't find it but I can't tell you that.
- Q. And it was your understanding that these matters were to proceed to Sackville for further testing?
 - A. Yes. Definitely. Yes.
 - MR. ALLMAN: I have no further questions.
- THE COURT: Cross-examination Mr. Furlotte.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FURLOTTE:

- Q. Am I to understand from your testimony Miss Moran that the dry test that you used will not detect sperm?
- A. No, not -- not with what is available at our lab, no.

45 0028 (4, 65)

Now, if the test you were going to use could not detect sperm why bother conducting it?

- A. Well, just to look and see what matter I would find like. Just to tell the doctor what I could find, that's all.
- Q. And you are saying you told Constable Carnahan what you are telling the court today that you couldn't see anything but that didn't mean there wasn't anything there?
- A. I told him that I could not find the sperm with the slide that way. I said I could not see them there but that wasn't a conclusive report.
 - Q. Are you sure you didn't tell him that there was no sperm?
 - A. No, I didn't. I told him I could not find it.
 - Q. Again, if you can't detect sperm with the dry test why bother performing the test?
- A. When we are called in for a rape case we I have asked my supervisor about this before we do just what the doctor asks and let him decide whether he is satisfied with like our testing as far as what we are able to do there. And he asked me to look at the slides so I did that, but I knew I wouldn't -- When I asked Derek if it was going to go on further and he said yes those actual slides would go then I couldn't touch them so I just scanned them to see what was there.
 - Q. When were you advised that you were going to be called as a witness in this trial?
 - A. When was I advised?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. In April of this year.

30

134DB Ms. Moran - cross.

- Q. April of this year.
- A. Yes.
- Q. So as far as you knew beforehand the crown was not going to bother calling you as a witness, is that right?
- A. No.

- Q. As far as you knew you were being called as a witness because the defence questioned your --
- MR. ALLMAN: Well, I --
- THE COURT: She has no idea why she is being called as a witness Mr. Furlotte.
 - MR. ALLMAN: And I also don't think it matters.
 - THE COURT: No, it doesn't matter.
- MR. FURLOTTE: Again, who was the doctor on duty that morning?
 - A. Doctor Gerard Losier.
 - Q. Doctor Losier.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you recall whether or not you told him the test was negative?
 - A. Yes. On my way out of the hospital that morning I went back to Outpatients and told Doctor Losier. I said no, I couldn't find anything.
- Q. And did you check both slides?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. How many slides were there in all?
 - A. Just two were given to me.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: I have no further questions.
- 30 THE COURT: Any reexamination?
 - MR. ALLMAN: No, I have no reexamination and I'm finished with this witness.
 - THE COURT: Thank you very much Ms. Moran. That's all for you, thank you. It's 20 past 4, who do you have

- present? Is Doctor Losier present?
 - MR. ALLMAN: No, he isn't here today. Mr. Sleeth has the next witness. Be can advise you of what it is he proposes to do now.
- MR. SLEETH: My Lord the next witness we propose to call would be Constable Derek Carnahan. I would expect he would be about 5 10 minutes on direct.

THE COURT: Okay, that will fit in nicely probably.

MR. SLEETH: Thank you My Lord. Call Constable Derek
Carnahan.

CONSTABLE DEREK CARNAHAN, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SLEETH:

- My Lord, jurors, would you please state your full name and your occupation for the jurors, witness?
 - A. My full name is Derek Calvin Carnahan; my occupation is a police officer with the Town of Chatham, County of Northumberland, Province of New Brunswick.
- Q. For how long have you been employed in that capacity, please, sir?
 - A. I have been employed in the capacity as a police officer for the Town of Chatham since September, 1988.
- Q. Were you so employed on the 29th of May, 1989?
 - A. Yes, I was.
 - MR. SLEETH: My Lord I have here an item which I would like to have marked for identification at this time. I will refer to it as simply a kit box at this moment.
- THE COURT: Tin box.

MR. SLEETH: Tin kit box My Lord.

THE COURT: Is this being --

MR. SLEETH: Identification only at this point My Lord.
THE COURT: Oh, I see. What figure should we use here
Mr. Pugh? Why don't we just start "A".

(Clerk marks kit box "A" for Identification.)

MR. SLEETB: I should make that a little clearer possibly,

My Lord, box and contents. There are items within

it.

Constable could you tell me do you know a person by the name of Gerard Losier, a doctor by that name?

A. Yes, I do.

- Q. Do you know how far Doctor Losier's office would be from the home of the Flams that we have been discussing here to this day?
- A. There would be one building separating it.
- Q. To the front of them, to the rear of them?
- A. To the west side.
- Q. Constable I am now showing you a tin kit box which has been marked as "A" for Identification and I would ask if you would take a look at that, please, and at its contents. Thank you Constable. Now, are you able to identify to the jurors what is "A" and the contents?
- A. Item number "A" and the contents is a tin orangecolored lunch box we use as the rape kit for the
 Town of Chatham, and the contents inside are an
 instruction sheet that's followed by the doctors and
 nurses in taking the samples, and also inside are
 some tubes with swabs inside them.
- Now, the particular you are referring to contents a sheet of instructions, are you familiar with that? Are you able to identify that particular sheet of

- instructions and if so how or why?
 - A. Yes. This particular sheet of instructions I can identify it as the one that was within the sealed box when I arrived at the Hotel Dieu Hospital in Chatham, County of Northumberland, Province of New Brunswick at 5:15 A.M. on the 29th day of May, 1989.
 - Q. Now, how is it specifically you are able to identify that particular sheet? What is there on it that makes you able to do that?
- A. There's my markings, my writing, and myself my name down as the investigator.
 - Q. Okay. Then you just finished saying you brought that to the Hotel Dieu Hospital in Chatham, New Brunswick around what time?
- 15 A. 5:15 A.M.
 - Q. And in what condition was that box at the time that you brought it up to that hospital?
 - A. It was sealed.
- Q. And once you had arrived brought it to that

 hospital in its sealed condition who did you meet

 upon arriving at the hospital, please?
 - A. I met Doctor Losier and nurses Maureen English and Mary King and Marjo Palmer.
- Q. Maureen English was in fact the witness who testified just before you a moment ago?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Arriving there with that sealed kit and meeting the medical staff what did you then do with the sealed kit at that moment?
 - A. I handed the sealed kit over to them.

20

25

- Q. And what was then done in your presence that you saw?
 - A. In my presence they unsealed the kit and checked the contents to make sure everything was there.
- 5 Q. They checked it against what, please?
 - A. The sheet of instructions that's within the box.
 - Q. And then once they had done that what then took place?
- A. Doctor Losier proceeded to take the samples, assisted by nurses Palmer and King who also assisted me in marking the samples.
 - MR. SLEETH: I have three more bags, My Lord, containing what appear to be tubes which I would ask to be marked for identification at this time in sequence, B, C, D.

THE COURT: B, C, D, each a tube containing something.

MR. SLEETH: Actually a plastic envelope, My Lord, containing a tube and contents.

(Clerk marks plastic bags and contents "B", "C" and "D" for Identification.)

- MR. SLEETH: Constable I am now placing before you "B",

 "C" and "D" marked for identification, plastic bags
 containing what appear to be tubes. Would you start
 perhaps with "B" and examine "B", please, for us.

 Do you recognize item "B" for Identification, sir?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. How can you tell us that you recognize it?
- A. I recognize item "B" for identification by my writing

 P.S. 1 and my initials D.C.C. on a piece of white tape on the tube.

- Q. Okay, that's marking that's on the tube inside that bag.
 - A. Inside this bag, yes.
- Q. And what does P.S. signify to you marked on that, please, sir?
 - A. I understood it signifies the pelvic swab.
 - Q. From what person, please?
 - A. From that of Nina Flam.
 - Q. Taken by whom?

- A. Doctor Losier assisted by nursing staff.
 - Q. And once that had been taken from this person what was done with it? The swab.
 - A. It was -- The swab was placed into a cylinder tube and sealed and handed to me with a piece of white hospital tape which I marked what it was and initialed it and placed it into the rape kit box.
- Q. Okay. Just put that down for a moment, please, and if you would now pick up "C" for identification. Take your time with it Constable. All right, now are you able to recognize and tell these jurors why, if at all, you can recognize "C" for identification presentl in your hands?
- A. I can recognize "C" as another swab inside of a tube,

 a piece of hospital tape with the letters P.S. and

 number 2 and my initials that are on a piece of tape
 that's on the tube inside the plastic bag.
 - Q. And P.S. would indicate what to us again?
 - A. Pelvic swab.
- Q. From whom, please?
 - A. Nina Flam.

Cst. Carnahan - direct.

- Q. By whom, please?
 - A. Doctor Losier and nursing staff.
 - Q. And those two swabs were removed from what kit, please, before use?
- ⁵ A. The rape kit.
 - Q. And the last one, "D", please. You have examined it. Now, Constable, if you are able to recognize it and if so would you please relate to the jurors how it is you are able to recognize that tube?
- A. Item number "D" is also a tube with a swab inside of it and on the tube is a piece of hospital tape with the letters P.S., the number 3, and my initials D.C.C. That's on the hospital tape that's on the tube within the plastic bag.
- Q. Put on by you at that location at the hospital with the others?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. All removed from the kit bag earlier?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, I want you to just take one more moment if you would, please, looking at "B", "C" and "D", the tubes, and the contents they appear to be sticks of some sort?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And the tips of them?
 - A. The sticks are without they are missing the tips of them.
- Q. In what condition were the sticks then in those tubes

 when they were turned over to you at the time you

 marked them when they were sealed?
 - A. They were whole.

10

15

- Q. And by whole you mean the tips were?
 - A. The tips were on the swab.

Bridge.

Bridge.

- Q. Could you tell me, please, Constable, in back of you everyone has seen this large map of the Chatham Newcastle area, you can see it for yourself, and various witnesses have earlier identified on the upper right-hand side near that red dot a bridge, and there's another one, the Morrissy Bridge. The Centennial Bridge, I believe, and the Morrissy
- A. Yes, the bridge by the red pin is the Centennial
 - Q. You have worked in that area for some considerable period of time. Approximately what distance are we talking about from the bridge between the two bridges if you were driving?
 - A. The distance, an approximate, but it would be six to eight kilometers.
- Q. Okay. Constable, what did you do with the items
 "A", that's the kit bag and contents, along with
 "B", "C" and "D" once you had received them from the
 medical staff?
 - A. I sealed the contents. I sealed the box itself.
- Q. And "B", "C" and "D"?
 - A. It was within the box.
 - Q. And what did you do with them?
 - A. After sealing the box I placed the date and the time and my initials on the tape that was provided by the hospital staff.
 - Q. And then once you had completed all these markings so that you could if need be identify them later on, what did you then do with those objects all of which

Cst. Carnahan - direct, - cross.

- you have identified now before us?
 - A. I turned them over to Constable Britt of the Newcastle Detachment of the R.C.M.P.
 - Q. Constable Britt is present in this courtroom today?
- ⁵ A. Yes.
 - Q. Which row?
 - A. He would be in the second row.
 - MR. SLEETH: Thank you.

THE COURT: Cross-examination Mr. Furlotte.

10

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FURLOTTE:

- Q. Could I have the instructions of the rape kit, please? It will just take a minute, My Lord. I would like to read this. (Pause.)
- 15 Constable Carnahan were you present also when the doctor used the rape kit on Nina Flam?
 - A. I was within the room, yes.
 - Q. And as he used the swabs he would then hand it to you and who would put it in the plastic bag?
- A. The nurses. The nursing staff put it inside the tube, between them and the doctor, and I just made sure the tube was sealed and placed the tape with the markings on it.
- Q. And there was three pelvic swabs that were used by the doctor?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And handed back to you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And Mrs. Moran, what did she check at the lab? Was

 it one of those or a couple of those swabs or

 something like this?

- A. No. She checked the card with two slides on it.
 - Q. Two slides on it.
 - A. Yes
 - Q. She didn't touch these three swabs at all, the pelvic swabs that you mentioned?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Items marked "B", "C" and "D"?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Those remained intact until you gave them to who?
- A. Constable Britt.
 - Q. Now, I notice in the instruction sheet that --
- MR. SLEETH: Objection, My Lord, at this stage. My Lord

 I would object to any cross-examination detailed

 cross-examination on the contents of that particular

 item where I was very careful since it's only marked

 for identification to only have it identified. If

 my learned friend wants to go into details of those

 instructions I have no worries about that but the

 item "A" should be put, and contents, including that

 sheet, should go into evidence. If he's willing to

 accept it in evidence now at this time that would be

 fine. Save us a lot of time.

THE COURT: Isn't Mr. Sleeth right Mr. Furlotte?

- MR. FURLOTTE: I have the right to cross-examine on anything that's marked for identification.
 - THE COURT: That's in evidence as an exhibit, don't you?

 What type of question are you going to ask? What

 are you going to examine him on?
- MR. FURLOTTE: I was going to examine him on the rape test kit says that there's five swabs in here, three of them for pelvic swabs, one anal swab and one oral swab. I want to ask this witness how many swabs he

received back from the doctor.

THE COURT: All right, go ahead.

- MR. FURLOTTE: How many swabs did you receive back from Doctor Losier? Did you receive an anal swab?
- 5 A. I believe so, yes.
 - Q. Did you receive an oral swab?
 - A. I also believe I did, yes.
 - Q. Would you have it in your notes?
 - A. Not in my notes but I believe they're inside the kit.
- Q. Would you open the kit, please?
 - A. Inside the kit is oral swab 1, and also anal swab 1.
 - Q. Is there a sixth swab in there or what's in the other plastic vial?
- A. That's a saline solution or something. I'm not sure to what that is.
 - Q. Is there a swab inside that?
 - A. I believe it's a swab, yes.
 - Q. Were those swabs used by Doctor Losier? Do you have any way of telling?
 - A. Well, I can tell the anal swab I was used as I have marked it as so, especially with my initials. And the oral swab I was used by Doctor Losier as I have marked it as so with my initials.
- Q. And the third one?
 - A. And the third one I see where I marked my initials on it and I believe I marked 'saline' or something on it but it's not original because I it's not the same tape, that sticker that was on that.
- Q. That one didn't come with the rape test kit?
 - A. I can't say if it did or not.

45 3025 (4 85)

- Q. I see it states the kit contains the following items:

 5 swabs.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And there are actually 6 in there, is that right?
- A. Well the 5 swabs are all identical in length and size and same color caps, and the swabs also inside are identical except the three that are here are missing their tips and the two that are in here have the tips on.
- 0. But we seem to have an odd-ball swab.
 - MR. SLEETH: My Lord I'm going to object to the constant reference to a swab. The witness, I believe, has referred to a tube marked 'saline' of some sort, that all.
- MR. FURLOTTE: I believe there's a swab in it, is there not Constable?
 - A. I could be corrected but it looks like a swab to me.
 - Q. Me see. Yes, it definitely looks like a swab Constable.
 - THE COURT: Well now, you're not giving evidence.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: Do you know how that swab got in there?

 THE COURT: You say it looks to you, witness, like a swab?
 - A. Yes.

- MR. FURLOTTE: Do you know how that swab got in that rape test kit?
 - A. No, I don't.
 - Q. Constable Carnahan you had a conversation with Nina Flam that morning?
- 30 A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And did she mention anything to you about her assailant?
 - A. Yes, she did.

- Q. Did she describe him?
 - A. Yes, she did.
 - Q. And what was her description?
- A. Description was slight build. His speech had no accent to it. He wore a stocking mask with the face cut out. He wasn't an older man she said, and he carried a large sharp knife.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, he was an older man or wasn't?

- A. Wasn't, My Lord.
- THE COURT: He wasn't.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: Did she also state that he didn't have any gloves on?
 - A. And also, yes, no gloves on.
- Q. Are you sure she said he didn't have any accent or that he did have an accent?
 - A. I'm positive she said he had no accent to his speech.
 - Q. Did she tell you what time that she was attacked?
- A. Between the hours of 11:30 P.M. and midnight the night before, which would have been the 28th of May, 1989.
 - Q. Now, after Mrs. Moran conducted the lab technician we conducted the test to see if there was any sperm on the slides what did she report to you?
- A. She explained out to me about what she could find and couldn't find and when she explained it out to me and told me it was that she could see no sperm.
- Q. Do you understand why you put it in your report that she advised simply that there was no sperm on the slides rather than put it in your report the explanation that Mrs. Moran gave in court here?
 - A. To me it's the same thing.

- Q. To you it was the same thing?
 - A. Yes.

MR. FURLOTTE: No further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. Reexamination Mr. Sleeth?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SLEETH:

- Q. Constable, during cross-examination for the first time you were asked about conversations with Nina Flam and things said to you by Nina Flam and you indicated that she said this assault took place sometime between 11:30 and what hour, please?
- A. 11:30 P.M. and midnight 12 o'clock.
- Q. Did she tell you what the assailant said to her at the time? Her attacker.
- The attacker said first he wanted to know where the money was and then he said that he was going to kill her.
 - Q. Did she tell you also what was done to her?
- A. Yes. A pillow was placed over her face; her hands

 were tied; her feet were tied; and a bare hand or

 hands went around her throat.
 - Q. In an attempt to do what?
 - A. Strangle her.
 - MR. SLEETH: Thank you.
- 25 THE COURT: Thank you very much constable.
 - MR. SLEETH: I would ask this witness be stood aside My

 Lord. There will be further questions on other

 aspects of this witness. This deals with one element.
- THE COURT: You are still on the witness stand strictly,

 you're being called back, but you are not allowed to
 discuss with crown counsel or with anyone any aspect

of the circumstances that you have testified to already.

Now, that is as far as we can go today I think.

- MR. SLEETH: My Lord could there be some direction from the

 Court about the items which are marked for identification? I know that the rule is normally if they were only for identification that they remain with the R.C.M.P. but perhaps there should be some indication from the bench on this to be sure I'm not misunderstanding.
 - THE COURT: The first thing is did everything go back in the kit box that came out of it?
 - MR. SLEETH: Yes, My Lord.
- THE COURT: The instruction sheet. So nobody is carrying anything away.

Why doesn't Mr. Pugh look after this stuff, or does the crown want to retain it?

- MR. SLEETH: If it please the Court, My Lord, I think it
 might be a little more convenient unless Mr. Pugh -
 My Lord and Mr. Pugh have strong feelings about it
 we would like Constable Britt to keep it for a little
 while. He is the last continuity man.
 - THE COURT: All right, that's fine. He's been the officer in charge of it up until now.
 - MR. SLEETH: He's our Fort Knox My Lord.
 - THE COURT: All right, well that makes more sense.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Mr. Furlotte just mentioned to me, I think I understood him right, that he saw no reason why it all couldn't go in as an exhibit now, the box and contents. At least I think that's what he means.

45 3025 (4 85)

25

- MR. FURLOTTE: And then the other people for continuity can testify as to it later.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Well, we would follow them up.
 - THE COURT: Well that would simplify things certainly.
- MR. SLEETH: My Lord does that include, as well, "B", "C" and "D"?
 - THE COURT: That includes the tubes with the swabs as well, "B", "C", "D"?
 - MR. FURLOTTE: I would rather have them left out for now.
- MR. ALLMAN: Then I think that's going to get confusing then if some of it is in and some of it isn't.
 - THE COURT: Why don't we make the kit box P-10 and that puts it in. Why not make the kit box P-10 and put it in?
- MR. ALLMAN: My Lord the only thing is I have a witness that I want to see \sim -
 - MR. FURLOTTE: Well if you had other stuff to put in between, maybe not. Keep them together.
- THE COURT: All right, you get Constable Britt to look

 after that stuff. Would you give that to counsel and
 they can turn it over.
 - Well, that's everything for now. What are your plans for tomorrow, Mr. Allman, just looking ahead here? We have made good progress today I would gather because we have --
 - MR. ALLMAN: Yes, indeed we have, My Lord. We will be calling a number of people tomorrow.
 - THE COURT: 10 11 I suppose.
- MR. ALLMAN: Yes, 10 or 11, possibly even more. We will be completing up the aspect involving this kit, calling another nurse, the doctor, and then various people to do with continuity and then we will see where we are. We will certainly have enough to keep us going

all day tomorrow.

THE COURT: But we had discussed before what you were going to do on Thursday, but you will have a full day though tomorrow.

MR. ALLMAN: We will have a full day tomorrow and a full day Thursday.

THE COURT: Well, members of the jury, we seem to be making progress, and I warn you, again, please don't discuss the case with anyone or let anybody try to 10 tell you anything about it. I don't know whether jurors ever get letters through the mail telling them what they should do or not. Sometimes judges do but not very often. If you do receive any sort of communication like that do as I instructed you 15 before. Let me know through the clerk or through the constable and we'll have the matter investigated, if you receive any sort of intimidating, or otherwise, letter or anything else. Any form of interference at all. 20

So we will start again at 9:30 in the morning. We were held up a little this morning. Defence counsel I think wanted a chance to discuss the matter or consult with their client and --

MR. ALLMAN: Just on that topic, My Lord, I spoke with Mr.

Furlotte this morning and we have spoken or we're

making arrangements with the Sheriff's Department

to insure that Mr. Legere is here at 9 o'clock every

morning, promptly, so that there will be a good half

hour and we should be able to start at 9:30 promptly.

THE COURT: Does that satisfy you Mr. Furlotte, that arrangement?

45-3025 (4 85)

15

25

30

MR. FURLOTTE: Yes.

THE COURT: Well, we will see then, and I instruct the sheriff to see that that is carried out and that means we can get away at 9:30 promptly, except in an emergency.

(Jury excused.)

THE COURT: I am going to ask Mr. Pugh if he also would look after - or put in the exhibit room or whatever, those copies, but keep them segregated from the original exhibits but keep these available so that they are available. You will be wanting the jury to refer to them again, presumably, and we will keep them as floating copies.

Nothing else? We will be back at 9:30.

(COURT ADJOURNS - 5:00 P.M.)

SEPTEMBER 4, 1991 - 9:30 A.M. - COURT RESUMES.

(Accused in prisoner's dock. Jury called, all present.)

THE COURT: Now, you had another witness Mr. Allman.

MR. ALLMAN: Yes, I do, My Lord. Good morning members of the jury. My next witness is Marjo Palmer.

MARJO PALMER, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLMAN:

- Q. What is your name, please?
- A. Marjo Palmer.
- Q. Mrs. Palmer I gather you no longer live in New Brunswick?
- A. No, I now live in Ottawa.
- Q. And what's your occupation?
- A. I'm a registered nurse.

46 3025 14 851

- O. On the 29th of May, 1989 were you employed as a Registered Nurse and working in the Emergency Room at the Hotel Dieu Hospital in Chatham?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have occasion that morning to come in contact with and have involvement with a lady called Nina Flam?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. About what time of the morning would it be that you and Nina Flam became involved together?
 - A. I was notified at 4 o'clock on the 29th by Maureen

 English that a burn patient was coming in and when

 I arrived in Outpatients at 4 o'clock she was already
 there.
- Q. Can you tell us what you saw and what you did when you came in contact with Nina Flam?
- A. When I arrived she was lying on a stretcher and she was covered in a white sheet. All I could see at first was her face. It was black, very covered in soot. Her nostrils and mouth were all covered in soot. When we lifted off the sheet I noticed that she had burns both arms, both legs, to her back. I suggested to Maureen that we wrap her up in some sterile saline sheets which we did. That took about 15 or 20 minutes.
 - Q. Sterile saline sheets that's because of the burns, is it?
- A. Yes. It's to stop the burns from progressing and for relief of pain. We took some vitals on her, blood pressure, temperature, that sort of thing, and about 4:30 Doctor Losier arrived to examine her.

- Q. Was she given an intravenous at all?
 - A. There was several attempts to start an intravenous.

 She did get one in her left arm by her elbow. We couldn't use her right arm because she had a cast.
- 5 She said that she had broken her wrist about a week earlier.
 - O. What about the left?
- A. The left hand was notably bruised and swollen. I also noted that she had a bruised and raised area under her right eye and her left eye was quite bloody.
 - Q. Okay, so you made those observations and she had complained of the injury to the left - was it wrist you said?
- A. Left wrist, yes.
 - Q. And the bruises and blood on the face.
 - A. Right.
 - Q. Then you said Doctor Losier arrived.
- A. Doctor Losier arrived and examined her. We rolled her off on to her left side to look at the burns on her back. When we asked her if she was in any pain she said that her back was hurting.
- Q. Now, I take it that during the course of this time
 and indeed during the course of the time that you and
 she were together you would have had conversations
 with her.
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. I don't want to get into I'm not allowed to get into the conversation that you might have had with her but from the conversation that you had with her and other medical personnel had with her was any other kind of procedure, apart from purely medical

procedures, taken in respect of Nina Flam?

- A. Yes. We did do a rape kit on Nina Flam. When Constable Carnahan arrived he brought the rape kit with him.
- Q. What's the process? What do you do in taking samples for a rape kit?
 - A. In Nina's case first of all we moved her physically into another room. Present were Doctor Losier, myself, another nurse, Mary King, and the policeman,
- Constable Carnahan. We took three pelvic swabs.

 They were done in order and as Doctor Losier took
 the swab I would be hanging on to the test tube so
 that it is not contaminated from the inside so that
 he is able to put the swab directly into the container
 - without touching the inside of the container, and then I passed it over to Constable Carnahan and he numbered each pelvic swab as I passed them to him.
 - Q. What do you use to take the to obtain the substance?
 - A. It's like a Q-tip. It's a cotton swab.
 - Q. The sort of thing you use to clean a child's ears?
 - A. Yes. Just a longer version, yes.
 - Q. And you recall Doctor Losier -- Who actually takes and obtains the swabs?
 - A. Doctor Losier does.
 - Q. And then I think you said he puts it into the tube?
 - A. Right. We'll put the cap on it and pass it over to Constable Carnahan to label it.
- Q. In addition to the three pelvic swabs were any other swabs taken that you can recall?
 - A. Yes, there was one anal swab taken and there was one oral swab taken.

45 3075 |4 851

20

Q. Is that a normal thing or an unnormal thing to do?

M. Palmer - direct.

- Α. No, that's the normal routine for a rape kit, and also hair samples as well.
- Do you remember any other swabs? Q.
- 5 Α. No.

- And I think you indicated that the process would be Q. that as one swab is taken by the doctor, inserted into the vial, then it's handed to Carnahan.
- Α. Right.
- Q. Did you see Carnahan making - writing notes on there?
 - A. No, I didn't notice that.
 - ٥. What's the object of handing it to Carnahan, do you know?
- Well, that's to prove that we haven't further Α. 15 tampered with it. It's up to him to label it and protect the evidence I would assume.
 - Q. And the reason why you would be holding on to the bottom of the tube is what?
- That's just so that Doctor Losier has a clearer field Α. 20 to maintain the sterility of the sample so that it doesn't come in contact with the outside of the container to pick up any bacteria. It's strictly a sample of where the swab was taken from.
- In the course of taking pelvic or vaginal swabs I Q. 25 take it you would have the opportunity to observe the pelvic or vaginal area.
 - Yes, I did. Α.
 - Did you make any observations about that area? ٥.
- Yes, I noticed that it was the outside of the Α. 30 pelvic area and vagina area was extremely bruised, very swollen, and also burnt.

- When the samples were being taken where physically would Doctor Losier, yourself, and Constable Carnahan be in relation to each other?
- A. Well we're all in the same room. Nina would be

 lying on a stretcher with her feet up in stirrups
 and both Doctor Losier and I would be down at the
 pelvic region and Constable Carnahan was just up at
 Nina's head, and I just passed them across to him.
- Q. Did you have to move or go anywhere in order to make sure --
 - A. No.
 - Q. -- that Carnahan got what he got?
 - A. No.

25

30

- Q. Did you make any observations about Mrs. Flam's neck
 area? You mentioned the bruising and the blood on
 the face. We're going to think about the neck now.
 - A. Yes. She did have two red welts on the right side of her neck and they seemed to be almost from pressure.
 - Q. And did you make any observations about her hands or wrist area other than the fact that she mentioned that her left wrist hurt?
 - A. Well, like I say, the left wrist was swollen and bruised.
 - Q. When the medical treatment and the rape test procedures had been completed did you remain with Nina Flam or did you go about other business?
 - A. No, I stayed with Nina the whole time. I took her as well to Fredericton in the ambulance.
 - Q. She was transferred to Fredericton by ambulance after, was she?
 - A. Yes.

45 302514 851

- 1 Q. And you accompanied her?
 - A. Yes.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Cross-examination Mr. Purlotte.

5

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FURLOTTE:

- Q. Mrs. Palmer I understand Nina Flam also vomited a few times while she was at the hospital?
- A. Yes, she did.
- 10 Q. Do you recall how many times?
 - A. I believe twice.
 - Q. Do you recall how many times you may have been in attendance when a rape test kit was conducted in the hospital?
- In Chatham that was the first one I have seen but I have seen other rape kits done back in Ontario.
 - Q. Back in Ontario. I believe you stated that you recall five swabs being taken?
 - A. Yes.
- 20 Q. 3 in the pelvic area?
 - A. 3 pelvic swabs, one anal and one oral.
 - Q. Mrs. Palmer I understand you had brief conversation with Nina Flam about the assailant?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And she described him to you?
 - A. Yes, somewhat. When we were waiting for the police to arrive, when she had said that she had been raped we just sort of had to wait for them to arrive, and I started to ask her some questions because I was concerned that she might not make it and I asked her if she had seen the person. She said it was a he.

45-302514 851

10

- She said that he was wearing a mask and he was a slight built man. And she also described the mask.
 - And did she also tell you that the intruder or that Q. her assailant was not wearing any jewelry?
- Α. I asked her about jewelry. She didn't remember any.
 - Q. Did she tell you what time the attack took place?
 - A. I asked her when she had last seen Annie and she said that she thought between 11 and 11:30 when Annie was closing the store and that was really the only time reference she gave me.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, the time again?

- Between 11 and 11:30 P.M.
- MR. FURLOTTE: I understand, also, when Doctor Losier attempted to do the anal swab that she told Doctor 15 Losier that the intruder did not go in there?
- Α. Right. When she had said that she had been raped I asked her whether she had vaginal - whether he penetrated her vagina and she said yes to that, and orally she had said yes too, and also rectally she 20 had said yes too, to me when I asked, and then when Doctor Losier took the swab from the anal area she said that he did not go in there.
- Q. When I asked you about whether or not she told you the intruder wore any jewelry or not what was your 25 answer again?
 - She said that she didn't see any jewelry. Α.
 - She didn't see any jewelry? Q.
 - Α.
- Did she also tell you that the guy didn't wear any Q. 30 gloves?
 - A. She told me that, yes, that he did not wear gloves.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: No further questions.

THE COURT: Reexamination?

MR. ALLMAN: Yes, My Lord.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLMAN:

- Q. With regard to the time, ll to ll:30, Mr. Furlotte was asking you if she told you what time the attack occurred. What did you understand the ll to ll:30 reference to be about?
- A. I asked her if she had seen Annie and she said the last time she had seen Annie was between 11 and 11:30 when Annie was closing the store.
 - Q. Mr. Purlotte asked you some questions about the type of sexual activity that she was telling you had occurred and I got a little confused about that.
- Originally, where did you understand from Nina that she had been penetrated?
 - A. Vaginally.
 - Q. The question of anal penetration arose under what circumstances?
- 20 A. I was just asking questions to find out where she had been assaulted.
 - Q. And she indicated that she had not been assaulted anally?
- A. When I asked her she said yes, she had been assaulted anally, but when the swab was taken she said no.
 - Q. Originally, when she said she had been penetrated anally - or assaulted anally, were there any male persons present then?
 - A. No.
- Q. When she said that she hadn't been penetrated anally was there a male person present then?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. That would be who?
 - A. That would be Doctor Losier and Constable Carnahan.
 - Q. Apart from penetration where, if at all, did she indicate there had been any ejaculation?
- ⁵ A. Vaginally.
 - Q. Anywhere else?
 - A. No.
 - Q. You mentioned that --
- THE COURT: You may be getting into new areas there that you are not entitled to reexamine on.
- MR. ALLMAN: I spoke to Mr. Furlotte in this regard, My
 Lord, and indicated to him that if he proposed to
 ask this witness questions about some of the things
 that Nina Flam said I would not object on the understanding that he didn't object if I asked her
 questions about the other things Nina Flam said.
 - THE COURT: I don't know what your understandings are with Mr. Furlotte but go ahead.
- MR. ALLMAN: Did you gather from Mrs. Flam how, if at all, the intruder addressed her?
 - A. Yes. I asked her if she knew the person and she responded that 'He called me Nena instead of Nina.'.
- Q. Any further conversation on that topic or is that basically it?
 - A. No, that was the only.
- THE COURT: Just a couple of little questions in clarification. You said at first she had indicated that there
 had been penetration rectally and then later you used
 the expression 'anally'. What word is it?

A. It's anal or rectal. It's --

THE COURT: Rectal.

A. Yes.

15

25

30

THE COURT: But was it your impression that Ms. Flam would understand what those terms meant?

- A. I said rectal the first time and she seemed to understand what that meant.
- THE COURT: There was another 2 or 3 questions the jury
 might feel that I should ask this witness. You may
 intend to clear the matter up with further witnesses,
 if you are going to do that I don't want to do it Mr.
 Allman, but --
 - MR. ALLMAN: Perhaps if Your Lordship would indicate the question and I could indicate whether we propose to clear them up or not.
 - THE COURT: Well, the concern what is a pelvic swab. Is that out on the side of the hip here somewhere on the pelvis bone?
- MR. ALLMAN: I will be calling Doctor Losier as my next witness.

THE COURT: What is an anal swab?

- MR. ALLMAN: Again, I'll be calling Doctor Losier and he is the person who actually took the swabs so he can clarify that.
- THE COURT: All right, well you are going to clear that up.

 Any questions arising out of the very limited questio

 I asked. I might explain to the jury that a presidin

 judge in a criminal trial doesn't normally take any

 part in the presentation of the case, either for the

 crown or for the defence. It is not my business to

 prosecute or to defend an action. I will be asking

5

10

15

20

25

30

a very, very limited number of questions of any witness through the trial. I may, on occasion, ask a couple of questions, as I have done with earlier witnesses and as I do now, normally to clear up matters that I feel might have created a conflict or a question in your mind.

It used to be that in coroners' inquests, for instance, in this province where a coroner's jury was inquiring into the cause of death of some person, not in a criminal trial but in a coroner's inquest, juries would very frequently ask questions themselves of witnesses. Sometimes jury members were permitted even in criminal trials to ask questions of witnesses but that's a practice that is frowned on under our system. I have never encouraged or permitted it myself and I think it's improper really. The presentati of the case is in the hands of the crown and the defence counsel. If they overlook something or slip up on something that's their problem and it's not your problem or mine to be trying to fill in gaps that we feel exist. But where there is some matter of interpretation or something, or description of what some word means, or phrase means, I may intervene now and again and ask a question.

Thank you very much. You're back to Ontario.

MR. LEGERE: Your Bonour as a final protest I would like to be excused from this morning's proceedings. I have already asked my lawyer but he doesn't want to say nothing so I want to state it on my own behalf.

The presumption of innocence and the right to a fair trial and for a proper defence are entrenched in the

10

Charter of Rights as stressed by the decision by the New Brunswick Court of Appeal. Mr. Furlotte told this Court that he isn't prepared and Mr. Kearney cannot absorb this case in four days. I have six jurors over there who formed an opinion of my guilt and one that has admitted to reading 'Terror' which was banned by the New Brunswick Court of Appeal. And those six shouldn't be on my panel because I have to prove to them that I'm innocent. And I also feel that because of some of your decisions you should dismiss yourself from the case.

Could I please be excused for this morning?

I don't feel I'm getting a fair shake.

THE COURT: Would counsel want to -- My answer is that

we are going on immediately with the next witness.

Do counsel want an opportunity to speak to --

- MR. FURLOTTE: I think we should have an adjournment to speak to our client.
- MR. KEARNEY: I would say so, My Lord. Five minutes just to -- this is new to me.
 - MR. LEGERE: I talked to Mr. Furlotte about it. He advised against it but I had to speak up.
- THE COURT: Well, we will take a recess for five or ten

 minutes and we will continue when you are ready with

 the next witness.

(Jury excused.)

(RECESS - 10 - 10:25 A.M.)

- THE COURT: Mr. Rearney? We're in a voir dire session now for a few minutes.
 - MR. KEARNEY: I suggest, My Lord, that we can proceed with the witnesses. I have spoken with our client, Mr. Legere, and I think that we can proceed normally

without any more interruptions. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. I was going to make a couple of remarks but -- Mr. Allman do you have --

MR. ALLMAN: I would like to make some submissions on that

My Lord. Two things. First of all the crown views

what happened very seriously. Mr. Legere has been

warned repeatedly by Your Lordship that he is not

supposed to address you. It is still worse to

address a jury. What he did this morning was a

tactical maneuver. It was designed to say things to

the jury that shouldn't have been said to the jury.

I note that the statement that he won't- we can safely

go on was made by counsel, not by Mr. Legere, and I

think it would be appropriate to have Mr. Legere

state to Your Lordship that he will not repeat that

process.

We are very concerned for this reason. What he said puts very great pressure on the jury to in effect bend over backwards and prove their impartiality which he alleged they are not capable of doing. We think that's a very serious matter. I would respectfully submit that Mr. Legere should in fact be excluded from the courtroom at least for some period of time to get across the point that Your Lordship has the power, and you have made orders to this effect before, and that if somebody wilfully and flagrantly for his own reasons disobeys those orders that's not going to be tolerated. So we would suggest that Mr. Legere should be excluded, at least for some time to come, at least until such time as he is personally prepared to say that he won't --

20

25

10

15

MR. LEGERE: Who's going to do the work Mr. Allman?

MR. ALLMAN: To say that he won't repeat that conduct.

The other thing we would ask is this. Whether Y

The other thing we would ask is this. Whether Your Lordship allows Mr. Legere to remain now or to return later, we would ask you to direct the jury in very strong terms that what Mr. Legere said should not be considered by them, either against him because it might annoy them, or for him because it might cause them to feel that there is some pressure on them to prove their impartiality. To show that Mr. Legere is wrong when he says they can't be impartial. All they have got to be is impartial. They don't have to demonstrate it in any fashion.

MR. LEGERE: Do you want me to reply Your Honour?
THE COURT: Mr. Kearney do you wish to reply?

MR. KEARNEY: Well, My Lord, before the adjournment I addressed the Court that I would speak to Mr. Legere, and I did, and when we come back into court I didn't realize Mr. Allman was going to address the Court in 20 the manner in which he did. I assured the Court that in order to --I'm thinking about this problem all the time and I addressed the Court that there wouldn't be any other outbursts in the foreseeable future and I told Mr. Legere I was going to see him 25 again after we get going here today. In other words I have this in mind, and I'm watching it, and I'm well aware of the law on this matter, and the problems that are entailed, as well as Mr. Allman, and I appreciate what he says. And I can only do 30 my best in that regard. That's why I mention to the Court that we should proceed as normally, you know, with the next witness and I'll do my best as I have

just done prior to the recess and during the recess.

That's about all I can say My Lord.

MR. ALLMAN: Just for clarification, I am certainly not suggesting Mr. Kearney and indeed Mr. Furlotte aren't doing their best. I never made any criticism of their conduct at all.

MR. KEARNEY: I know you didn't.

THE COURT: Mr. Kearney may I just address this remark to
you and perhaps to Mr. Furlotte as well, and that is
you have explained to the Accused the effect of
Section 650 of the Criminal Code. It says, 650(1)
says: "An accused, other than a corporation, shall
be present in court during the whole of his trial."
(2) "The court may cause the accused to be removed
and to be kept out of court where he misconducts
himself by interrupting the proceedings so that to
continue the proceedings in his presence would not
be feasible."

MR. FURLOTTE: Oh yes, My Lord, and you have advised him and I have advised him so he knows.

THE COURT: And we have, and there's one thing the accused must appreciate and that is that I cannot engage in any conversation with him during the course of the trial. If the result of the trial were unfavorable to him well it could be suggested as a ground of appeal that I provoked him into making some comment or some statement. An accused in a trial has the right to speak - when represented by counsel that is, has the right to speak on what - 3 occasions I suppose. One is when he or she - I am speaking

25

5

10

15

20

25

30

generally, not of this particular trial, when he or she pleads guilty or not guilty when arraigned. That's one time. It may be that on a voir dire which may concern the admissibility of evidence an accused may be called as a witness and not in the presence of the jury and he may rebut evidence which supports the admissibility of a witness, or he may not. We had a voir dire here. The accused had the opportunity to be called as a witness and testify and that wasn't done at the time. That's not a decision I make; it is a decision defence attorneys make. The third is when the defence is asked if they have witnesses to call, and sometimes that privilege is exercised, sometimes it isn't. I am not going to comment further. Those are the three occasions, really, when an accused can speak, and an accused has no right whatever to make any comments in court. And what Mr. Allman says is absolutely right. He says this is a tactical thing. Well, I take a favorable view. I try to. I realize that an accused in a trial like this is - must be terribly frustrated. He's imprisone on another conviction now. Circumstances have led to his being confined in the way he has. the greatest sympathy for an accused person in these circumstances and I am going to bend over backwards to take account of that. You know Mr. Allman says now I should put the accused out. Well I'm not going to put him out at this stage, but I am going to say, and I think I have made it clear before, that I am not going to tolerate interference with this action, and we will have to get along without the accused

5

10

15

20

25

being present if that's the only way we can make this trial proceed.

This is a serious thing this morning. You know. I don't know - what should I do. When an accused starts to talk like this this morning should I stop right there and say, you know, send the jury out, call a recess or something, or should I let him say what he wants to say. Well, that's a quick judgment decision that I have to make, but certainly any other intervention there will be a recess called immediately and the jury will be excluded. I'll have to make the decision then where we go from there.

I have explained before the two options the accused has. One is to sit in the courtroom and hear what goes on and when his turn comes to speak he may speak if he wishes to exercise that privilege, and he obeys the rules of procedure of the court. The other alternative is he sits in the cell out back there and he listens to what the rest of us are doing here, or he watches it on a television or a loud speaker, and whether that takes two weeks, six months, or whatever period it takes.

While we're in a voir dire session here we'll go on with -- I'm not going to make an order as you suggest Mr. Allman at this point but I have regard for what you say and your point is fairly well taken.

MR. ALLMAN: I would remind Your Lordship of the second
point. We would appreciate some sort of caution to
the jury not to hold it against Mr. Legere or allow
it to influence --

10

15

20

25

30

THE COURT: Well, I will but, you know, I have run into
this sort of thing in other trials and I find that
juries aren't -- juries make allowance for accused
persons too and I doubt really if it has very much
effect on a jury. It could do if it's carried on,
you know, or continuously carried on. I'll say
something to them when they come back but it will be
short and brief. I will tell them to ignore it.

While we're in a voir dire I want to comment on one other thing. There's a story in one of this morning's papers about an action that has been brought on behalf of the accused in the Federal Court of Canada in which it's alleged that he didn't have the opportunity to consult counsel and so on in the Renous Institution and so on. Well that's - I don't know whether I would have published it or not had I been the publisher of the New Brunswick Telegraph Journal, that is the newspaper, but I want to point out that last week one day, I think it was the 26th or something, I forget the day, a Notice of Applicatio was filed with the Court on behalf of the accused for a Stay of Proceedings in this whole matter on a number of grounds, and one of the grounds was the inability to - or the little difficulties that the accused has had in consulting with counsel privately and so on at Renous and certain obstacles that were put in his path, and how he was treated there and so on. The Statement of Claim in that action is part of the affidavits that have been filed in this action. That application, I think counsel agreed, would be heard on Friday next, or whatever day you wanted,

Friday afternoon probably, next week, not this week but next week. That is a matter before the court now on this voir dire and it would be highly contemptuous. I want to point out, for any newspaper or any media to refer further to that action in the Federal Court because it is a matter - it's a subject that's before this court on this voir dire, and it will automatically become - not because I say so but because the section of the Criminal Code that I have referred to already says it can't be referred to under the criminal law of the country.

I do urge members of the media - I don't know how to handle, really, the problem. I don't like to call the media together and lecture to them on the thing because I probably won't be talking to the right people, but I do urge the media to - media representatives to encourage their employers, the radio corporations or television corporations or newspaper corporations, to consult their lawyers and take advice as to what is contemptuous and what isn't.

The other day, Friday - Saturday, in one of the provincial newspapers there was an article which included reference to some person saying - telling the - being interviewed by the newspaper reporter and saying that DNA evidence is a lot of crap. Now, that is totally contemptuous for a newspaper to publish that type of interview. Totally and utterly contemptuous. You know you may say - counsel may say what am I going to do about it? Do I - should I instigate something? Well strictly the problem is

45 3025 (4 85)

25

10

15

20

> with the Attorney-General I suppose. I suppose I can demand that some action be taken. I don't want to create a lot of red herrings on the side. I would hope that the newspaper involved would consult with its lawyer and get advice on the matter and appreciate that that type of thing --I don't hold the reporter to blame necessarily. He may be relying on somebody in his editor's office to vet his report on the trial or on interviews of that nature.

You know for anyone to write to a newspaper, an accused or any other person connected with a trial, during a trial, and for the newspaper to report those letters or to publish or report those letters is totally and utterly contemptuous, and I do urge the media to be careful on this matter.

Well, that is all I want to say right at the present time. There was reference in the paper the other day to the fact that we had adjourned from Thursday to Tuesday with no reason given. Well, I did give to the jury and gave in open court here the reason for the adjournment. The reason for the adjournment was that the crown didn't have more witnesses available because the crown had anticipated as defence counsel had equally done I suppose, that the jury selection process would take more than the two days that it did. That's not a serious thing to be suggesting that. There was a suggestion in a newspaper article that the media couldn't publish the nature of restrictions that had been put on them. I didn't do that purposely in front of the jury; I did it at a voir dire session. I made an order under

45 3025 (4 85)

5

10

15

20

25

15

20

25

30

the bench rule regarding video photographing, and I made the restriction - I imposed the restriction that camera or picture taking could not take place either by video or still camera on this level of this courthouse, and what I was trying to avoid was media people sticking a camera in the face of the accused when he is brought out of a van into the building, sticking a microphone with a recorder into his face. Anything that he says is totally - it would be totally contemptuous to publish that, and the media have been in contempt when they have published that because it's an interference with the job that the jury have to do in this trial. It's trying to usurp the function of the jury and decide these matters and present something that the jury doesn't hear in court, and that is in contempt of court.

I feel, and I have always felt, most strongly that accused people should not be - or people who are on trial should not be subject to cameras being thrust in their face. They have no way out.

In one of the adjoining provinces the TV people seem to get away with murder. I have pointed out before I think in one case in a courthouse the cameras chased an accused through the corridors and they chased and the poor fellow ran through, and he ran through an unlocked door with the camera chasing after him and he found himself in the judge's chambers I have no sympathy for the judge because if he was stupid enough to permit those cameras to chase through the corridors of his courtroom he was deserving of that fate I would say.

45 3025 (4 85)

10

15

I had a trial in the province here where a young man in his late teens was on trial for murder. The television camera people abused it terribly taking pictures of him. I had to have a fence built from the jail to the courthouse which buildings adjoin each other so that they couldn't take pictures of him being led back and forth at every recess. There were no facilities in the courthouse at that time to keep the accused there. I had a fence built so they couldn't and by gosh the next day I looked out the window and here was a television cameraman standing on the shoulder of another cameraman holding the camera up and taking the picture down over the fence. And what did I do? I made a prohibition against coming on the courthouse property at all. Then they climbed up on a veranda across the street and took pictures down. So it's a difficult thing to control. But an accused person, as Mr. Legere himself has pointed out, is innocent until proven quilty and it is my feeling that a person on trial,

20

25

30

being an innocent person insofar as the charges in this court are concerned, and I am determined that that dignity will be maintained, and one of the ways in which I propose to maintain that dignity is to ensure that someone isn't going to try to make an ass of him - try to make an ass of him I'm saying - by sticking microphones in his face and cameras in his face here on these precincts - and the precincts of this building.

an accused person, is entitled to all the dignity of

Well now that's all I am going to say. You are ready to go on with your other witnesses. I think we will probably go right through now until 12:30. We won't bother with another recess.

Would you bring the jury in?

(Jury called. All present.)

THE COURT: The crown I believe are prepared to go on with other witnesses. I just want to say to the jury that I would ask you to ignore what happened earlier this morning. I am sure you are prepared to do that and it is not going to destroy or affect or hurt your objectivity one way or another, and just ignore it.

Now, Mr. Allman.

MR. ALLMAN: Thank you My Lord. Doctor Gerard B. Losier.

<u>DOCTOR GERARD LOSIER</u>, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLMAN:

- Q. What's your name, please?
- 20 A. Doctor Gerard Barry Losier.
 - Q. And what's your occupation?
 - A. I'm general practitioner in general medicine.
 - Q. Where do you practice?
 - A. Chatham, New Brunswick.
- Q. How long have you been practicing in Chatham?
 - A. 10 years.
 - Q. How long have you been qualified as a general practitioner?
 - A. 10 years.
- Q. Does your general practice include acting as the attendant physician in the Emergency Room specifically at the Hotel Dieu Hospital in Chatham?

175DB Dr. Losier - direct.

- A. Yes, it does.
 - MR. ALLMAN: My Lord I would ask that this witness be declared an expert in the field of general medicine and in emergency medicine, and I understand there's no objection.

THE COURT: Any questions?

- MR. FURLOTTE: There's no questions.
- THE COURT: All right. I declare the witness an expert in the field of general practice of medicine.
- MR. ALLMAN: Just as a matter of interest, where on the 29th of May, 1989 was your surgery?
 - A. In regard you mean my office?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. It's at the corner of Water and Lobban Avenue.
- Q. In Chatham?
 - A. Yes.

20

- Q. Were you acquainted with the grocery store and residence of Nina and Annie Flam?
- A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. How far away would your office be from that place?
 - A. It's the second building lot next to my office.
 - Q. How long would it take to walk from your place to their place?
- A. 10 seconds.
 - Q. There's been evidence in this case that a surgical glove was found in close proximity to the Flam residence shortly after the fire and the incident there on the 29th. Do you have any comment on that or anything that you could tell us about?
- A. It's possible.

Q. What?

- A. It's possible to find a surgical glove in that vicinity.
- Q. How would that happen? I mean do you have surgical gloves in your place?
 - A. In my office, sir, we use surgical gloves regularly and someone may have taken gloves. There's been things stolen out of the office on many occasions, pills, keys, etc.
- Q. Were you the attending physician in the Emergency
 Room at the Hotel Dieu Hospital in the morning of
 the 29th of May, 1989?
 - A. Yes, I was. I was the doctor on call that evening from the 28th to the 29th.
- Q. Did you have occasion in that capacity to see, observe and treat Nina Flam?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Could you begin about what time would you have first come in contact with her?
- A. It would be shortly after 4 o'clock in the morning I
 was notified at home that Annie Flam this building
 was on fire and that they were bringing Nina to the
 hospital and that I should come right in to assess
 her because she was quite badly burnt.
 - Q. Could you tell us, first of all, your initial observations in fact all your observations about Nina Flam's condition.
- A. Well she had been obviously burned. At the time I

 had gotten there there was some carbonaceous type of
 burn deposits over her skin, her face, and some burns
 over her general body area, second and third degree.

45 3025 (4 185)

- Q. What's carbonaceous?
 - A. That's products that you get from burnt skin. You get a black sooty type material after things are burned and from the smoke and whatnot that accumulate on the body, the clothing, and the oropharynx, the mouth, you see black soot. Basically it's the products -- A simple example would be the smoke that comes out of a chimney.
- Q. You say that she was burnt on what parts of her anatomy?
- A. There was burns around her face, her truncal area.

 There was some carbonaceous material in her mouth,
 oropharynx. She was burned truncal area, both front
 and back. That would include her chest, her upper
 and lower back, and also her abdomen, her upper legs,
 her pelvic area, and there were some burns on her
 arm.
 - Q. You mentioned something about second and third degree burns. What does that mean?
 - A. In the classification of burns a first degree burn would be a sunburn with no blistering. A second degree burn is a burn from whatever source that causes blistering. That means that the skin has been damaged and responds as a blister. A third degree burn would be a burn that the extent of the burn is such that the tissue is burnt full thickness and requires skin grafting to repair it.
- Q. In addition to observing the burns that you have just described did you observe any other features about Mrs. Flam Nina Flam?

- A. She had a fair amount of bruising around her left side of her face; around her neck there was swelling and bruising; and also there was bruising and swelling around her arm and also bruising in her pelvic area.
- Q. Let's go through those slowly just one at a time.
 There was bruising to the face.
 - A. Yes, there was.
 - Q. Left face I think you said.
 - A. Left angulus jaw and left face.
- Q. Consistent with what sort of cause?
 - A. Could be consistent with trauma of any cause.
 - Q. You mentioned that there was something I think you said something in the neck. Tell me again what that was.
- A. Yes, there was. There was swelling and bruising around the neck.
 - Q. And what would that be consistent with?
 - A. Could be --
- MR. FURLOTTE: I would object. I would think you might be asking for speculation in this matter.
 - MR. ALLMAN: This is a classic example of what a doctor can say.

THE COURT: What was your question?

MR. ALLMAN: I'm asking what the injuries he observed to the neck would be consistent with. I am not asking him to get into a specific. I'm asking him to --

THE COURT: Well, that's fair enough.

MR. ALLMAN: Consistent with what? The neck.

- 30 A. Consistent with some sort of restraint.
 - Q. And you mentioned something you observed on the arm did you say? What was that?

- ¹ A. Consistent with some sort of trauma or restraint.
 - Q. Bruises again you said?
 - A. Bruising and swelling.
 - Q. Whereabouts on the arm, do you know?
- 5 A. The wrist and the lower arm from the forearm down to the wrist. In that vicinity.
 - Q. And then you indicated I think bruising on the pelvic area?
 - A. Yes, there was.
- Q. Using the medical expressions specifically, what organs of her anatomy did you find to be bruised?
 - A. The labia majora which is the outer closing area for the vagina. That area was quite bruised and edematous and burned even.
- Q. Edematous means what?
 - A. Swollen.

- Q. And, again, I'm not asking you for a specific cause but what type of injury - what type of cause is that consistent with?
- A. The bruising from trauma I would think.
- Q. And what in layman's language is trauma? You have used that in relation to the various bruises. What's trauma?
- A. Trauma is any source of impact. A punch. Anything.
 - Q. In terms from a medical point of view what were the more serious injuries?
 - A. Her most serious injury was her burn.
- Q. And just very briefly because it is not directly on point I think, what was the nature of the treatment that you administered to her?

- 1 A. We had put some oxygen on her, some sterile dressings.

 Started intravenous fluids and basically in a burn the management is fluid maintenance. These people lose a vast amount of fluid in a very quick time and what you have to do is get lots of fluid into them to stabilize them to keep up with the fluid loss from the burn. We started an IV. We put a catheter in to monitor fluid intact and we made arrangements to have her transferred to a burn unit because of the
- O. Transferred to the burn unit where?

extent of her burns.

- A. Fredericton.
- Q. Now, in addition to what you have been referring to so far which I take it are purely medical procedures, was there any other procedure that you performed in relation to Nina Flam?
 - A. We performed examination with a rape kit.
- Q. I am showing you now an item that's been marked "B".

 THE COURT: "A".
 - MR. ALLMAN: I am going first of all to show you an item that has been marked by the clerk as "A" for identific; tion. Does that bear any markings of yours on it?
 - A. No, it doesn't.
- Q. Do you recall seeing -- you mentioned a rape -- What was it that was performed on Nina Flam?
 - A. A rape examination by a rape kit.
 - Q. How does that object that I am showing you now compare to the rape kit or container of the rape kit that you saw that evening?
 - A. That is a kit that we used on Mrs. Flam.

- Q. I opened the box and contained in there is a form headed "Chatham Town Police Sexual Assault Kit".
 Do you recognize that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is that?
 - A. That is it's an itemized account of what the kit contains and what should be done for examination of rape victims.
- Q. What use did you put that document to when you were dealing with Nina Flam?
 - A. We took the contents of the kit and used them as stated in the document here.
- Q. I am going to show you now an item that has been marked "B". Again, does that contain any writing or anything of any kind put on it by you?
 - A. No, it doesn't.
 - Q. What do you recognize item "B"?
- A. That is a swab. I believe it's a vaginal swab.

 What these swabs are, they're Q-tips but I believe
 in this case that no Q-tip appears to be on that.

 I believe that's a vaginal swab.
 - Q. And "C"? Again, is there any writing of yours on it?
- A. No, there's no writing of mine on it. That's, again, a swab and it would be in the nature of a vaginal swab but there's no Q-tip on that. The Q-tip has been removed.
 - Q. And "D"?
- 30 A. The same type of specimen, probably a vaginal swab, and the Q-tip has been removed.
 - Q. How many vaginal swabs --
 - A. 3.

- Q. -- did you take from Nina Flam?
 - A. 3.
 - Q. Do you know the expression 'pelvic swab'?
- A. Pelvic swabs are used as basically a broad

 categorization for swabs taken from the vagina in this situation. Pelvic swabs can be done in other cases. You can do them for infection but in this case pelvic swabs would mean they were from the vagina.
- Q. In this case all the three swabs that you took that you call vaginal swabs would be taken from what portion of Nina Flam's anatomy?
 - A. The vagina.
- Q. I don't want to go into too much detail but

 15
 essentially what's the process? What do you do?
- A. We insert a metal speculum. I use sterile technic myself. I wear gloves. We cover the patient up.

 We then under sterile technic do the swabs. The inside of those containers are sterile so they cannot be contaminated. Then usually the nurse would hold the bottom canister of the container and I would pass the swab which is attached to the red stopper and put it into the container and then it would be sealed and it would not touch any possible source of contaminations.
 - Q. Why does the nurse hold the bottom?
 - A. Because that way I can hold the speculum and direct my swab into the vagina much more easily.
- Q. What about when you are coming after you have inserted the swab and obtained the specimen when you
 are proceeding to put that into the solution inside the sterile solution inside the vial? Why would the
 nurse hold the vial then?

- A. Because she is going to take that and pass it on and I am going to go back and do other swabs and I'm still sterile.
- Q. And with regard to the three vaginal swabs that you took on this occasion would that be the process that you took or would it be something different?
 - A. No, absolutely the process.
- Q. I am showing you now another similar vial, a long
 vial with a red top to it. Can you tell us anything
 about that?
 - A. This is an oral swab, it's marked on the side, and this is obviously taken from her mouth, and what's present on it is the carbonaceous material that I was telling you about, the soot that she had from smoke inhalation.
 - Q. I gather from what you are saying you did in fact take an oral, that is to say a mouth, swab?
 - A. That is it, yes.
- Q. Is the process any different apart from the location? 20
 - A. No

- Q. Incidentally, the words you said it indicated that was an oral swab. What are that written on?
- A. That's on surgical tape which is general tape that

 we use in the hospital to stick on all the containers
 as a label.
 - Q. I am showing you now another vial, again a long vial with a red head. Could you look at that and tell us anything about that one?
- 30 A. This vial says 'anal swab'. The Q-tip and the felt tip are attached and it has a brownish material on it.

- Q. Did you in fact take an anal swab from Nina Flam?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Is the process any different from the others except for the location?
- ⁵ A. No.
 - Q. I am showing you now a third vial. This one is smaller than the others and has a green top. Could you look at that and give us any information that you can about that item?
- 10 A. This is a hematology tube which is a vacuum tube and in many instances what we do in the hospital if there are inadequate tubes present in any kit we will take our own sterile tubes and the simplest thing is to take a hematology or a blood tube that they use for taking blood because they're all sterile inside, and what we do under sterile technique is take the cap off and then put whatever specimen we want inside the tube, it being sterile.
- Q. Do you know what, if any, specimen is contained inside that tube?
- A. Well, this contains just two Q-tips which would be sterile Q-tips. They don't belong to this bottle but according to the protocol on the rape kit from the Chatham Police Department there are supposed to be swabs from the body general body area, so if you follow the list down I believe that this represents those swabs.
- Q. Let's look at the list and maybe you could just indicate from the list what it is you are talking about.

Dr. Losier - direct.

- A. The list says to the physician: "Please obtain the following exhibits: Pelvic swabs (3); anal swabs (1); oral swabs (1); and suspected seminal fluid on the body (1) swab."
- Q. Did you in fact to the best of your recollection have any occasion to use a 6th swab to take a specimen from on the body?
 - A. I believe that was the green-stoppered tube.
- Q. And in relation to all those items that you took

 you described the process under which you are doing
 the swab taking, the nurse is holding the bottom of
 the vial and then hands it over to the police officer.

 Was there any variation from that procedure in respect of any of those swabs?
 - A. No.

15

MR. ALLMAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Cross-examination.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FURLOTTE:

- 20 Q. Doctor Losier you mentioned about surgical gloves, it's possible somebody would what - steal one out of your office? Is that the way you put it?
 - A. I said it's possible. I never said they did. I said it's possible.
- Q. Now, the surgical gloves that you use yourself in the office, are they disposed of?
 - A. We have three examining rooms at our office. There are opened surgical boxes with a hundred gloves in every office. Anybody could easily take a glove.
- Q. So anybody who does break and enters could get some surgical gloves from your office?

- A. They wouldn't have to break and enter. Anybody who is in the office and I'm not there at that particular time could easily take gloves and stick them in their pocket.
- Q. I take it you have never had Mr. Legere go into your office for treatment?
 - A. I have had Mr. Legere in my office for treatment.
 - Q. Recently?
 - A. Not recently but I have in the past.
- Q. How long in the past?
 - A. I cannot remember the exact date but I know I have seen him in the office.
 - Q. Would it be before 1986?
 - A. Yes, it would.
- 15 Q. Before 1985?
 - A. I would say more around 1985 and perhaps even in '86.
 - Q. Did you give any hair samples to the police so that they could check your hair samples with the hair that was found in the surgical glove?
- A. No.

- Q. Let me put it this way, Doctor. The glove that was found outside next door to the Flam residence it probably wasn't a glove that you used yourself.
- A. I'm not saying that. What I am saying is that our technique for disposal of used gloves from our office is that I burn them all in my own garbage can. Where that surgical glove came from in Mrs. Flam's yard I have no idea. The question was put to me could a surgical glove have come from my office and I said it's not impossible. We do not leave surgical gloves outside of our office to be picked

up by the garbage collectors. They are all taken

- from inside the office to a garbage can at my residence where they are burned.
 - Q. Where they are burned. And I see in your report that there was a vaginal smear done at the hospital lab.
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And your report states that it was negative for spermatozoa.
- A. What it states is that it was negative as done at the Chatham lab.
 - Q. Yes. Now that smear that was done at the Chatham lab was that taken from one of the vaginal swabs that you used or a different test altogether?
- A. No, that was taken from a swab that probably was discarded. We do swabs and then rub them on the slides and then discard the swabs.
 - Q. Okay. So that wouldn't have necessarily have been that wouldn't have been from one of the swabs that was placed into the red stopper tubes?
 - A. That would have been swabs that were taken from the vagina but not necessarily kept.
 - Q. I see in your report that Nina Flam vomited twice in Outpatients?
- A. That's correct.

MR. FURLOTTE: No further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you Mr. Furlotte. Mr. Allman?

MR. ALLMAN: I have no reexamination.

THE COURT: Thank you very much Doctor Losier. Is Doctor

Losier stood aside or --

MR. ALLMAN: He's not required again.

THE COURT: He's all through.

MR. ALLMAN: Yes.

20

15

- MR. WALSH: My Lord I have another witness. Constable Pierre LeFebvre.
 - CST. PIERRE LEFEBVRE, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALSH:
 - Q. Would you give the court your name and occupation, please?
- A. My name is Constable Pierre LeFebvre. I'm a peace officer, a member of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police since 1981, presently posted in Newcastle, and I have been so since February, 1989.
 - Q. Would you tell the court, please, officer, in your own words what you know or what involvement you had with this particular aspect of this matter beginning with the date, the time and the place?
 - A. Yes, My Lord. On the 29th of May, 1989 in the Town of Chatham, County of Northumberland, Province of New Brunswick, I was assigned to take custody of a body that was found on Water Street in the Town of Chatham so at 6 minutes past 6 in the afternoon I took custody of the body that was identified to me as the body of Annie Flam.
- Q. Where was the body when you first took custody of 25 it?
 - A. I took custody of the body as it was removed from the building.
- Q. I show you exhibit P-6 which would be, members of the jury, the booklet of 93 photographs, and I would ask you to please look at photograph 43 or 44 and tell me whether or not that is a body that you took custody of on that date?

- A. Yes, it was, My Lord.
 - Q. Would you describe for the court, please, and the members of the jury how the body was removed from the premises and what, if anything, happened to it from then on?
 - A. The body was placed in a disposable body bag. A white disposable body bag. It was carried downstairs where an ambulance was waiting at the front door.

 The body was put on a stretcher, inside the body bag, and it was tied on to the stretcher, and then it was transported to the Hotel Dieu Hospital in Chatham.
 - Q. And did you accompany the body to the Hotel Dieu Bospital?
- A. I followed the ambulance from the scene to the

 hospital. We arrived at the hospital at approximately

 be minutes after 6 P.M.
 - Q. Could you tell the members of the jury, please, how the body was actually handled? In what fashion?
- A. Well, the R.C.M.P. members that were present at the scene carried put the body of the deceased in the disposable body bag and the body was, I believe, put on a stretcher and carried down the stairway in that fashion and then the body was then transferred on to the ambulance stretcher, placed inside the ambulance and was taken to the hospital that way with me following behind the ambulance.
 - Q. Continue, please.
- A. So at approximately 15 minutes after 6 that same

 night a Doctor by the name of Doctor Christensen, I

 believe, officially pronounced the victim dead and
 approximately 6:30 I personally locked the body in-

- side the hospital's crypt. I secured the door with a padlock which I kept the only key and I affixed a seal on the padlock on the key opening to make sure the padlock wouldn't be tampered with.
- Q. Then what, if anything, did you do?
 - A. That was the end of my involvement at that particular date. On the 30th of May the body remained in the hospital vault and on the 31st of May, 1989 at approximately 6 o'clock in the morning I attended the Chatham Hotel Dieu Hospital where I personally removed the seal on the padlock, removed the body -- Removed the padlock from the door of the vault and the body was taken from there for autopsy purposes in Saint John, New Brunswick.
- Q. How was it taken from that vault to Saint John?
 - A. The body was removed by myself and by the funeral home, Adams Funeral Home attendant, and was lifted put on a stretcher and wheeled to the funeral home vehicle and from then on it was taken to the Saint John Regional Hospital.
 - Q. Did you accompany the body to Saint John?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. In your own vehicle or with the --
- A. I believe I followed the funeral home vehicle to the hospital in Saint John.
 - Q. Did anyone accompany you to Saint John?
 - A. Yes, My Lord. I was accompanied by Doctor Hayward who is a dentist.
- Q. And without getting into what Doctor Hayward told you what was the purpose of having Doctor Hayward go with you?

- A. Doctor Hayward's purpose was to identify the body of the deceased.
- Q. And would you continue, please? What, if anything, happened next?
- A. We arrived at the Saint John Regional Hospital at approximately 9 o'clock on the 3lt of May, 1989 and the body was removed from the funeral vehicle and was wheeled to the autopsy room at the Saint John Regional Bospital where the autopsy started in the presence of myself, Doctor Hayward, Corporal Godin of Bathurst R.C.M.P. Ident Section, and also a
 - Q. Did you stay there and observe the autopsy as it was being performed?

Doctor MacKay, the pathologist, and his assistant.

A. Yes, I did, My Lord.

- Q. Continue, please. What, if anything --
- A. Well Doctor MacKay proceeded to do his autopsy and
 I received exhibits I received some items removed
 from the body by Doctor MacKay. At 12:30 that afternoon on the 31st of May, 1989 I received three vials
 of blood from Doctor MacKay.
 - Q. Would you tell the jury, please, and the judge where these three vials of blood came from?
- A. The three vials of blood came from the body of the deceased person.
 - Q. And who took them? Took the blood.
 - A. Doctor MacRay took the blood.
 - Q. Were you present?
- 30 A. Yes, I was.
 - Q. Did you observe this being done?
 - A. Yes, I did.

MR. WALSH: My Lord if I may have this bag marked for identification, please.

THE COURT: That will be "E".

(Clerk marks bag and 2 vials contained therein

- 5
 "E" for Identification.)
 - MR. WALSH: I will show you this package Officer. It has been marked "E" for Identification. Would you just look at that package and tell me whether or not you can identify anything in that bag?
- A. I can identify two vials of blood bearing the date of 31st of May, 1989, time being 12:30, and my initials affixed to a sticker which is affixed to each single one of these two vials of blood.
 - Q. You indicated that you received three vials?
 - A. Yes, I did.

15

- Q. Those two of those three?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. What, if anything, did you do with these in particular these two vials of blood?
- A. After I received them from Doctor MacKay I wrote down my I signed my initials, wrote down the time and the date, and then I wrapped them up in brown paper towel and placed the package inside a white envelope.
 - Q. And what, if anything, did you do after that?
 - A. The autopsy was over at that time and I returned to Newcastle Detachment with those exhibits.
 - Q. And in whose possession did these exhibits remain?
- A. I returned -- They remained in my possession until
 25 after 8 on the 31st of May, 1989 at which time I
 turned them over to Constable Britt.

Cst. LeFebvre - direct. - cross.

- Q. Constable Britt is a member of what police force?
 - A. He is a member of the R.C.M.P. in Newcastle.
 - Q. And why would you turn it over to Constable Britt?
- A. Because Constable Britt was assigned as being the person that would look after all exhibits related to the occurrence.
 - Q. Did you have any other particular involvement in this matter?
 - A. No, I did not, My Lord.
- 10 Q. I have a final question for you Officer. I asked you earlier with respect to how the body was handled.

 I'm particularly interested in knowing -- when I interested asked you how the body was handled I'm particularly / in knowing whether or not you had any problems with it, whether or not it was ever dropped, whether or not you ever bumped it, anything of that particular nature. I want to know exactly the manner in which it was being treated.
- A. Well, the body was treated as if it was a live

 person. While it was in my custody it wasn't mishandled or dropped or bumped in any fashion.
 - MR. WALSH: Thank you. I have no further questions My Lord, thank you.
- THE COURT: Mr. Furlotte.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FURLOTTE:

- Q. Constable LeFebvre I understand you removed some jewelry from the body of Annie Flam?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. What was that jewelry?
 - A. I don't recall what the jewelry was, however, I have it listed on my exhibit report which I have with me.

- 1 Q. Do you have your exhibit report with you?
 - A. Yes I do, My Lord.
 - Q. Would you mind checking that, please.
 - A. I removed two gold necklaces from the victim's neck which I placed in a small plastic container.
 - Q. Any rings?
 - A. No, I did not remove any rings from the victim.
 - Q. So the only jewelry you have listed is two gold --
 - A. Two necklaces.
- 10 Q. Two gold chains. Necklaces.
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Did you remove anything else from the scene? Hairs or anything?
- A. I did not remove anything from the scene. I removed
 a pair of soiled undergarments and I received other
 exhibits from Doctor MacKay, one being one vaginal
 swab, one anal swab, some scalp hair and some pubic
 hair.
- Q. Now, you were present when Doctor MacKay did the autopsy?
 - A. Yes, I was My Lord.
 - Q. And you were aware that there was a fractured jaw?
 - A. That was pointed to me, yes.
- Q. And Doctor MacRay advised that it was a possible heat fracture?
 - A. That was one possibility Doctor MacKay raised, yes.
 - Q. Also, I see in your report the cause of the fractured jaw was unknown, in your report?
- A. Well, the definite cause was unknown.
 - Q. And there was no anal or vaginal rupture?
 - A. No, there was not.

- Q. And there was no evidence of strangulation and there was no apparent blow to the head?
 - A. Not from what Doctor MacKay told me.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: I have no further questions.
- 5 THE COURT: Reexamination?
 - MR. WALSH: No, My Lord.
 - THE COURT: Thank you very much Constable. This witness --
 - MR. WALSE: -- is being stood aside My Lord.
- THE COURT: Stood aside. And you are under the restriction

 that you can't discuss this aspect of your testimony
 with anyone, including crown counsel, until all the
 trial is over.
- MR. WALSH: My Lord before calling our next witness I would
 like to point out a number of things. First of all,
 one of the witnesses would be Doctor Paul Christensen
 The defence has agreed that in fact he pronounced the
 body that was transported by Constable LeFebvre, that
 he pronounced that body dead. That is an admission
 from the defence for the purposes of the record.
 That morning in the hospital. And the other admission
 is that the body that Constable LeFebvre was in fact
 transporting was that of Annie Flam.
 - THE COURT: Mr. Furlotte Mr. Walsh has correctly stated your agreement on those points?
 - MR. FURLOTTE: That is correct, My Lord. Defence admits those statements of facts, however, with Doctor Clint Hayward the Crown is still going to make him available for cross-examination.
- THE COURT: Be was the dentist?

 MR. FURLOTTE: He's the dentist.

 THE COURT: Are you calling him now?

1 MR. WALSH: He won't be called now, My Lord, he'll be called later in the week. The purpose of actually calling him was to do an identification of the body of Annie Flam by her dental work. Mr. Furlotte has admitted that the body is Annie Flam's so the purpose for the crown actually calling him is no longer necessary, however, Mr. Furlotte does want the Doctor present for cross-examination and we are certainly prepared to make him available for that purpose.

THE COURT: Thank you. I might tell the jury at this point that when an indictment is preferred which lists the charges, it's this document here and you will see this later in the trial, it simply lists the different counts of charges, and then an indictment is required 15 to show the witness list of the witnesses that the crown proposes to call. There are 243 witnesses. Actually there are less than that because there are some who will be recalled once, twice, three times as the crown indicates, but the crown may decide well 20 it's not necessary to call - we don't have to call a certain witness. Perhaps something - it may be agreed or it may be proven through some other witness and they may not want to call that witness, but they are still obliged to produce that witness in case the 25 defence wants to cross-examine. Frequently the defence will say well we don't require to crossexamine a witness but if the defence considers it important for their case to cross-examine that witnes the crown is still obliged to call. And that is what 30 happens here in the case of Doctor Hayward.

This is just by way of explanation.

Now you have another - who is your next witness?

15

20

30

1 MR. WALSH: Constable Robin Britt, My Lord.

CONSTABLE ROBIN BRITT, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALSH:

Q. Constable, there's a table there in front of you that's most convenient for you - for your items.

Would you give the Court your name, please, and your occupation?

- A. My name is Constable Robin Britt, a peace officer, a member of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, presently stationed on the Campobello Island. I have been so employed for the last 51 years.
 - Q. Would you tell the Court, please, what, if any, involvement you had in this particular matter and that is this aspect of this particular trial beginning with the date, the time and the place?
 - A. Yes. It was on May 29th, 1989 I received a call home
 As a result of that call I proceeded to the Chatham
 Town Police.
 - Q. Where were you stationed at that time?
 - A. At the Newcastle Detachment with the R.C.M.P. I proceeded to the Chatham Town Police office which is situated in the Town of Chatham, County of Northumber-
- land, Province of New Brunswick, and I was advised the detail as the exhibit custodian at the crime scene.
 - Q. Would you please explain to the jury what an exhibit custodian is and why one is assigned to an investigation?
 - A. It consists of assisting the investigators in collecting evidence at the scene or any piece of evidence that might be required for court, making

- arrangements to go to the Crime Detection Lab and keep possession of it until it comes to trial, and ensure continuity of it.
- Q. Did you in fact have occasion as exhibit custodian to take possession of any items related to this matter?
 - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. I am going to show you an item that has been marked
 "E" for Identification. Would you look at that item,
 please, and tell me whether or not you can identify
 it and, if so, what if anything you did with it?
- A. Yes, I can recognize it. A white envelope bearing my initials "RB" which stands for Robin Britt; the date 89-05-81; the time 20:25 hours, which would be 8:25 P.M.; Newcastle, and I had put 46-B which would be the item number for this particular exhibit.
- Q. You put what?
- A. 46 dash B which would be the number pertaining to this exhibit.
- Q. Did you receive anything else besides the white envelope?
 - A. There was three vials of blood inside of it.
 - Q. And did you do anything with those particular vials of blood?
- A. Yes. They were turned over to Raymond Robichaud.
 - Q. Did you do anything to the vials of blood themselves before you turned them over in terms of putting any markings on them or anything of that nature?
- A. No, I did not. They were turned over to Raymond

 Robichaud at the Crime Detection Lab in Sackville,

 New Brunswick on the 1st of June, 1989.
 - Q. Who did you receive that item from?

Cst. Britt - direct.

- A. I received it from Constable Pierre LeFebvre.
 - Q. He is the officer who testified just previous, before you?
 - A. Yes.
- S Q. And what, if anything, did you do with that item between the time you received it from Constable LeFebvre up until the time that you turned it over to Mr. Robichaud?
- A. It was secured in an overnight locker at the R.C.M.P.

 Detachment in Newcastle, New Brunswick.
 - Q. Who has access to that locker?
 - A. Only myself.
 - Q. And Mr. Robichaud works where?
- A. The Crime Detection Laboratory in Sackville, New

 15 Brunswick in I believe the Toxicology Section.
 - Q. When did you next see this particular item after you turned it over to Mr. Robichaud?
 - A. Today.
- Q. I am going to refer you to two items that have been marked as exhibits on this particular trial. One has been marked exhibit P-7 which purports to be a pill bottle with a burnt match inside. Can you tell the court whether or not you ever had occasion to come in contact with that?
- A. Yes. On May 30th, 1989 at the Flam residence, more particularly into the bedroom of Nina Flam, was found a partly burnt match. It was found on top of her blanket in her bedroom.
- Q. Were you present?
 - A. Yes, I was.

45 3025 (4 BS)

- Q. And who did you receive that from?
 - A. From Sergeant Chiasson of the Bathurst Identification Section.
 - Q. And what, if anything, did you do with the -- You received the item inside, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

15

- Q. What, if anything, did you do with that item?
- A. Once I received it I gave it an item number which would be 14, a case number pertaining to this

 particular investigation which would be 89-1904 which is a file number that are assigned for every case, and the exhibit report would be 89-257. I put the date and I signed R. Britt.
 - Q. Where did the pill bottle come from?
 - A. The Identification member, Sergeant Chiasson.
 - Q. Now, I will show you an item that has been entered as an exhibit as exhibit P-8. Would you look at that for me, please, and tell the jury if you can identify it?
- A. Yes, I do recognize it as there is my name, the time and the date and in this bag was contained, when I received it from Sergeant Chiasson, was a black knotted nylon stocking which was found in between

 Nina Flam's bed and the first closet if I recall correctly. As soon as I received it, again, I used the formal R.C.M.P. exhibit tag, put the date ~ 89-05.

 30, signed my name, R. Britt, and the time I received
- Q. And what, if anything, did you do with that item after you received it from Sergeant Chiasson?

it, 12:31.

A. I kept it in my possession. It was secured overnight into the R.C.M.P. overnight locker under lock which

- I only have one key and it was kept in my possession, and on the 31st of May, 1989 it was turned over to Gary Verrett at the Crime Detection Lab in Sackville, New Brunswick, and he is with the Hair and Fiber Section.
 - Q. And did you ever have occasion to see it after you turned it over? Did you ever receive that item --
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. When?

20

- 10 A. It came back on the 28th of June, 1989 via registered mail 585.
 - Q. Via registered mail and you said 585. Would you explain to the jury what the number means and how the R.C.M.P. use registered mail associated with items?
 - A. That number would have originated from the post office in Sackville, New Brunswick and it was in a box and they would assign a number pertaining to that, and the lab could refer to that saying 585 registered mail number would contain particular items which were sent back to my attention.
 - Q. Is that a normal thing that could happen for items that have been deposited at the Crime Lab to be returned to the officer by registered mail?
 - A. Yes
 - Q. And after you received it back in whose possession did it --
- A. I kept it in my possession until today. Well today it was introduced. Sorry, I should say yesterday.
 - Q. You were in court in fact and handed over the item?
 - A. Yes, yesterday.

20

25

30

- 1 Q. I am going to show you this particular item. It's marked "A" for Identification. It purports to be a rape kit box. Would you look at that for me, please, and tell the jury if you ever seen it and if you have what, if anything, you have done with it?
 - A. Yes, I do recognize this what pertains to be a rape kit in an orange-colored box. I recognize it as I received it on the 29th of May, 1989 at the Chatham Town Police office. That was at 8:18 hours which would be 8:15 A.M. in the morning. I put my initial, R.B., which stands for Robin Britt, and I had received it from Constable Derek Carnahan.
 - Q. And what condition was that box in at the time you received it from Constable Carnahan?
- A. It was different than today. There was a seal all acrund the box and there was also, I should mention, there was a tape across here.
 - Q. Is there a tape still there?
 - A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. And what kind of tape are you referring to?
 - A. Seems to be a white tape that are used into a hospital.
 - Q. You say the box was sealed. Did you open it when you received it from Constable Carnahan?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. Were you aware of what it contained?
 - A. Yes, I was aware.
 - Q. And to your knowledge at that time what did it contain?
 - A. It was a sexual assault kit number 2 which is marked on the box here in a yellow sticker attached to the box.

44 302514 850

- And what, if anything, did you do with that particular Q. box after you received it from Constable Carnahan?
- Once again, it was secured in the overnight locker Α. at the R.C.M.P. and on the 31st of May, 1989 it was turned over to Gary Verrett of the Hair and Fiber Section of the Crime Detection Lab in Sackville, New Brunswick.
- This overnight locker, who has access to it? Q.
- Α. Only myself.
- And who turned it over to Gary Verrett? Q.
- I did turn it over to him personally. A.
- Did you at any time open that box between the time you received it from Constable Carnahan until the time you turned it over to Gary Verrett?

A. No, I did not.

- Did you ever have occasion to see this box after you Q. turned it over to Gary Verrett?
- Yes. It came back through registered mail, 585, on the 28th of June, 1989.
- And could you tell the jury, please, what condition Q. the box was in at that time and whether it was different from the time that you had initially had it?
- The difference I noticed from that time, as you can see around there's a seal, and the front had been broke since the first time I had seen it. Once it came back to me the seal had been broke.
- And did you have occasion to open it up when you re-Q. ceived it?
- Α. Yes, I did.

15

10

20

25

30

45 0075 14 851

- Q. Would you open it up now, please, and tell the jury whether or not you recognize any of those items?

 Essentially what we are trying to determine is what was in the box when you received it?
- Okay. There is a sheet here marked Chatham Town
 Police, Sexual Assault Kit, name of victim: Nina
 Flam; date: 29-5-89; Investigator: D.C. Carnahan;
 Attending Physician: Doctor Losier; Rospital: Hotel
 Dieu, Chatham; and date and time received: 06 hours,
 which would be 3:06 A.M., on the 89-05-29.
 - Q. When you opened the box after receiving it by registered mail what was in the box? Was that one of the items?
- A. It was this item here which there is 4 sheets of instructions.
 - Q. What, if any, other items were in the box when you received it back by registered mail?
 - A. There was one green tube which appeared to be a swab in it in a water or a saline solution.
 - Q. You are referring to the tube with the green stopper?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Doctor Losier referred to as a blood tube.
- A. Yes.
- Q. Was that in the box when you received it back by registered mail?
 - A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. Continue, please.
- A. Then there is another swab here which is marked on a white tape, I believe to be hospital tape, oral swab, and with initial D.C.C. Attached to it there is an R.C.M.P. lab tag.

45 3075 (4 85)

- Q. Was that tag attached at the time that you received the box back?
 - A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. As with the green stopper?
- 5 A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. The tube. Was that in fact in the box when you received it? This last item.
 - A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. Continue, please.
- A. There was a third item in this box, or I should say 4th item, another swab with marked on it on a white piece of tape 'anal swab'. It was initialled D.C.C. and attached to it another R.C.M.P. lab tag.
- Q. Was that in the box when you received it back from the lab?
 - A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. Continue, please. Were there other items in the box?
 - A. Not except some papers to protect the evidence.
- Q. Did you keep this box in your possession after that time?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And those contents?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Where did you keep them and what did you do with them:
 - A. They were kept at the Newcastle Detachment under lock which I only had the keys and they were always under my control.
 - Q. And who brought that item to the court?
- 30 A. I did.
 - Q. Did you have occasion, Officer, to receive anything else associated with that particular rape kit?

45 3025 (4-65)

- 1 A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. I am going to show you this item that's been marked
 "B" for identification. Would you look at that for
 me, please, and tell me if you recognize it?
- Yes, I do recognize it. It's a swab marked PS-1 and I had the opportunity to receive this swab in a sealed box, which would be this box here, on the 7th of July, 1989 and I had received this box, sealed, from Sandy Lumgair which she is a civil member with the Crime Detection Lab in Sackville, New Brunswick. I received it there personally that day and it was later then secured into the freezer at the Moncton General Investigation Section in Moncton, New Brunswick.
- Q. Okay. Would you just explain to the jury what the General Investigation Section is and what it was that you actually put that item in?
- A. The General Investigation Sections are members to assist Detachments in major cases which in this case would be the murder, and on that particular date, the 7th of July, 1989, this sealed box contained other items and they had to be kept in a freezer in order to be further sent down for further tests in Ottawa.
 - Q. So did you open that box when you received it?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. And you received that box from who?
 - A. Sandy Lumgair.
 - Q. And who is Sandy Lumgair?
- A. She is a civil member of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police and she is with the Serology Section in Sackville, New Brunswick.

GE 3023 (4. **85**)

15

20

25

- Q. And did you in fact put that box in a freezer?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. At the General Investigation Section?
 - A. Yes, in Moncton, New Brunswick.
- Q. And what kind of control did you have over the item after you put it in the freezer?
 - A. I had the key of the freezer and once it was locked

 I had the opportunity to place a green tape over the
 lock device of the freezer and I had attached to it
 an R.C.M.P. exhibit tag with my initial, date 89-0707, and the time it was secured into the freezer.
 - Q. And who else had access to that freezer other than yourself?
 - A. Nobody else.
 - Q. Now, Officer, when did you next come in contact with this when did you next see this item?
 - A. The next time I come into contact with the Identification "B" was on the 10th of August, 1989 which at that time I had to break open this box and remove item number 1-H.
 - Q. What is item 1-H?
 - A. This 1-H would come from the lunch box we've had which was an exhibit, and that number had been given by a lab person.
- Q. Is that the item that's been marked "B" for Identification?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Is that what you are referring to?
- 30 A. Yes.
 - Q. You took that out of this box?
 - A. Yes.

41 3025 (6.85)

- Q. And what did you do with the item in your hand, "B" for Identification?
 - A. As soon as I took it I put my initial, R.B., the date 89-08-10, the time 10:30 hours, which would be
- 10:30 A.M., Moncton, New Brunswick. And later that day I went to Ottawa at the Central Forensic Lab and turned it over to Mr. Les Macey.
 - Q. And the Central Forensic Lab, you are referring to the R.C.M.P. Central Forensic Lab in Ottawa, Ontario?
- 10 A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. And who is Les Macey?
 - A. He is a civilian member of the R.C.M.P., Royal

 Canadian Mounted Police, and he's with the Serology

 Section.
- Q. Did you ever have occasion -- You handed that over personally?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And did you ever have occasion to see that particular item after you gave it to Mr. Macey?
- A. Yes. It came back to me in this brown envelope which was sealed, and it was sent to the attention I received it personally from Corporal Gaetan Germain, now Sergeant Gaetan Germain, and I received it on the 28th of August, 1989 at the Newcastle R.C.M.P.
 - Q. What part of the -- Was the envelope opened or any part --
 - A. No, the envelope was sealed and this item was inside the envelope and it was stapled on top here.
- Q. And did you open that particular envelope at the time that you received it from now Sergeant Gaetan Germain?
 - A. No, not at that particular time.

- Q. Okay. Did you ever have occasion -- I take it that you did open that envelope at some point?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. When?
- A. On the 21st of August, 1991.
 - Q. And what was the purpose of opening the envelope at that time?
 - A. To ascertain to show to other witnesses and to ascertain that particular item was in fact in this bag.
 - Q. In whose custody did that envelope with the item in it remain from the time you received it from Sergeant Germain up until the time -- Who brought it to court?
- 15 A. I did.

- Q. Up until this time.
- A. Yes, it was in my possession.
- MR. WALSH: My Lord perhaps some direction. It wasn't my intention to actually have the box or the envelope marked as an identification item. I was more concerned with the actual item we're attempting to enter as an exhibit. Unless the court so wishes I could start doing that.
- THE COURT: You mean --
 - MR. WALSE: Having the actual envelope and the box marked for identification.
 - THE COURT: Well, I -- It's really a matter for -How do the defence feel? Do the defence wish it?
- MR. FURLOTTE: I don't see where the box would have any value to the court.

- THE COURT: I don't think we need -- You can preserve it so that if any question comes up later it can be produced.
- MR. WALSH: Thank you My Lord. I am going to show you

 this item that's been marked "C" for identification.

 Could you tell the jury, please, whether or not you recognize that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. When did you first come in contact with that particular item?
 - A. It was, once again, on the 7th of July, 1989. This particular swab, which would be exhibit 1-I for the R.C.M.P. exhibit, was sealed into this particular box and I had received it from Sandy Lumgair.
- Q. That's the box you referred to with respect to the other item?
 - A. Yes. As previously mentioned, once I received it it was went and sealed into the freezer at the Moncton General Investigation Section, Moncton, New Brunswick.
- Q. So I am clear, that particular item was in the box that was in the freezer that you kept in your control?
 - A. Yes, correct.
- Q. As testified before. Fine. When did you see this item? When did you ever have occasion to take it out of the box?
 - A. It was on October 25th, 1989 at 8:30 I -- Could I refer to my notes with this particular item?
 - MR. WALSH: With Your Lordship's permission.
- THE COURT: These were made at the time?
 - A. Yes, they were.

- 1 THE COURT: Go ahead.
 - A. Okay, it was on as I mentioned, on October 25th, 1989. This item was retrieved from this box from the freezer at the Moncton General Investigation
- 5 Section in Moncton, New Brunswick.

MR. WALSH: For what purpose?

- A. My understanding from the investigators --
- Q. Okay, I don't want to get into that but what did you do with that item?
- A. It was brought down to the Central Forensic Crime

 Detection Laboratory in Ottawa, Ontario and it was
 turned over personally by myself to Doctor John Bowen
 of the Serology Section.
- Q. And Doctor John Bowen is associated with what kind of scientific testing?
 - A. D.N.A.
 - Q. And did you ever have occasion to see this particular item after you gave it to -- Did you give it in fact to Doctor Bowen personally?
- A. Yes, I did.

- Q. Did you ever have occasion to see this item after you gave it to Doctor Bowen?
- A. Yes. I received it personally from Doctor John Bowen at the Moncton Subdivision Office on March 25th, 1981.
 - Q. '81?
 - A. '91. Sorry.
 - Q. And what did you do with the item after you received it from him?
- 30 A. It was kept into my possession.
 - Q. And who else would have access to that item during the time it was in your possession?
 - A. Nobody else except me.

- 1 Q. And who brought it to this courthouse?
 - A. I did.

- Q. I show you this item marked "D" for identification.

 Would you look at that for me, please, and tell the
 jury whether or not you can identify it.
- A. Yes, I do. As previously mentioned it's one swab referred as 1-J for the R.C.M.P. exhibit number and, once again, this item was retrieved from the freezer or I should say before, it was received personally from Mrs. Sandy Lumgair on the 7th of July and it was contained in this box also.
- Q. I take it then you are saying that that item was with the other two items you have just testified to?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And did you ever have occasion to remove that item from that box?
 - A. Yes. It was on October 25th, 1989 at 8:30 it was removed from the freezer from this box and further turned over on the 25th of October, 1989 at the Central Forensic Laboratory in Ottawa, Ontario.
 - Q. To who?
 - A. To Doctor John Bowen of the Serology Section, D.N.A.
- Q. And was this item delivered at the same time as the item marked the previous item marked "C" for identification?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And did you ever have occasion to see this particular item after the time you turned it over to Doctor Bowen!
- A. Yes. It came back to me. I received it personally from Doctor Bowen on March 25th, 1991 at the Moncton Subdivision Office.

213DB

- Q. And in whose possession did the item stay from that time?
- A. In my possession only.
- Q. And who actually brought that item to this court?
- A. I did.
- Q. And did anyone else have access to that item since that time that you received it back from Doctor Bowen?
- A. No.

10

15

20

Q. Thank you. I have one final question, Constable Britt It's as a result of a question put yesterday by Mr.

Furlotte My Lord. He had asked and we gave assurances that we would find out about this facial hair that Mr.

Furlotte inquired about. Could you perhaps enlighten the jury and Mr. Furlotte about this particular facial hair? Are you aware of one?

A. Yes, I am.

- Q. Would you tell the jury where this arises from?
- A. The particular hair mentioned by Mr. Furlotte yesterday would come from item number 7.
- Q. That's a police identification item number?
- A. Yes.
- Q. That's got nothing to do with any court numbers?
- A. No.
- Q. Fine.

A. Which would be a cut portion of a stained mattress cover taken from Annie Flam's bedroom. The same was cut by Sergeant Chiasson, turned over to myself, and it was brought down to the Crime Detection Lab in Sackville, New Brunswick on the 31st of May, 1989, turned over to Kathy Murphy of Chemistry Section and subsequently turned over to Gary Verrett of the Hair and Fiber Section at a later date.

MR. WALSH: I have no further questions, My Lord, thank you.

THE COURT: Cross-examination.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FURLOTTE:

- Q. Constable Britt I show you item marked "B" for identification again, and you said you first received that on July 7th, 1989 from Sandy Lumgair?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And does that have an identification mark for police purposes? Exhibit or --
 - A. There's an exhibit number which was given by the lab personnel which would be 1-H.
 - Q. 1-H?
 - A. Yes. You can see it here.
- 15 Q. And I believe you said that you next saw that item on what - August 10th, was it?
 - A. That's correct, when I retrieved it from the freezer at the Moncton General Investigation Section, Moncton, New Brunswick.
- 20 Q. And you brought that also to Ottawa?
 - A. On the same day, August 10th, '89.
 - Q. And when did you receive this back again, or maybe you have to look at this.
- A. I received it back, it was in this brown envelope

 previously mentioned, personally from Corporal Gaetan
 Germain, and now Sergeant Germain. It was sealed and
 I received it on August 28, 1989 at 1500 hours which
 would be 3 P.M.
- Q. Do you know whether or not these three vials would

 have been in the rape test kit whenever you sent this
 to the police lab in Sackville?

45 3025 (4 85)

20

30

- I would not have been able to say if they would have been in it but I would imagine they was.
 - Q. That would have been standard procedure?
 - A. Standard procedure. But the lunch can was sealed when I received it.
 - Q. Now, aside from the facial hair that was marked for police identification purposes number 7, were there any other hairs that you had picked up at the scene of the Flam residence?
- 10 A. Yes, there was some.
 - Q. Hair marked number 4 for police identification, do you recall where you found that or where that was found?
 - A. I would have to check with my notes.
 - Q. Would you please check with your notes.
- A. Item number 4?
 - G. Item number 4.
 - A. Yes, item number 4 would be one surgical glove and it was turned inside out and it was found in behind

 Nina Flam's apartment on the 29th of May, 1989.
- Q. And what happened to that item?
 - A. It was sent over to the Crime Detection Lab in Sackville, New Brunswick on the 31st of May, 1989 and turned over to Gary Verrett of the Rair and Fiber Section.
- Q. And how about item number 10?
 - A. Item number 10, which would be one sterile plastic bottle containing brown-black hair, and those were found underneath a partly burnt pillow on Nina Flam's mattress.
- Q. And what eventually happened to that hair?
 - A. Those were seized on the 30th of May, 1989 by Sergeant Chiasson, turned over to myself on the 30th of May,

45 3025 (4+85)

- 1 1989, and subsequently turned over to Gary Verrett of the Hair and Fiber Section in the Crime Detection Lab in Sackville, New Brunswick on the 31st of May, 1989.
- 5 Q. And item 46-C, what can you tell me about that item?
 - A. Yes, they were two necklaces removed from the victim,
 Annie Flam, at the autopsy on the 31st of May, 1989.
 - Q. And what happened to that item?
- A. I received those items personally from Constable

 LeFebvre which was a previous witness. I received those two necklaces on the 31st of May, 1989 at 20:25 hours which would be 8:25 P.M. at night, and they were subsequently turned over on the 1st of June, 1989 to Gary Verrett of the Hair and Fiber Section at the

 Crime Detection Lab in Sackville, New Brunswick.
 - Q. Now, on a rape test kit that you received in your possession that was taken from which victim that you know of?
- A. Excuse me?
 - Q. The rape test kit that was in your possession.
 - A. Which would be Identification "A"?
 - Q. Identification "A". That kit was taken from which victim?
- A. Can I see the box back, please? I do believe the name is placed on the box. Yes, it's put there "Nina Flam, 89-05-29". That writing was not placed by me.
 - Q. Constable, I also notice that you pronounced the name $N\underline{e} na \ \ \text{Flam and not } N\underline{i} na \ \ \text{Flam}.$
- 30 A. Nena or Nina.
 - Q. So I take it, Constable, even when the police are discussing this case some refer to her as Nena besides yourself?
 - A. I didn't really never paid attention.

- You never paid attention. Did you count up all the money that was found in the Flam residence?
 - A. Most of it was counted.
 - Q. How much money was found?
- 5 A. I wouldn't have an exact figure but it would be fair to say between three thousand and five thousand dollars.
 - Q. I understand you were at the scene along with Corporal Godin and Sergeant Chiasson?
- 10 A. That's correct.
 - Q. And when they located this money there wasn't too much difficulty in finding the money?
 - A. No.
 - Q. It was in obvious places where money might be kept?
- A. Some of it were in drawers.
 - Q. Some were in drawers and one of them in a cash drawer in the store area?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Which I believe maybe I noticed from one of the
 pictures that there may have been like a little lock
 on the little tumbler that you could lock the drawer.
 - A. I couldn't recall.
 - Q. Exhibit P-6, photograph 16.
- A. Number 16?
 - Q. Number 16. Right on the top right-hand corner of that drawer does that look like a little lock tumbler?
 - A. Yeah, it appears to be a lock. I wouldn't be able to say if it's a lock tumbler. It appears to be operated by a key but there is no key at present time.
 - Q. So that didn't have to be broken into either, did it, when you opened it?
 - A. I don't believe it was broken into.

- 1 Q. So the money was in there unlocked?
 - A. If I recall correctly yes it was.
 - Q. The only padlock tumbler on there, it might be obvious that that would be a money drawer at first sight?
- ⁵ A. Sorry?
 - Q. At first sight of such a drawer it might be obvious that that would be a money drawer?
 - A. You can put --
 - Q. Something where valuables may be kept.
- A. Yes, there is a possibility.
 - Q. Do you recall how much money was in that drawer?
 - A. No, I wouldn't.
- Q. I understand you have in your possession, too, item number 125, the video cassette of Nina Flam under hypnosis?
- MR. WALSH: Objection My Lord. I think this might be an appropriate matter that although -- I think it would be an appropriate matter that perhaps we could discuss in the absence of the jury. The crown certainly doesn't want to keep anything from the jury but I think there are certain legal rules that we have to comply with here which Mr. Furlotte would have give us notice on that particular matter and we could have addressed it in its proper fashion. So I would ask that this perhaps might be an appropriate time to do that.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: My Lord I have no intentions of asking this witness what is on the video.
- THE COURT: Well, I think we should discuss it perhaps in the absence of the jury and in any event it's 20 past 12. We have gone quite a long time without a break and I think we'll break now for lunch. The witness

15

will be stood aside until after lunch. You are not to discuss the case with anyone, of course, until all your evidence is completed, Constable, which you appreciate. So we will adjourn until 2 o'clock.

We will continue to sit here for a few minutes and discuss this matter so that we will be prepared when the jury comes back to proceed in whatever direction

(Jury excused.)

(Voir dire session commenced.)

we are going.

THE COURT: Now, perhaps this is a voir dire session again, of course, and there is a restriction on what is discussed and considered at this sitting.

Mr. Furlotte what type of question did you want to ask this witness now? Would you reiterate what you have in mind?

MR. FURLOTTE: Well, My Lord, as to the video cassettes I understand there were two different sessions of hypnosis taken on Nina Flam, that he has assess and 20 custodian of the video cassettes and audio cassettes of the hypnosis. I think as you well realize the case law is so far that evidence from a witness under hypnosis is not admissible in court. I would like to ask this witness as to whether or not he listened to 25 the tapes, the audios, the quality of them. I think it's important that the - at least the defence will be arguing that if the evidence of Nina Flam now seems to be somewhat contrary or different from her original statements to the police that it may be that there 30 was suggestions placed to her under hypnosis which now when she is out of hypnosis she would come into court

with a different story than what she gave the police when she wasn't under hypnosis.

THE COURT: I'm not sure that I just understand the background here. Do I understand that Miss Flam was on some occasions subjected to hypnosis?

MR. FURLOTTE: Yes.

THE COURT: And was questioned by somebody or other? The police presumably. And videoed.

MR. FURLOTTE: On two occasions she was under hypnosis and 10 I have videos which I have been given copies of the videos from the crown. They're each roughly about two hours long. The audio quality is extremely poor. You can't hear because of the noisy background, whether be it static or whatever. The audio is very difficult to 15 comprehend, to understand. As a matter of fact you get so tired of listening to that noise after awhile you don't even want to finish watching the video. I also notice that there are two audio cassette tapes that were taken aside from the videos and I would like 20 to know if those qualities are any better. Also, I believe that if in the end there's contradictions or at least strong contradictions as to statements Nina Flam gave in her original testimony to the police and the written statements shortly after the events to 25 what she is going to testify in court today, that I believe the jury would have the right to know that she had been hypnotized on a couple of different occasions thereafter, what kind of suggestive ideas were put into her head, maybe, I'm not saying there were - I 30 don't know, while she was under hypnosis that she might give that kind of testimony after in court today which

she reasonably and strongly believed to be true as her memory but yet may be put into her subconscious. I don't know. All I know is the evidence is clear that peoples' testimony while under hypnosis is not admissible in court. The crown couldn't come and say well she's able to recall a lot more under hypnosis therefore we want to show the video or put her under hypnosis and give her testimony that way. That would not be admissible I believe. Like I say, if there is 10 a strong contradiction in her testimony from when she first give it to the police to what she is going to testify in court here this week I believe the jury has the right to know that she was hypnotized and that there was possible suggestions put to her under 15 hypnosis.

THE COURT: Well, are you going to call evidence to show that being put under hypnosis may have the effect of making - implanting ideas in a witness's mind?

MR. FURLOTTE: It all depends on the testimony of Nina Flam. $_{\rm 20}$ $\,$ I may have to do that.

THE COURT: Hadn't we better hear that first? Hear her evidence to see if it does conflict and this question can be raised then.

MR. FURLOTTE: Yes, but it's while this witness is on the stand, I'm entitled to cross-examine him and if there is certain evidence I should be getting out of him while he's on the stand rather than wait until Nina Flam takes the stand and I have lost the ability to cross-examine this witness, I feel that out of anticipation of arguments which either goes towards evidence or go towards the weight of certain evidence I believe I have to be allowed --

20

25

30

THE COURT: Where do you feel this witness would have been involved? You say he had a video. He retained a video?

MR. FURLOTTE: Yes.

5 THE COURT: He received it as a potential exhibit or whatever, but he had no part in the examination I gather. That's not suggested.

MR. FURLOTTE: I don't know if this witness has viewed the videos or not.

THE COURT: Mr. Walsh this was your witness. Can you tell
us the background of the thing here?

MR. WALSH: First of all, My Lord, I would like to make one point, and the fact is that Mr. Furlotte put the crown, particularly myself, in a very difficult position. He mentioned the word 'hypnosis'. What was I supposed to do? Either put a bag over my head or object. He's got it before the jury. I would in future, My Lord, respectively request that Mr.

Furlotte at least abide by some of the rules associated with what a jury is supposed to hear and not hear before Your Lordship gets an opportunity to rule on it. Now that I have said that I will go on to the next aspect and that is the matter of the hypnosis.

Nina Flam was put under hypnosis as an aid to the investigation. What was occurring here is that there was another murder had been committed. They were attempting to obtain any information they possibly could and hypnosis was an aid to the investigation.

My understanding is generally speaking, yes, hypnosis-

My understanding is generally speaking, yes, hypnosis induced testimony is not brought before the court.

Perhaps Mr. Furlotte would like to ask us why, and I would think it's associated with respect to the fact that the person has got to actually be able to recall from her own memory - largely the evidence from her own memory of the evidence.

This kind of evidence - hearsay evidence is generally inadmissible. Hypnotically-induced evidence is generally inadmissible and together they are totally inadmissible. What Mr. Furlotte is attempting to do here I don't really understand. He doesn't have anyone --

THE COURT: Well Mr. Furlotte has suggested that a witness
under hypnosis might be inclined to accept as fact
something that she didn't originally believe to be
fact and which would change her mind and change her
story and which might account for a change in evidence
she might give at the trial over what she said
earlier in some statement which she gave to the crown
and which the crown provided to the defence. Isn't
that Mr. Furlotte's point? That's your --

MR. FURLOTTE: Yes, that's one of the --

MR. WALSH: But on what basis? I mean Mr. Furlotte, unless he's going to testify to that fact, I don't see what basis he has for going on that particular point. To give you an example, My Lord, if Nina Flam is on the stand and she's to be - assume Mr. Furlotte was to go so far as to suggest he could cross-examine her on this hypnotically-induced statement, on what basis?

You know. Under the Evidence Act you can put statements to witnesses but if a person doesn't adopt that statement and hypnotically-induced testimony - I mean it's hypnotically induced, so on what basis would he

20

25

be able to use any of this testimony? In any event that's something for Nina Flam, not this particular witness.

in the hypnosis procedure? His part was merely -MR. WALSH: I think if he did, I can't remember if he did
have custody of those hypnosis tapes or not, but what
occurred is that she would be brought to I believe
it's a psychologist and she would be questioned by
the psychologist. He would hypnotize her and then he
would question her as an aid to the investigation.
And I just don't understand how this type of evidence
can be used.

So the police officers are not involved in the actual questioning. I hope to make that clear. The psychologist is. It's taped. If the Court wishes to make it the subject of a voir dire then that certainly is available to the Court but we do not, from the Crown's point of view, see how this particular aspect is relevant to these particular procedures. It can't be used in direct, according to Mr. Furlotte, and I don't see how it could be used to cross-examine, particularly the person who made it or anyone else for that matter. Certainly the point that — if he wants to raise that question about hypnotically induced testimony I think it's going to have to come from someone who can give an opinion to the court.

THE COURT: Well, let's just look ahead here a little.

Supposing when Ms. Flam testifies Mr. Furlotte on

cross-examination decides to ask her were you at any

time hypnotized. Did you undergo hypnosis and an interrogation by the investigating authorities.

What's going to be your position then? Assuming this is - assuming her account of what happened varies from what she said originally in some material way what will be your reaction at that time?

MR. WALSH: Well, on what basis would hypnotically-induced testimony come before the Court? He is attempting to cross-examine her on something, or attempting to refer 10 to something that my understanding is it can't be used in court. It was an aid to the investigation. There are a number of things that can't --The polygraph, for example, can't be used in court. Would that entitle, for example, Mr. Furlotte, to ask if you've 15 undergone a polygraph. Certainly not. And with hypnotically-induced testimony I think it's in the same area. Unless someone can point to the fact that that can be used what is the actual relevance of putting it to any witness in any fashion or in any 20 form?

THE COURT: If he were not allowed to cross-examine Ms.

Flam on that point, and the defence were to feel that hypnosis had played some part, it would be open to the defence to call witnesses, an expert witness in hypnosis, to suggest that ideas could be planted and that perhaps her questions were prompted by the hypnosis process. Do you agree with that?

MR. WALSE: I think that it's a subject that would be -
If Mr. Furlotte obviously wanted to call that kind of evidence it's a subject for a voir dire at the appropriate time. At this particular point in time, My Lord, we are dealing with essentially an exhibit

custodian and I don't see the relevance particularly right at this point in time. If he wishes to do as Your Lordship has indicated then I think that's a subject for a voir dire in which the Court can look at what is involved and listen to any opinions that are declared to be qualified and make your decision there, but certainly not at this time with this witness.

THE COURT: Do you have any short answer, Mr. Furlotte, or
any short comments you want to make in reply?

- MR. FURLOTTE: Well, My Lord, the only short comment I have to make is the Crown is comparing this with polygraph evidence which the results are not admissible in court but strictly the law the Supreme Court of Canada only says that the results of polygraph tests are not admissible in court. It doesn't say that any questioning about the polygraph test, whether it was taken or not, is not admissible.
- MR. WALSH: That My Lord, it's my understanding, is completely wrong. There is no comment allowed from my understanding of polygraph evidence. In fact I believe it was the subject of a mistrial in a trial in Saint John as a result of a cross-examination of a witness who finally said that he took a polygraph and that created a mistrial. That is, in my humble opinion, not correct.

THE COURT: Well, I could settle that question by referring to another trial that I presided over but I am not going to bother with that just at the present. Here is my -- Sit down Mr. Furlotte. You are through?

Anything else to say? Nothing else you wanted to say on this point?

20

25

30

I MR. FURLOTTE: Well, My Lord, maybe for the purpose of this,

I could ask Constable Britt if he has either viewed
the tapes and/or listened to the audio tapes to see
if there is any difference in quality which is one of
the things I would like to establish, and as far as
for the other thing it may be that I could set the
rest of my cross-examination aside from this witness
until after Nina Flam testifies. Constable Britt is
being recalled so I would not be prevented from crossexamining him further on his testimony so far.

THE COURT: Yes. Well, sit down and I'll give my decision. My decision is this. I am not going to permit crossexamination of this witness at this point on the question of hypnosis. If Nina Flam's evidence varies tomorrow from what she may have said earlier or if there is some suggestion that it has varied and if there is some suggestion it's due to hypnosis, or if there is some reason for one side or the other to establish that she was subjected to hypnosis and that that may have affected her - and we'll have a voir dire on that question during her testimony and decide that point. Certainly I don't want to get into the question of hypnosis if it can be shown -would say generally that the strategy of the defence, if there is a suggestion that her evidence might have been influenced, the strategy of the defence would be to call an expert witness to show that hypnosis might affect a witness's recollection in which event presumably the crown would have the right of reply. these are not questions on which I'm ruling. simply saying now. So we are not going to -- I am

10

15

20

not going to permit it with this witness at this stage. Now this witness is one of the witnesses being stood aside and if subject to any voir dire on hypnosis in the course of the Flam testimony it becomes apparent that this witness knows something, and I can't conceive what he would know, but you talk about the quality of the audio and the quality of the visual recordings, or videos, I can't see what difference that could possibly make really because the contents of those tapes is certainly not admissible in any way. I think Mr. Walsh's point was properly taken and I think Mr. Furlotte you shouldn't have come out with the jury, you know, injecting this sort of dramatic suggestion of hypnosis here without asking for a voir dire before you did it.

Let me go back to something that happened on Thursday. We had a voir dire on whether, Mr. Furlotte, you could ask Corporal Dickson whether he was related to the accused. We discussed this. We went into the question on a voir dire. Over the crown's objection I ruled that yes you could ask if he were related to the accused, and that was the permission that was granted. We called the jury back, Mr. Furlotte, and you went into your cross-examination and when you got to that point you didn't put that question to the witness, you put the question to the witness are you aware of the rumour that you are related to the accused, which is a totally different thing. If I had been on my toes I would have prevented any answer. I wasn't - I can't follow everything, I'm only human, I make mistakes, but had I been on my

45 J025 14 851

.

25

toes I would have said right at that point sorry, that'
not the question. You ask the question which you are
permitted to ask. So you didn't observe the rules
on that Mr. Furlotte. I'm not saying this too
critically but I want to point this out. And it's the
same with the reference to hypnosis. You know, it's,
I suppose, a great strategical ploy to sort of throw
these things into the jury before somebody can catch
them. Well, anyway, we are going to recess now. That
is the end of the voir dire. We will recess until
2 o'clock.

MR. WALSH: My Lord is he finished his cross-examination?
THE COURT: Do you have other cross --

MR. FURLOTTE: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: But you will stay clear of the hypnosis.

MR. FURLOTTE: Yes.

20

THE COURT: This witness will be called back. Well I made the point earlier if it becomes relevant that he should be asked about hypnosis he can be questioned.

(VOIR DIRE ENDS.)

(NOON RECESS - 12:40 - 2 P.M.)

COURT RESUMES - 2 P.M. Accused Present.

THE COURT: Mr. Allman, you had something to --

MR. ALLMAN: Just one very brief matter My Lord. It relates to the media. Your Lordship ruled just before the lunch break that the question about the hypnosis shouldn' have been asked in the presence of the jury and then in a voir dire you ruled that in fact it shouldn't have been asked at all at this stage. We would respectfully submit that means it's a ruling made on a voir dire and the press should not report that

- question since the question shouldn't have been asked and was ruled out on the voir dire it's improper to refer to it. We would just ask you to clarify that to the media.
- 5 THE COURT: Well, I will direct that any reference to hypnosis be eliminated from any media report. If a report I heard a radio newscast at noon and I thought the matter was very well handled on that particular thing. There was no reference to that.

 And I would hope that the media members would act accordingly.

There is nothing else before we --

- MR. ALLMAN: No, that's the only thing My Lord.
- THE COURT: And we have to finish with this witness. You

 15

 have some more cross-examination Mr. Furlotte of this
 witness when the jury comes back?
 - MR. FURLOTTE: Yes, I'm not finished with this witness.

 (Jury in. Jury called, all present.)
- THE COURT: Now, Mr. Furlotte has more cross-examination of this witness. Go ahead Mr. Furlotte.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FURLOTTE CONTINUED:

- Q. Constable Britt do you have I believe it was a purse of Nina Flam's? Do you know whether or not that was put in as an exhibit to yourself?
- A. I couldn't recall. I would have to check with my notes.
- Q. Would you check your notes?
- A. I don't believe I would have that here at the present 30 time.

20

25

- Q. When you counted up the money do you know whether or not there was \$60.00 included from a purse of Nina Flam?
- A. Again, I would have to concur with my notes and I do believe they are locked.
- Q. You were with Corporal Godin and Sergeant Chiasson on the day that they took pictures and searched around for evidence?
- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Do you recall seeing a purse of Nina Flam on that day?
- A. I do not recall it.
- Q. Item number 86 on your police item number 86 on your exhibit list.
- A. With the Court's permission may I refer to those notes THE COURT: Yes. These numbers don't mean very much to us Mr. Furlotte.
- MR. FURLOTTE: Well, I would like for him to check his exhibit list and tell the court what it is.

THE COURT: All right.

- A. I do not have that item with me as listed.
- MR. FURLOTTE: You don't have that item that's listed?
- A. Which means it would have been returned.
- Q. Do you recall having in your possession an empty bottle of pepsi? 300 milliliter of pepsi?
- A. Yes, I do recall.
- Q. Which it was believed at one time that Allan Legere's fingerprints would be on that bottle.
- A. If I recall, that's correct.
 - Q. Was that pepsi bottle found in the Bathurst area?
 - A. I couldn't recall for that.

45-3025 (4-85)

- 1 Q. Do you recall who gave you that bottle?
 - A. I do believe Corporal Tomassin.
 - Q. Who?
 - A. Corporal Tomassin. He's with the Dog Section in Moncton.
 - Q. He was with the Dog Section in Moncton?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know whether or not that bottle was checked for fingerprints?
- A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. Do you know whether or not Allan Legere's fingerprints were on that bottle?
 - A. There were no prints found on the bottle if I recall correctly.
- Q. Do you know what has happened to that bottle since?
 - A. Yeah, I do believe it's been disposed of.
 - Q. It's been disposed of. Were there any fingerprints on that bottle?
- A. I would have to refer to some notes which I don't

 have them at the time to give you an affirmative answer

 or not.
- MR. FURLOTTE: My Lord maybe if I could reserve the right to continue cross-examination of this witness when he is recalled on any matters to which he has testified to today.
 - THE COURT: That would be fair enough Mr. Walsh?

 MR. WALSH: Yes, My Lord, I can't see any problems with

that. He's being recalled.

THE COURT: I don't want to get back into the same ground again, you understand that Mr. Furlotte. If there are other matters that you want -- These notes of

yours, are they -- you have them locked up you said?

THE COURT: Somewhere.

Yes.

Α.

A. I would have to check to make sure. I wouldn't be able to find them right now.

THE COURT: But I mean where would they be? In Newcastle?

A. They would be with the police report.

THE COURT: I think in fairness to the witness that when

cross-examination continues that the witness should

be given some indication, in any event, of what type

of articles he may be asked about so that he can have

his particular notes here. I suppose that, for

instance, if a bottle is found and they check it and

they find no fingerprints on it then they say well

that's useless to prove anything and they throw it

away, and perhaps he also throws his notes away too,

I don't know.

MR. FURLOTTE: The only thing I am concerned about, My Lord,
is as you well know Mr. Legere was a suspect and if
they believed that this pepsi bottle come from a
suspect of some crime or another and they checked it
for fingerprints Mr. Legere's wasn't there but there
were other fingerprints there which would tend to
exclude Mr. Legere so --

THE COURT: Well, this witness has said --

MR. FURLOTTE: So I basically want information from

Constable Britt as to where the pepsi bottle was

seized and if any prints were found on it and whose

prints there may have been if there were prints.

THE COURT: Are there other areas you want him to check his notes for?

20

25

MR. FURLOTTE: Not on the pepsi bottle.

THE COURT: What other matters?

- MR. FURLOTTE: There may be other matters. I'll go through the exhibit list here for a minute My Lord.
- 5 THE COURT: You make a note of these things.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: That will be all for this witness My Lord.

 That will be all I will be requesting of him.
- THE COURT: All right. Well, you bring as many of your
 notes -- Your notes may be spread all over the

 country by now, I don't know, but bring as many of
 your notes as you can lay your hands on when you come
 back Constable, if you would, in case questions come
 up about anything else. I'm talking about your notes
 pertaining to your investigation in this case.
 - So this is agreeable with the Crown that this witness be stood aside and --
 - MR. WALSH: Oh yes My Lord.
 - THE COURT: So you are excused and you should not discuss the case with anyone until you are called back, or at least discuss your evidence so far.
 - MR. SLEETH: My Lord if it please the Court, we had indicated earlier that at times it might be necessary

 I be elsewhere. I would ask if you could excuse me, briefly, from the courtroom for the next couple of witnesses. Thank you.
 - MR. WALSH: My Lord my next witness would be Les Macey.

LES MACEY, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

- DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALSH:
 - Q. Would you give the Court your name, please, and your occupation?

- I A. Hugh Leslie Macey. Surname is spelled M-a-c-e-y.

 I am a civilian member of the Royal Canadian Mounted
 Police. I am the Assistant Lab Manager at the
 Forensic Laboratory in Halifax.
- Would you tell the Court and the jury, please, your involvement in this particular matter in this particular aspect beginning with the date, the time and the place?
- A. Yes, on August 10th, 1989 I received items from

 Constable Britt at the Central Forensic Laboratory in
 Ottawa where at the time I was a Section Head of the
 Serology Section.
- Q. I am going to show you this item here that has been marked "B" for identification. Would you look at it for me, please, and tell the jury if you recognize it.
 - A. Yes. Item "B" for identification is a swab contained in a tube which I received from Constable Britt on the 10th of August, 1989.
- Q. And is the tube or what's in the tube any different now than when you received it from Constable Britt?
 - A. Yes. I did remove a certain amount of the cotton gauze on the end of the tip end for the purposes of my examination so some of that most of that gauze is missing from the swab that was there when I received it from Constable Britt.
 - Q. What, if anything, did you do with the tube and the contents of the tube after you received it?
- A. Initially the tube was marked with my initials, the

 case number and the date I received it, and stored in
 a locked exhibit locker. Subsequently I conducted an
 examination which did involve removal of the cotton

- tip of the swab and a procedure referred to as the ELIZA procedure.
 - Q. It's not necessary to get into that but continue.
 - A. Subsequently, following the completion of my examina-
- tion I packed this item up in a shipping envelope and returned it to Sergeant Germain.
 - Q. Do you remember anything about that particular envelope?
- A. Yes. It's a padded shipping envelope. It bears my
 return address and it was shipped via registered mail
 from the Central Forensic Laboratory on the 21st of
 August, 1989.
- Q. Did you have any other connection with that particular item after you shipped it or sent it by registered mail?
 - A. No, I didn't.
 - Q. And who did you send it by registered mail to?
 - A. To Sergeant Germain.
- Q. When did you next see that particular item Mr. Macey? 20
- A. This morning.
 - MR. WALSH: I have no further questions My Lord.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FURLOTTE:

- Q. Mr. Macey for what particular reason did you receive item "B"?
 - A. The request was that I examine the swab to determine what, if any, ABO blood grouping substances could be identified on the tip of the swab.
 - Q. And did you do that?
- 30 A. Yes, there was a procedure carried out which I think I briefly referred to, ELIZA procedure.

- MR. FURLOTTE: My Lord I think I had better ask for the jury to be excused at this time because I have something to submit to the Court which you may rule ought or ought not to be heard in front of the jury.
- 5 THE COURT: All right, we will ask the jury to go out for a few minutes.

(Jury excused - 2:25 P.M.)

- MR. FURLOTTE: My Lord I see that Mr. Macey is on the latest witness list here by the crown but I don't recall reading through Mr. Macey's testimony prior when I got the police briefs or any of his lab reports, and I guess if the crown can point me out right away as to where in the police briefs that Mr. Macey's testimony was to be given and where they have provided me with a copy of his lab reports, otherwise I think I would be asking for an adjournment in order to study the evidence that this witness was supposed to have handled and what the results were.
- MR. WALSH: I could address that question My Lord.
 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. WALSE: First of all, Mr. Macey was called simply to show the Court where we are going with -- We have three we purport to be three vaginal swabs of Nina Flam. Two of them were subsequently used in DNA typing. One of them was initially examined by Sandy Lumgair of the Serology Section and she will testify to the court what she found on that particular swab.

 What had occurred is that that particular swab was later sent to Ottawa to Mr. Macey for this ELIZA technology.

THE COURT: That's this one? This same one?

1 MR. WALSH: This one here. The ELIZA technology, if Mr. Furlotte remembers the two months of voir dire that we held this spring, this ELI2A technology was all it was testified to as to exactly the fact that the police officers testified as to these items in the summer of 1989, sending one item up there to Ottawa for ELI2A technology and getting an inconclusive result in the sense that the - again, I'm certainly not an expert, but the types - one was masking the 10 other so that the test results, and I can be corrected by Mr. Macey, he couldn't tell. It's inconclusive. It's a neutral fact. So the ELIZA technology is of no benefit to the jury in the crown's opinion, but he was called simply - he's in the chain of continuity 15 for the swab that Miss Lumgair is going to testify contained a particular substance. Mr. Furlotte should have been aware of the fact that the ELIZA technology was attempted because we had police officers testify this spring all about that and about all the decisions 20 that led up to taking it to Ottawa, what the results were, everything with that. Mr. Macey is here simply for continuity.

THE COURT: Well I recall that testimony about the ELIZA

testing. I can't quite recall, with all deference,

Mr. Macey, you have a face that one couldn't forget
but did you testify at the --

A. No, I didn't, no.

MR. WALSH: No. What happened is instead of actually calling him the police officers simply testified as to what Mr. Macey's report was. What the results of that report were. I believe it came through Sergeant Germain or Corporal Mole or one of those police officer

- THE COURT: And I take it that in the abstracts of evidence or reports given to the defence some time ago,

 December or later, there was no reference to the ELIZA tests on this --
- MR. WALSH: I'm not sure. Mind you there is so much material, but I would have to go through it, My Lord, but I am aware of the fact that Mr. Furlotte it was brought out in open court. I assume that his report there was brought out in open court as to what the results of that test were. As I say, Mr. Macey is simply here for --
 - THE COURT: Well, if Mr. Furlotte wants to continue his cross-examination into these reports what is your attitude about that?
- MR. WALSH: I have no oh, certainly. I would simply ask that Mr. Macey be declared an expert in the ELIZA technology procedure.
 - THE COURT: In the field of serology, which should be described to the jury in any event.
- MR. WALSH: In any event. I have no problem but the only thing from the crown's point of view we saw no reason to lead evidence of a neutral fact. It was an inconclusive result. And but we needed him for the continuity of what Miss Lumgair is going to say is on it is the only reason.
 - THE COURT: Well, Mr. Furlotte, there was, of course, reference back during the earlier voir dire to the ELIZA tests even though --
- MR. FURLOTTE: Yes, My Lord, and as I recall Mr. Ryan had basically been responsible for that voir dire and the admission of some of the bodily substances.

hand.

- 1 THE COURT: Well do you want to cross-examine --
 - MR. FURLOTTE: I have to confess that maybe everything that

 Mr. Macey has to say and maybe his lab report is in

 the police briefs that I was given but as I believe
- I attempted to explain to the Court earlier that even though I had the benefit of all the police briefs there's so much there that there's no way I can keep track of it in my head and I'm just looking for assistance from the crown that if there's anything in the police briefs about Mr. Macey's testimony then I
- MR. WALSH: May I suggest, My Lord, he has the opportunity to ask the person himself instead of the report, if he wishes, on voir dire. I suggest this: he could ask Mr. Macey about the results of that test and make up his own mind whether or not he wants to elicit this information in front of the jury.

would like to be able to go back and read that before-

- THE COURT: Well, why not do that now? Cross-examine the
 witness here. Have the witness sworn on the voir
 dire. You ask him what you want to find out and then
 decide whether you want to go into this on crossexamination.
- MR. FURLOTTE: Well, before I would do that, My Lord, I
 would like to know whether or not I have a copy of
 his lab report and if the crown could answer if I was
 provided with that then I would like to look at the
 report before I ask him any questions.
- MR. ALLMAN: Well, I will address that issue very briefly.

 Certainly I can't say at this moment that Mr. Furlotte

 was or was not provided with it. We attempted to

- provide him with absolutely everything and we provided him with the original discovery and then from time to time as new matters would come up we would continue to provide him with discovery on an ongoing basis, and upon every occasion when I or Mr. Walsh have sent him further material we have always said if there is something that we are missing you check your records and let us know. So that's the situation. But if he is in a difficulty it's very simple. We can adjourn this gentleman until tomorrow, he can have the report and he can peruse it this evening.
 - THE COURT: Well, why don't we do this. We seem to really be making a mountain out of a molehill here.
- MR. FURLOTTE: It may be a molehill but I don't know that until I know what this witness has to offer.
 - THE COURT: The suggestion seems to be that he carried out the ELISA test and it was inconclusive and that he wasn't able to find anything which doesn't help anybody one way or the other it seems to me. However, why don't we stand this witness aside and he is an R.C.M.P. employee and tomorrow we will have him recalled tomorrow to complete --
 - MR. FURLOTTE: And I can see whether I want to continue cross-examination tomorrow.
 - THE COURT: And you see. And I would ask the crown in the meantime if you could assist Mr. Furlotte by checking your -- Do you have any way of checking what you have given --
- MR. WALSH: Yes, in fact that's taking place right now.

 They're going through his brief to see if he should have them.

- THE COURT: Well, see if you can get that material to Mr. Furlotte by this evening or late afternoon.
 - MR. WALSH: We certainly will My Lord.
 - THE COURT: Mr. Furlotte you may have to check your own material. Perhaps Mr. Kearney can help you.
 - MR. WALSH: I wonder if the witness has the report with him. He wasn't required to bring it.
 - A. Yes, I do.
- MR. FURLOTTE: Maybe if I could take a brief look at it it might save time.
 - THE COURT: This would be the same report that was provided --
 - A. Yes, this is the only report I have issued.
 - THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.
- MR. FURLOTTE: For the record, My Lord, I don't recall reading that report before but under the circumstances maybe since I didn't have time to look into this beforehand maybe I could ask Mr. Macey a question before the jury returns.
- THE COURT: You mean now on the voir dire?

 MR. FURLOTTE: Yes.
 - THE COURT: Mr. Pugh could we have the witness sworn on the voir dire, please.
- LES MACEY, called as a witness on the voir dire, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

 EXAMINATION BY MR. FURLOTTE:
 - Q. Mr. Macey I notice it was one of these items marked 104 which was saliva supposedly from Nina Flam?
- 30 A. That's correct.
 - Q. And you were able to get at least a partial blood grouping off that?

- Yes. I was able to identify blood group substance 'A' in that saliva sample.
 - Q. Is that normal that you could get a blood group from saliva?
- 5 A. Yes. In a --
 - Q. Quite common.
- A. Yes. About 80% of the population secretes their blood group in their body fluids as well as their blood and saliva is one of those body fluids you would expect to find it in.
 - Q. How common is it to be able to get blood groupings from say semen?
- A. Semen is the same frequency. A person who secretes, for instance in their saliva, would also secrete in semen or vaginal secretions for that matter.
 - Q. What about even a person who wouldn't secrete in saliva, would it also be in semen?
 - A. The same pattern holds for the semen as holds for the saliva, yes.
 - Q. So if there was semen on the swabs and the smear as 120 and 1-H, you would have expected to get some kind of blood grouping.
- A. That depends on a number of environmental effects or factors as well. Factors such as the condition of the material on the swab could have deteriorated to the point where it was not able to be detected or there was not enough semen there or whatever on the swab initially in order to be able to be within the range of sensitivity of the test.
 - Q. If there was enough there to detect semen would there usually be enough to detect blood grouping?

- 1 A. No, not necessarily.
 - Q. Not necessarily. Were you able to detect semen on the swab if there was no blood - if you couldn't do a blood grouping?
- No. I didn't carry out an examination to see if semen was present on the swab. It was given to me with the information that semen had already been identified on that swab.
- MR. FURLOTTE: Okay, My Lord, the Defence's position is that

 we could call the jury back and I will not be crossexamining this witness nor will there be any need to
 recall him.
- THE COURT: All right. I might just say for the record for
 the purpose of the voir dire I would assume that the
 witness is an expert in the field of serology. We
 didn't go through that.

So we will have the jury brought back in. (VOIR DIRE ENDS)

(Jury returns. Jury called, all present.)

THE COURT: You have a few more questions in cross-examina-

MR. FURLOTTE: I have no more questions.

THE COURT: Reexamination?

MR. WALSH: No, My Lord, thank you.

THE COURT: Now, this witness is being --

MR. WALSH: That's it for him.

THE COURT: So you are excused.

MR. WALSH: My Lord I would like to call Sergeant Gaetan $$_{30}$$

45-3025 (4 85)

- SERGEANT GAETAN GERMAIN, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
 - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALSH:
- Q. Would you give the court, please, your name and your occupation?
- A. My name is Joseph Gaetan Yves Germain. I am a member of the Royal Canadian Police since 1972. I'm presently stationed in Caraquet, County of Gloucester, Province of New Brunswick.
- 10 Q. You are in fact the Detachment Commander there?
 - A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. Would you tell the Court, please, what, if any, involvement you had in this particular matter? Were you ever involved in the Flam homicide investigation?
- A. Yes, I was. Prior to my posting to Caraquet I was stationed with the General Investigation Section in Moncton, New Brunswick, and as a member of that Section I was assigned to the Flam murder investigation in Chatham as of 29th of May, 1989. I was one of the investigators of the case.
- Q. For the crown's purposes, Sergeant Germain, I wish to question you with respect to this envelope that has been previously identified by Constable Britt as having received that envelope from you. Would you look at it, please, and tell me if you do recognize it and, if so, under what circumstances you would have handled it?
- A. I recognize my initial on the brown envelope and the smaller envelope also with the date, the 25th of August, 1989 at 09:45 A.M. I put my initials in there, G.G., which means Gaetan Germain. I received it via registered mail from the address that there

- is on the envelope which is the Central Forensic Lab, attention H.L. Macey. I secured this envelope, when I received it, I secured it in my locker at the General Investigation Section Office in Moncton.
- Q. Would anyone have access to that?
 - A. I was the only one with the key to the lock.
 - Q. Did you do anything in terms of trying to open the envelope?
 - A. What I did is I opened the envelope here on the top.
- Q. Okay, would you just show the jury, please.
 - A. There is one envelope that is taped on the top of the - on this big envelope and I opened the top portion of the envelope and inside this there was a report from Mr. Macey. The envelope was sealed and I --
- Q. What about the brown envelope the dark brown?
 - A. This envelope here was sealed and I left it like that.

 I put that in my locker and on the 28th of August,

 1989 at fifteen hundred hours I turned this over to

 Constable Robin Britt at Newcastle. The envelope was

 still sealed when I returned it to him.
 - Q. Did you at any time open the brown portion of this envelope? I should say the dark brown portion of this envelope?
- A. No, I never opened it.
 - Q. In terms of that particular item did you have any other involvement in that?
 - A. No, that's all.
 - Q. When did you next see this particular envelope?
- 30 A. I saw it this morning in court.
 - MR. WALSH: I have no further questions, My Lord, thank you.

 Again, in connection with what we had discussed

- earlier this morning in front of the jury in terms of whether these packages or boxes should be entered I take it Mr. Furlotte is still of the opinion that we don't have to actually enter those particular items into evidence.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: That is correct, My Lord, and I have no questions of this witness.
 - THE COURT: Thank you very much Sergeant Germain. You are not subject to recall; you're finished.
- MR. WALSH: My Lord I have another witness. I call Gary

 Verrett.

GARY VERRETT, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALSH:

- Q. Would you give the court your name, please, and your occupation?
- A. My name is Gary Giles Verrett. I am a civilian member of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police presently
- employed in the Biology Section of the Central
 Forensic Laboratory in Ottawa, Ontario.
 - Q. Prior to your being placed in that position where were you?
- A. I was employed as a Hair and Fiber Specialist in the

 Hair and Fiber Section of the R.C.M.P. Forensic

 Laboratory in Sackville, New Brunswick.
 - Q. Mr. Verrett I am going to ask you a number of questions associated with some items that will assist the jury in terms of its handling. First of all I'll show you what's been marked on this particular trial as exhibit P-8. Would you look at that particular item, please, and tell me whether or not you can identify it.

- NA. Yes. Item P-8, identified by my initials, case number and the date, was received personally from Constable Robin Britt on the 31st of May, 1989 at the --
- ⁵ Q. When you say received, you received it personally?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And would you tell the jury, please, what that item was when you received it and what, if any, condition it's in now and what, if anything, you did with it?
- 10 A. It consists of one knotted black nylon stocking.
 - Q. You say knotted; what, if anything, did you do with that particular knot?
- A. For purposes of my examinations I took the knot apart and I performed some examinations on this particular item.
 - Q. You untied the knot?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And then what, if anything, did you do with this particular exhibit?
- A. It was secured in my personal exhibit locker to which
 I have the only key until such time as I turned it
 over to Sandy Lumgair of the Serology Section on June
 lst, 1989.
- Q. I will show you this item that has been marked "A" for identification. It purports to be a rape kit box.

 Would you look at that for me, please, and tell the jury whether you can identify it?
- A. Yes. This item bears my markings once again, my initials, case number and date. It was received personally from Constable Robin Britt on the 31st of May, 1989.

- 1 Q. And what kind of condition was that box in at the time that you received it?
 - A. This box was in a sealed condition. There was a piece of red tape here over the side of the box.
- ⁵ Q. And what, if anything, did you do with that box?
 - A. I opened the box and labeled a number of items that were present in this box.
 - Q. How did you go about opening it?
 - A. I broke the seal.
- Q. I see. And you testified that you labeled a number of the items that are in there. What would you actually do? Would you explain to the jury?
 - A. Basically I go through the items and assign them a number to which I can refer to.
- THE COURT: You can put that table up if you would like.
 - A. As I stated earlier, I would open the box and assign a number to which I could refer to later in my report so the investigator could cross-reference.
- Q. Would you open that box, please, and tell me whether or not you recognize any of the items that are inside?
 - A. The box contains three items along with a sexual assault kit report.
 - Q. Do you recognize any of those items?
- A. Yes, I do. They bear my markings on the red exhibit tag.
 - Q. Who affixed the red exhibit tag?
 - A. I did.
- Q. Would you hold one of them up and show the jury what you mean by red exhibit tag. And were they in this particular kit box or this box at the time that you received it?
 - A. Yes, they were.

- 1 Q. Was this paper document in the box as well at the time you received it?
 - A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. Were there any other items in that box?
- ⁵ A. Yes, there were other items in that box.
 - Q. I will show you, Mr. Verrett, what has been identified as "B" for identification. Would you look at that for me, please, and tell the jury whether you can identify it?
- 10 A. Item "B" for identification bears a red exhibit tag
 that has my markings on it, the case number, the date
 I received it, my initials and the number I assigned
 to this item.
 - Q. Did you assign a number to that item?
- A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. What number did you assign to that item?
 - A. Number 1-H.
 - Q. What, if anything, did you do with this particular item marked 1-H?
- A. After I finished marking it I stored it in my personal exhibit locker and turned it over to Sandy Lumgair of the Serology Section on June 1st, 1989.
 - Q. And when did you next see this particular item?
- A. Just moments ago.
 - Q. I will show you what has been identified as number "C" - letter "C". Would you look at that for me, please, and tell me whether you can identify it?
- A. Yes, item marked "C" once again has a red exhibit tag
 on it which bears my markings. I labeled this item
 1-I and it was received along with the other items in
 this sealed box on the 31st of May, 1989.

- 1 Q. What, if anything, did you do with item 1-1?
 - A. It was given personally to Sandy Lumgair of the Serology Section on June 1st, 1989.
 - Q. By whom?
- ⁵ A. By myself.
 - Q. And where was it in between?
 - A. It was in my personal exhibit locker.
 - Q. When did you next see this particular item after you gave it to Miss Lumgair?
- A. Moments ago.
 - Q. I show you an item that's been marked for identification on this trial as "D".
- A. Item "D" bears a red tag that has my markings on it.

 I assigned the number 1-J. It was received along with
 the other items in this sealed lunch box on the 31st
 of May, 1989. It was kept in my personal exhibit
 locker to which I have the only key and turned over
 to Mrs. Sandy Lumgair of the Serology Section on June
 lst, 1989.
- Q. And when was the next time you saw this particular item?
 - A. Moments ago.
- Q. My understanding then of your testimony is that the items "B", "C", and "D" were contained in what has been marked as "A", this rape kit box, at the time that you received it from Constable Britt, is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- MR. WALSH: I have no further questions My Lord, thank you.

- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FURLOTTE:
 - Q. Mr. Verrett aside from these items, items "A", "B", "C" and "D", that Mr. Walsh has referred to, had you received other items to test in the Flam case?
- ⁵ A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And some of those items were hair samples?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. I would refer to item number 4 which was in the police identification list, item number 4, which was a hair found in a surgical glove. Do you recall that hair?
 - A. Yes, I do.

- Q. And do you recall what color that hair was?
- I recall it to be medium brown in color.
 - Q. Medium brown in color. And was that hair checked with hair from Mr. Legere?
 - A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. And what was the results of that examination?
- MR. WALSH: My Lord if I may at this point to assist the jury, perhaps since Mr. Verrett is going to be asked his opinion and he's certainly a hair specialist, I realize that, and I think it might be an appropriate time, if I may suggest, that he be so declared with the consent of Mr. Furlotte.
 - THE COURT: Yes. Well I think if we are going to ask opinions. In the field of Serology?
 - MR. WALSH: No, My Lord, hair comparison.
- THE COURT: Bair and fiber. First of all, I would like the

 jury to have some explanation of what an expert in

 hair and fiber comparison does. Why is he an expert

 and why am I not an expert in hair and fiber. Will

 you answer that question just so the jury will under-

Α.

5

10

15

20

25

30

stand. What can you do, in other words, that I can't
do about hair and fiber?

In the Rair and Fiber Section we examine exhibit items, items submitted by law enforcement agencies for the presence of any hairs or fibers, and we may then after examination perform an identification or a comparison on these particular items if any are found on any items at all. The way we do this is we use a microscope which permits us to look at the characteristics in the hair or fiber that we're looking at. And often we are asked to perform a forensic hair comparison and what we do is we compare a questioned hair to a known reference hair sample and the way we do this is that we mount the hairs on microscope slides. We have a microscope that permits us to look at the questioned hair on one side and the reference sample on the other side so that while looking in the ocular of the microscope we have both samples side by side. So basically the different characteristics that we're looking at are the length of the hair, the color, the diameter, the size, the shape, distribution, the density of the pigmentation on the hairs, the scales, the cuticle, the root, the tip and so on. There are approximately 15 different characteristics that we look at in hair comparisons. Then we are able to state if a questioned hair is consistent with having originated from a known source. This does not mean that that hair came from that source. Microscopic hair comparisons are not positive evidence. They are simply a means of eliminating questioned hairs, and when we

- state that a hair is consistent with we are saying that it exhibits it shows the same microscopic characteristics as those found in the known reference sample.
- 5 THE COURT: That's adequate I think. No questions in elaboration of this aspect of it?
 - MR. WALSH: Most certainly not My Lord.
 - THE COURT: No. Go ahead Mr. Furlotte.
- MR. FURLOTTE: And at the time you were working in the
 Hair and Fiber Section in the R.C.M.P. Lab in
 Sackville?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And how long had you been working with them as a hair and fiber expert?
- A. I did four years of hair and fiber work and then I was relocated to the Ottawa Lab in April of 1990.
 - Q. Now, again Mr. Verrett, back to the hair samples that I was questioning about the hair that was found in a surgical glove.
- A. Yes

- Q. You said it was brown in color. Light brown medium brown.
- A. If I might check my work notes to be specific on that My Lord.
- Q. Yes, please, if the crown has no objection.
 - A. Yes, the hair off the surgical glove I described it as medium brown in color.
 - Q. Medium brown in color. And did you check that hair with hair samples you had from Mr. Legere?
- A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And what were the results of that test?

- A. I found that hair to be not consistent with the known sample reportedly from Mr. Legere.
 - Q. So it would have been from somebody other than Mr. Legere?
- 5 A. Correct.
 - Q. Did you check that hair sample with I guess I should say all the other suspects in the Flam case?
 - A. I checked that particular hair to several other suspects. I don't know if --
- Q. How many? Do you recall?
 - A. As a rough figure I would say 10 15 maybe. Like that's a ballpark figure.
 - Q. Is it safe to say that you wouldn't have checked it with 24 individuals?
- A. I don't know off the top of my head. I would have to go back to refresh my memory on that.
 - Q. Let's take a hair sample I believe you checked item number 7. I believe that was a facial hair found on the bed of Annie Flam.
- A. Correct. Exhibit, my number 7, from it I removed a facial hair human facial hair.
 - Q. Buman facial hair.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you check that hair with hairs from Mr. Legere?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And what was the results of that?
 - A. It was not consistent with the known sample.
- Q. So that would have been somebody other than Mr.

 Legere?
 - A. Correct.

- And could you tell the Court what color that hair 1 Q. was?
 - The questioned hair? Α.
 - Yes, the facial hair. Q.
- A. I have it as being white/blond.
 - White/blondish? Q.
 - Yes. Α.
 - Exhibit number 10 I believe was another hair that was Q. found on the bed of Nina Flam around the pillow area.
- 10 Α. Correct.
 - Q. And what color was that hair?
 - Medium to dark brown I have in my notes. Α.
 - Q. And did you check that hair with Mr. Legere's hair?
 - Yes, I did. Α.
- 15 ٥. And what were the results?
 - Α. Not consistent with the known sample.
 - Q. So that would have come from someone other than Mr. Legere?
 - Correct. Α.
- 20 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I missed that. Where did that come from?
 - MR. FURLOTTE: From someone other than Mr. Legere.
 - THE COURT: No, I mean where was that found?
- I received it in a brown vial that had a white lid. 25
 - MR. FURLOTTE: But from the pillow area of Nina Flam's bed, is that correct Mr. Verrett, according to your notes?
 - Just a brown vial with a white lid. I have no recollection as to where it was taken.
- THE COURT: But the crown agree that that's where it came 30 from? The pillow area.
 - MR. WALSH: I'm at a disadvantage My Lord. I wasn't aware

Mr. Verrett - cross.

- he was going to refer to that particular item but I have it listed as one sterile plastic bottle containing brown/black hair. Is that item 10 he's referring to?
- MR. FURLOTTE: I believe if you go back to Mr. Britt's testimony - we may have gotten it out of crossexamination of Mr. Britt, I ought to have.
- MR. WALSH: I'm not being -- I just -- I think it's the first time I have heard of it in the courtroom inas-10 much as I don't believe he's mentioned it to Constable Britt but I will stand corrected on that.
 - THE COURT: But there was evidence from somebody earlier, wasn't there, that there was a hair found near the pillow on one of the beds.
- 15 MR. FURLOTTE: The pillow, yes.
 - TRE COURT: This is Nina Flam.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: Nina Flam.
 - THE COURT: You are satisfied Mr. --
- MR. FURLOTTE: I'm satisfied. I believe I asked that to 20 Constable Britt on cross-examination.
 - THE COURT: That this hair was what this hair --
 - MR. FURLOTTE: Of this number 10 being found near the pillow area.
- THE COURT: All right, let's assume you're correct. 25
 - MR. FURLOTTE: If I'm wrong I am sure I will be corrected later My Lord.
 - THE COURT: You said this was not consistent with the accused?
- That's correct, My Lord. 30
 - MR. FURLOTTE: And one other hair, Mr. Verrett. You have it as exhibit 46-C I believe. 46-C, yes. I believe

- that was found in one of the gold chains that was taken off the body of Annie Flam.
 - A. I have it as two necklaces.
 - Q. It would have come from --
- A. Come from, yes, from this particular exhibit, yes.
 - Q. Which I believe earlier Constable LeFebvre gave testimony to that that was item number 46, or Constable Britt gave that. So you would have taken a hair from one of the gold chains that you received?
- 10 A. Correct.
 - Q. Item number 46. And what color was that hair?
 - A. It was orange-brown in color.
 - Q. Orange-brown. And was that checked with hair samples from Mr. Legere?
- 15 A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. And what were the results of that test?
 - A. It was found to be nonconsistent.
 - Q. Nonconsistent.
- A. That's right.
- Q. That would have been somebody other than Mr. Legere.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And I believe you also run a comparison of those hair samples with Nina Flam and Annie Flam?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And what were the results of those tests?
 - A. It was compared only to the person it came from and it was found to be not consistent.
 - Q. Not consistent with Nina Flam or Annie Flam?
- A. This would be Annie Flam.
 - Q. Did you check them to see if they were consistent with Nina Flam?
 - A. No, I did not.

Mr. Verrett - cross.

- Any particular reason why not? Q.
 - Α. I believe based on the information that I received was that it was removed from a particular person and I was asked to compare the hair to that particular person.
 - To that particular person. Q.
 - Α. Yes.

5

10

20

And I believe in your analysis of those hairs that Q. were found, number 4, 7, 10 and 46-C, that were light brown in color or brown in color, brown and white

in color, blond in color, they come from Caucasians?

Α. They were consistent with Caucasians.

similar to any other suspect?

- Consistent with Caucasians. Did you check all those Q. hairs with other suspects?
- 15 Α. I did check them with other suspects, yes I did.
 - Q. Were you able to match any of those hairs, either the one found in the surgical glove, the facial hair found on Annie Flam's bed, or the hair found in Annie Flam's necklace, or the hair found on Nina Flam' bed? Did any of those hairs compare or were they
 - They were not consistent with any other suspect. A.
- Q. Aside from the facial hair that was found were there other fragments of human hair found on the --25 facial hair was found on the bed of Annie Flam.
 - Α. I believe so, yes.
 - ٥. Was there other hair fragments besides that one facial hair found on the bed of Annie Flam?
- Which item would that be? Α. 30
 - Be item number 7. 0.

- I have one other hair fragment removed from item 7. A.
 - Was that also checked with Mr. Legere and --Q.
 - I believe this was too short a fragment to perform Α. any type of comparison.
- One other question, Mr. Verrett. The hair samples 5 Q. that you found in the necklace, the facial hair in Annie Flam's bed, the hair found in the surgical glove, and the hair found in Nina Flam's bed, were they all consistent with one another?
- 10 Α. No, I wouldn't say they were.
 - Did you check that? Q.
 - Yes, I did. Α.
 - Which ones differed? ٥.
- Well basically the two the one off the glove and Α. 15 the one off the 46-C are short hairs and, as I recall, they were medium brown or orangey brown. The one off of the item number 10 was 20 centimeters in length and was medium to dark brown, therefore, they have sufficient different characteristics that I couldn't 20 say that they could have come from one particular source.
 - Could they have come from the same person? ٥.
 - Α. It's still possible but the characteristics do not support that.
- 25 ٥. The length of a hair - I mean your scalp hair has a lot of different lengths, do they not, so you couldn't go just by length just because they were different lengths?
- Well, as I stated earlier, one was 20 centimeters in A. 30 length, the other two were smaller hair fragments. I don't have enough characteristics on the smaller hair fragments to be able to do a one on one comparison basically with the longer hair that was

Mr. Verrett - cross. - redirect.

- found off item 10 so I couldn't state right now that they have characteristics that show that they could have come from the same individual.
 - Q. But it is possible they did?
- 5 A. Yes, it is possible.

MR. FURLOTTE: I have no further questions of this witness.

THE COURT: Reexamination?

MR. WALSE: Just a couple My Lord.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALSH:

- Q. You say it's possible that all these different hairs could have came from the same individual but the evidence that you have up and to this point in time would suggest that they came from the same individual or came from different individuals?
- A. It would suggest to me that they came from different sources but I can't discount the possibility that they could have come from one particular source.
- Q. Perhaps if you would just to round out the picture
 for the jury, Mr. Verrett, would you explain to the
 jury the characteristics of hair, particularly as
 associated with hair loss and hair transfer? Would
 you explain that to them, please?
- A. Hair is a biological material that's constantly being replaced on one's head and one's body, pubic area, and so on. Hair goes through different growth phases. Once it has reached the end of its growth phase it falls out. The root dies, the hair falls out, and another hair would grow in that particular follicle.

 So there's always a constant change going along. In average, on one particular person we can lose up to 50 to a 100 hairs a day off our scalp. That's

25

- basically by our grooming habits, wearing a hat, sleeping on a pillow, washing our hair, and so on.

 Basically hair can be deposited at several areas and it's readily transferred.
- 5 Q. You say readily transferred. What kinds of ways?
 When you say transferred you mean to go from one
 place to the next?
 - A. Well I mean transferred from its original source on to, for example, a person's jacket, on the floor, on a carpet, in a car, and so on. A towel.
- Q. Would, for example, the hair that Mr. Furlotte referred to as being a hair found on Annie's bed, item number 4 I think he has referred to it as, could you tell the jury, perhaps answer this question, is it possible to say when or how that hair got in that place?
 - A. No, it's not possible.
 - Q. And it could have come from anyone working in the building?
- A. Yes, potentially it could have.
 - MR. WALSH: I have nothing further, thank you.
 - THE COURT: Thank you very much. This witness is to be stood aside Mr. Walsh?
 - MR. WALSH: Yes, that's correct My Lord.
 - THE COURT: Mr. Verrett you shouldn't discuss this aspect of your testimony with anyone, including crown counsel, until all of your testimony is finished.
 - A. Yes, My Lord, thank you.
- THE COURT: Why don't we take our afternoon break and come 30 back at 3:30.

(RECESS - 3:15 - 3:35 P.M.)

25

30

- COURT RESUMES. (Accused present. Jury called, all present. THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Allman, another witness.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Thank you, My Lord. Sandy Lumgair.
- SANDY LUMGAIR, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLMAN:

- Q. Could you state your name and occupation, please?
- A. My name is Sandra Lynn Lumgair and I'm in charge of the Serology Section at the Forensic Laboratory in Sackville, New Brunswick.
 - Q. What does serology mean?
- A. My work involves the examination of exhibits for the presence of human blood, the identification of that blood according to various blood group proteins, the examination of exhibits for seminal fluid, more rarely other bodily fluids such as saliva or fecal matter. We're also occasionally involved in the family identification of material.
- 20 Q. How long have you been engaged in the as a serologist?
 - A. I joined the Force as a civilian member on the 29th of January, 1976. Following 14 months as an understudy learning the procedures and theory involved with serology I began my work as an examiner.
 - Q. So that would be when? 1976 did you say?
 - A. Yes, and I was qualified in '77.
 - Q. That doesn't seem possible, but I take it you have technical qualifications, that you passed exams and that type of thing?
 - A. During my understudy or prior to that?
 - Q. Either.

1 A. Yes.

15

- Q. Have you been declared an expert witness entitled to give opinion evidence in the field of serology in other courts on previous occasions?
- 5 A. Yes, I have.
 - MR. ALLMAN: My Lord I understand there is no objection to this witness being declared an expert in the field of serology.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: That's correct My Lord.
- THE COURT: We will make you one even if you aren't.
 - MR. ALLMAN: I am showing you first an item that has been marked as an exhibit, exhibit P-8. I would advise you not to open it. I'll just put it here for you to look at. It's a bit dirty. Do you want to just look at it a second or two and tell us what, if anything, you can state about that item.
 - A. Court exhibit P-8 contained two pieces of nylon material which I received on the 1st of June, 1989 at the Forensic Laboratory in Sackville from Gary Verrett.
 - Q. And you were present in court when Mr. Verrett testified that he received it in a knotted form and untied it?
- A. No, I wasn't present, sir.
 - Q. Well, there was evidence to that effect. And what did you do with those two bits of nylon after you received it?
- A. I was asked to examine those two articles or this article for the presence of human blood and for seminal fluid. I did not find either material present.

- Q. Neither blood nor seminal fluid?
 - A. No.

THE COURT: Seminal fluid --

- A. Yes, sir.
- 5 THE COURT: Mr. Allman --
 - MR. ALLMAN: Yes, perhaps I should clarify that. What is seminal fluid?
- A. Seminal fluid is the male reproductive material which consists of seminal plasma or liquid and spermatozoa, the cell portion of the seminal fluid.
 - Q. I know we are supposed to credit the jury with ignormance of these things though just in case I'll ask you, when does seminal fluid appear?
- A. Most commonly upon ejaculation.
 - Q. I am showing you now an item that's been marked "B" for identification. Can you examine that, please, and tell us whether you have seen that before and, if so, when?
- A. Court exhibit "B" -~
 - Q. It's not an exhibit, it's for identification.
 - A. Excuse me. Item "B" is a swab or was a swab which
 I received on the 1st of June, 1989 at the Forensic
 Laboratory from Gary Verrett.
- Q. Are there markings on it that confirm that to you?

attached a red R.C.M.P. lab sticker.

A. Yes, sir, there are. The plastic bag - I placed the swab in the plastic bag. It bears my case number, the date of receipt, my initials, the exhibit number itself. I placed this label on the side. On the tube proper there is, again, the file number, the date of receipt, my initials, the exhibit number, and I also

- 1 Q. I understand that these items get all sorts of numbers from all sorts of people. From the red sticker that is identified as being exhibit number what?
- 5 A. 1-H. I-H -- 1-H.
 - Q. And what did you proceed to do with that item after you had received it from Mr. Verrett?
 - A. I was asked to examine this article for the presence of seminal fluid.
- 10 Q. How do you go about doing that?
- A. There is a number of steps to the procedure that can be followed. In this particular case I removed a small portion of the cotton tip swab that was contained originally in the tube, placed that on a slide, teased the threads of the swab material apart, added some distilled water, examined the preparation under phase contrast microscopy looking for spermatozoa.
- Q. The method that you used, is that one of the normal and customary methods of looking for spermatozoa in a substance?
 - A. Yes, sir, that is definitive proof for the presence of seminal fluid.
- Q. And when you perform that test would -- Let me just pause there for one moment. At this point, My Lord, I'm going to ask that this item be entered as an exhibit so that she can comment upon it.

THE COURT: That's identification "B". Item "B". It's my

impression that the continuity of possession has been
sufficiently shown although, Mr. Furlotte, if you have
any representations to make in that regard --

- 1 MR. FURLOTTE: No, I haven't, My Lord.
 - THE COURT: I can't just recall offhand. I can't follow it through. I think I could if I took a minute, from my notes, but I --
- 5 MR. ALLMAN: I believe we have established the chain of continuity through to this witness.
 - THE COURT: Would you, Mr. Allman, just review very briefly how this one came in, or perhaps Mr. Walsh.
 - MR. WALSH: Yes, My Lord.
- MR. ALLMAN: Mr. Walsh has the exhibit sheets in front of him. That's the easiest way to do it.
 - MR. WALSH: It would have gone from Doctor Losier to Constable Carnahan, to Constable Robin Britt.
 - THE COURT: Who took it to Halifax or to Sackville.
 - MR. WALSH: To Sackville to civilian member Gary Verrett.
 - THE COURT: Who turned it over to --
- MR. WALSH: Who turned it over to civilian member Sandy
 Lumgair. And the only question I would expect would
 be what Sandy Lumgair did with that particular item
 after she finished with it, but up until this point
 in time --
 - THE COURT: Well, her evidence is going to be what she did with it and that presumably is the crown's point, is it?
 - MR ALLMAN: That's what I wanted to ask.
 - THE COURT: You don't care what happened to it after that, do you?
- MR. ALLMAN: I care what happened to it afterwards as well but it's sufficient for those purposes to be --
 - THE COURT: Yes, but the basis you want to lay is what happened to it up to that point.

MR. ALLMAN: Until now so that she can comment on it.

THE COURT: Was anything else done with that particular specimen?

MR. ALLMAN: In a sense, yes.

5 THE COURT: But does expert evidence turn on examination subsequently?

MR. ALLMAN: Not hers.

THE COURT: Well, I might explain to the jury here, you have probably wondered why the witnesses have been going 10 through a somewhat tedious account of how an envelope was turned over to somebody and posted by registered mail to somebody else, and how this was turned, and somebody took it from Newcastle to Sackville and turned it over. You may wonder what bearing has this 15 Well, if evidence is going to be given that this contains seminal fluid, for instance, or sperm or whatever, and the crown is to contend later that that's of some significance, the Court has to be satisfied before admitting it that there has been 20 continuity of possession. In other words, everybody under the sun hasn't had access to this and could have substituted somebody else's sperm or some substance other than sperm, or blood, or hairs or whatever the case may be. In other words could it have been 25 tampered with. And the Court has to be satisfied within the bounds that the law prescribes that care has been taken with the matter and that it can be accounted for, and that the item that was examined in Sackville by this witness was in fact the substance 30 that started out from Doctor Losier at his treatment in Chatham on May the 27th (sic). This is why some of these items were marked for identification rather

25

than introduced as an exhibit originally. And I find as a matter of fact here - as a finding of fact in law here that it has been established that there has been continuity of possession and that there is no evidence of tampering and I am satisfied that this exhibit should be admitted.

So item "B" will become exhibit P-10.

(Clerk marks bag and contents exhibit P-10.)

- MR. ALLMAN: I am going to show you what has now become exhibit P-10 and ask you to just repeat, so we know exactly what it is we're talking about, what you did in order to perform a test upon that item.
- A. Repetition of what I just explained?
- Q. You indicated something about taking bits off, teasing things apart, or something.
- A. Inside the tube there was a cotton-tipped swab. I removed I cut off a small portion of the cotton batting, whatever, placed that on a microscope slide, added some distilled water, teased the threads apart so that any material that was attached to the threads or absorbed by the threads could float freely into the water, and examined that microscopically.
 - Q. And you indicated the procedure that you used for that and that you were looking for what?
 - A. Spermatozoa.
 - Q. And what was the result of your examination?
 - A. I did find spermatozoa to be present in the material which I removed from court exhibit P-10.
- Q. And, again, I think you sort of indicated this but just for those of us who are not too up on these things, what is the relationship of spermatozoa to semen?

- A. Spermatozoa are the cellular constituents of seminal fluid. They are the actual reproductive cells which carry the genetic information of the male.
 - Q. After you had completed your test upon exhibit 10 what did you do with it?
 - A. On court exhibit P-10?
 - Q. Yes.

- A. I retained this exhibit in my sole possession until such time as I turned it over to Constable Robin

 Britt at the Forensic Laboratory in Sackville on the 7th of July, 1989.
 - Q. I am going to show you now an item that's been marked for identification, identification "C". Can you tell me anything about that, please?
- A. Court exhibit "C" is a second or was a second cotton-tipped swab which I received on the 1st of June, 1989 from Gary Verrett of the Forensic Laborator
- Q. Did you do anything with -- Well, I'll just ask
 you one other thing. Item "C" contains an exhibit
 number, that is to say a non-court exhibit number.
 - A. 1-I.
 - Q. Did you perform any tests upon item "C" for identification?
- A. No, I did not, sir.
 - Q. What did you ultimately do with "C" for identificatio
 - A. Item "C" I retained in my sole possession until such time as I turned it over to Constable Robin Britt at the Forensic Laboratory on the 7th of July, 1989.
- Q. I show you now "D" for court identification which contains a non-court exhibit number of what?
 - A. 1-J.

S. Lumgair - direct.

- 1 Q. What can you tell us about "C" for identification, your number 1-J?
 - Court exhibit "D" was a third swab which I had re-Α. ceived on the 1st of June, 1989 from Gary Verrett at the Forensic Laboratory in Sackville. I did not examine this exhibit. I retained it in my sole possession until I turned it over to Constable Robin Britt at the Forensic Laboratory on the 7th of July, 1989.
- 10 Q. If I understand right, and I'm going to summarize what I understand your evidence to be and tell me if I am wrong, you received "B", "C" and "D". "B" is now an exhibit but it's easier to call them "B", "C" and "D" for the moment. You received "B", "C" and 15 "D"?
 - Α. Yes.
 - And you performed tests looking for spermatozoa on Q. "B" alone?
 - Yes, sir. Α.
 - Why did you not also perform tests on "C" and "D"? Q.
- Α. As I understood it, as I had been told, the three swabs were reportedly removed from the same area, point of origin as you will. If I found seminal fluid on one that was sufficient for my purposes. 25 It was also indicated at the time that there might be a possible examination at a later point for DNA analysis in which case I did not want to use any more material than absolutely necessary.
- MR. ALLMAN: Thank you. I have no further questions at this time.

THE COURT: Mr. Furlotte.

271DB

5

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FURLOTTE:

- Q. Miss Lumgair you said you performed tests on "B" alone and not the other two swabs, "C" and "D".
- A. That's correct.
- 6 O. What about police exhibit items number 46-D and 46-E?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Could you tell the court what those items were?
- A. Yes, I can. May I refer to my notes, please, sir?

 I am going to refer to notes that I made at the time
 of my examination. They are notes that were made in
 my own handwriting which have not been altered since
 that time.

Court exhibits 46-D and E were also two swabs that I had received. These were reportedly from the dead victim, Annie Flam, the first being of vaginal origin, the second being of anal origin. I was asked to examine both of those for the presence of seminal fluid. I did not find seminal fluid on either.

- Q. But they were examined?
- A. Yes.

25

- O. And there was no seminal fluid?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you attempt to get any blood groupings off any of the swabs?
- A. Me? No, sir.
 - Q. Do you -- is it customary for you to do that? To perform those tests?
- A. I have not had the time to learn the ELIZA procedure which would allow me to do that, therefore, it has been forwarded to Ottawa where they have the capability already. That is where it has been done.

45 3025 (4/85)

- Q. So you have never done that in your lab before?
 - A. Me personally? No, sir, I have not.
 - Q. Have you checked any other items for blood groupings?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. What would you call that test?
 - A. Which test? Checking items for blood?
 - O. Yes.
 - A. Well, there's a number of procedures involved.
 - Q. Is that also referred to as the ELI2A test?
- A. There is an ELIZA test, yes, but no, the ELIZA is a procedure that is currently used for determining the ABO blood grouping of certain body fluids and it's also being used, or will be used in the future for P3O, seminal fluid identification. A great many other uses for ELIZA --
 - Q. But you do use that test for say on blood samples?
 - A. No, sir, I do not.
 - Q. You do not?
- A. No.
 - Q. Exhibit 46-B3 --
 - A. Yes
 - Q. -- which I believe was was that a vial of blood from Annie Flam?
- A. Reportedly from Annie Flam, yes, sir.
 - Q. And what test did you perform on that?
 - A. I did four blood groupings on that.
 - Q. And exhibit 6 and 7, they were what cloths?
 - A. One was a piece of sheet and one was a piece of mattress.
 - Q. And what test did you perform on that?

- I was asked to examine both of those articles for the presence of human blood as well as for the presence of seminal fluid. I did not find seminal fluid. There was human blood on both materials. On the sheet I did find a PGM or I was able to do a PGM, one of the enzymes, and that was the same as the PGM found in the human blood from Annie Flam which indicated that they could have come from the same source. I tried to type the blood that had been on the piece of mattress in all of the systems a number of times, unsuccessfully.
 - Q. When I read your report under the purpose, paragraph number 2, it states: "To examine exhibits 2, 5, 6, 7, 12, 15A, 15B, 16A and 16B for the presence of human blood and to type any blood found in the above blood group systems.".
 - A. That's correct.

20

- Q. How is that different from the ELIZA test? How is that test different?
- A. Entirely different. As I said before, I can't --
- Q. Is it because one is seminal fluid and the other is r sults from blood stains or --
- A. No, not necessarily, sir. Not necessarily. I

 mentioned earlier that I have not had time to learn
 the ElIZA procedure. What I say is not necessarily
 entirely accurate. At the present time the ELIZA
 procedure can be used for the typing in the ABO,
 Lewis and the antigenics of some body fluids. It is
 not used for blood.

MR. FURLOTTE: I have no further questions. MR.

10

15

- MR. ALLMAN: I have no reexamination. May this witness be stood aside?
 - THE COURT: Yes, you are stood aside. You shouldn't, of course, discuss this aspect of your testimony with anyone until after all your testimony is complete.
 - MR. ALLMAN: My next witness, My Lord, is J. R. Robichaud.

JOSEPH RAYMOND ROBICHAUD, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

J. R. Robichaud - direct.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLMAN:

- Q. Could you state your name and occupation, please?
- A. My full name is Joseph Raymond Robichaud. I am employed as a civilian member with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. I am stationed at the forensic laboratory in Sackville, New Brunswick. I am a member of the Toxicology Section.
- Q. For the benefit of the jury in case any of them don't know, what does toxicology mean?
- A. Toxicology is the science that pertains to the study
 or the examinations of various body fluids or other
 materials for the presence of drugs and for poisons
 that may be present.
 - Q. How long have you been employed in that capacity?
- A. I have been employed as a civilian member for the

 past 15 years at which point or upon entering the
 laboratory I began and successfully completed an under
 study program, 14 months in duration, in various
 aspects of toxicological examinations. I successfully
 completed this understudy program and was qualified
 as a specialist on the 1st of November, 1977.
 - Q. Have you given evidence in court in your capacity as a toxicologist?

- Yes, I have. I have given evidence in the Province of New Brunswick at Coroners' Inquests, in Provincial Court and at Court of Queen's Bench.
- MR. ALLMAN: My Lord I understand there is no objection to Mr. Robichaud being declared an expert in the field of toxicology.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: That's correct My Lord.

THE COURT: I so declare.

- MR. ALLMAN: I just show you now an item that has been

 marked "E" for identification. Would you take a

 look at that, please, and tell us what you can about

 it and its contents?
 - A. These exhibits which are in this plastic bag marked item "E" as court exhibit were received personally from Constable Robin Britt on the 1st of June, 1989 at the laboratory in Sackville, New Brunswick.
 - Q. Received by yourself?
 - A. Received by myself.
- Q. And the contents of that envelope consist of that plastic envelope consist of what?
 - A. It consists of two vials of blood.
 - Q. What, if anything, did you do with those two vials of blood?
- A. These vials were submitted to various examinations.

 The first one that was performed was for the presence of carbon monoxide. I also examined for the presence of cyanide, and then I did an examination for the presence of drugs.
- Q. Did you do that with both the vials or just one?
 - A. On both of them.

- Q. In the course of doing that I presume that both of those vials contained a substance within them?
 - A. These vials were reportedly containing blood which reportedly came from Annie Flam.
- 5 Q. When you had completed your tests on these two vials was there any blood left in the first and blood left in the second or what was the situation?
 - A. One of the vials, vial marked number 1, was empty when I transferred it to Jean Claude Landry of the Alcohol Section of the R.C.M.P. Forensic Lab.
 - Q. What had caused vial number 1 to be empty?
 - A. It was used up in my examinations.
- MR. ALLMAN: My Lord we have a minor logistical problem

 here in that those two items are contained in the

 one exhibit thing and the second of them goes on to

 Jean Claude Landry. I am just wondering whether it

 is necessary to call Jean Claude Landry as to continuity or could we have them entered as exhibits on

 our undertaking that the next witness we will call

 will be Jean Claude Landry. I just don't want to

 put this witness on, take him off, and put him back

 on again.
- THE COURT: Well, again, was it you, Mr. Walsh, who covered
 the succession of possession of these items? This
 came from Doctor Losier?
- MR. WALSH: No, this would have came if you remember My

 Lord, and members of the jury, Constable LeFebvre

 attended at the autopsy in Saint John, he was

 present when Doctor MacKay took three vials of blood

 purportedly from Annie Flam, and he transferred those
 three vials of blood to Constable Robin Britt who

- transferred them to civilian member Mr. Robichaud.

 That is my understanding of the testimony to this point in time and at this point, and I would think that would complete the chain of the continuity subject to what my learned colleague has indicated that one of the vials was transferred to the Alcohol Section, and that would be a simple continuity point.
 - THE COURT: Was it examined after it was transferred to the --
- MR. ALLMAN: The empty vial which is in there was, I understand, not examined; the second vial was sent on to be examined by J.C. Landry of Alcohol and he will be my next witness.
- THE COURT: Do you wish the other witness to complete this

 call Mr. Furlotte? It's your privilege.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: I don't see any problem with Mr. Allman's request My Lord.
 - THE COURT: Well you are requesting that this be entered in as --
 - MR. ALLMAN: I am requesting that that object containing the two vials, numbers 1 and 2, be entered at this time as an exhibit.
 - THE COURT: Has this been marked for identification?
- MR. ALLMAN: It has been marked "E" for identification.
 - THE COURT: So "E" becomes P-11.
 - (Clerk marks Ident "E", plastic bag with 2 vials, Exhibit #P-ll.)
 - MR. ALLMAN: As I say, that contains two vials which are marked 1 and 2 so I guess it's E-11 sub (1) and E-11 sub (2).
 - THE COURT: E-11(1) and (2). All right.

- MR. ALLMAN: I am showing you the item now marked court exhibit P-ll and with regard to those items you indicate that you performed tests looking for what?
- A. I performed tests to look for the presence of carbon monoxide. Carbon monoxide is a gas. It is produced in the combustion process of various organic materials including wood or carpet or let's say gasoline or other materials that burn.
 - Q. What about a house fire?
 - A. Including a house fire.
 - Q. And what was the -- I don't propose to ask this witness to go into the technical details unless my learned friend wants me to as to what procedures he performed. I'm just going to get to the bottom line. You performed a number of tests. What was the result of those tests in terms of looking for carbon monoxide?
- For the examination for the presence of carbon monoxide there was a normal or background level. 20 There was no appreciable carbon monoxide present in the blood that I examined. Now appreciable is I mean that the normal or background level - it's normal in every person that is alive. The blood in a normal living person, the hemoglobin which is the 25 oxygen-carrying agents in the body, are broken down over a period of time and there is a slight amount of carbon monoxide produced and this is in the order of 1 to 4% in all persons that are alive. In a person that has been exposed to any amount of carbon 30 monoxide the level quickly rises at which point a level in the order of 15 to 35% can be considered toxic.

- Q. Sorry, you were dropping your voice there and I couldn't catch it. What were the levels that's considered toxic?
- A. The levels that are considered toxic are anything in the order of 15 to 35%. Levels that are considered lethal can be anything in the order of 45 or 50% or greater and considered life-threatening or lethal.
- Q. Lethal is another word for life-threatening I take it?
 - A. For life-threatening or for death.
 - Q. Toxic is another word for?
- A. Toxic is where side effects which may appear include muscle weakness, it could include headaches, it could include flushing of the skin, disorientation.
 - Q. And in the case of this particular blood that you analyzed did the levels approach either toxic or lethal?
- A. No. The level was within the normal therapeutic or background level.
 - Q. In a living breathing person exposed to carbon monoxide gas how does the carbon monoxide get into the system?
- A. The carbon monoxide when a person is alive is brought in or breathed in through the lungs. It is then it then quickly binds with the hemoglobin at which point it forms carboxyhemoglobin and at that point the carboxyhemoglobin does not permit oxygen to bind to that protein and therefore that hemoglobin protein is useless for carrying oxygen, and if sufficient amounts of these hemoglobin proteins are bound to

45 3025 (4 85)

- carbon monoxide it is at this time that the symptoms of say or death can occur.
 - Q. Correct me if I am wrong but it's absorbed in that fashion when the person breathes?
- 5 A. Yes, the person has to be alive.
 - Q. That was the next question I was going to ask. What about a dead, non-breathing person? Is there a process by which the carbon monoxide gets into their system?
- 10 A. No, it is not absorbed.
 - Q. You also indicated, I think, that you were looking for some other substances besides carbon monoxide. What was that?
- Α. I looked for the presence of cyanide and cyanide is 15 a substance which, again, can be present in the burning process involved, as you mentioned, as a burning house or other combustible materials. It is present in certain materials such as perhaps mattresses or carpets or various types of carpets. 20 I can't give you specific names but it may be present in household items or various items found in the home. When this material burns or is involved in the combustion process the cyanide is released from that material and floats freely in the air. If a 25 person is involved or is in the midst of that smoke or that burning process then the cyanide would be inhaled along with any carbon monoxide that may be present and this cyanide would then bind to the hemoglobin molecule or the hemoglobin protein and again 30 prevent oxygen from being carried by that protein.

- cross.

- Q. In your analysis of these particular items what levels, if any, of cyanide did you find present?
 - A. The level of cyanide that I found in the vials of blood that I examined was, again, normal background.
- ⁵ Q. Would it approach in any way either toxic or lethal?
 - A. It would not.
 - Q. Is there a process -- You indicated it was absorbed by breathing I gather?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Is there a process for which a dead, non-breathing person would absorb cyanide?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you do any tests looking for any other drugs?
- A. Yes. I did an examination for the presence of drugs and this examination was found to be negative or no drugs were detected in the exhibits that I examined.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Thank you. I have no further questions.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FURLOTTE:

- Q. Mr. Robichaud I also understand that you have conducted tests for common drugs?
 - A. Well, common drugs or drugs.
 - Q. Or drugs.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what was the results of those tests?
 - A. Those were negative. No common drugs were detected.
 - Q. No common drugs were used. Now, I also see in your report that you mention there's a lot of drugs that are not included as common drugs and you list some of them.
 - A. Yes, that is correct. I may list them --

- Q. Would you list them again, please?
 - A. We do not -- when we perform an examination for the presence of drugs we do not examine for antibiotics. Antibiotics would be agents, pharmaceutical
- preparations, that would be used to cure some sort of infection for example. We don't examine for the presence of metals. Metals could include anything from lead to cadmium to all sorts of metals. We don't examine for the presence of hormones which a
- person may receive to correct let's say biological deficiencies. We don't examine for the presence or in this particular case I should say, I did not
- examine for the presence of lysergides. Now lysergides is the family of drugs that includes LSD or

 15
 lysergic acid diethylamide. I did not examine for
 - the presence of cannabinoids. Cannabinoids, again, is the family of drugs which includes or which people would know as marihuana, or cannabis marihuana.
- And I did not examine for the presence of cardiac glycosides. Now these agents would be used to such as Digoxin which would be used by persons suffering from perhaps certain heart diseases. We do not examine for that.
- Q. So basically when you say you checked for common drugs what are some of the common drugs that it would include?
- A. Common drugs that it would include would be, for example, nicotine and caffeine which everyone is,

 I'm sure, familiar with. It would include drugs such as antidepressants. It would include the benzodiaze-pine family which would include such drugs as

 Valium and Librium and Serax and Halcion. It would

J.R. Robichaud - cross. J.C. Landry - direct.

One moment.

- include barbiturates. It would include opiates such as codeine and morphine. It would also include a Beta blocker such as Inderal which is propranolol. It would include antihistamines such as Gravol or diphenhydramine which is the generic name for that.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: I think that would be sufficient for my purposes Mr. Robichaud. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Reexamination?

- MR. ALLMAN: No, My Lord. May this witness be stood aside?

 THE COURT: Stood aside, yes. You hadn't indicated that

 but he will be called again?
 - MR. ALLMAN: He will be recalled again.

It would also include --

- THE COURT: So you are stood aside Mr. Robichaud and you shouldn't discuss this aspect of your testimony with anyone, including crown counsel, until all of your testimony is completed.
- MR. ALLMAN: My next witness, and I don't think he'll be very long, about the same length as the last witness, is Jean Claude Landry.

<u>JEAN CLAUDE LANDRY</u>, called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLMAN:

- Q. Would you state your name and occupation to the court, please?
 - A. My name is Jean Claude Landry. I am a civilian member employed by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police as an Alcohol Specialist at the R.C.M.P. Forensic Lab in Sackville, New Brunswick.
 - Q. How long have you been employed as an Alcohol Specialist?

- A. I joined the Royal Canadian Mounted Police January

 5th, 1982 where I successfully completed an under
 study program in the Alcohol Section of the Forensic

 Lab.
- 5 Q. In that capacity what sort of functions do you perform?
 - A. My duties include doing analysis of body fluids in order to determine if any, the presence of alcohol, as well as other similar volatiles.
- 10 Q. Body fluids would include blood?
 - A. Would include blood, urine, vitreous humor which is liquid from the eye.
 - Q. So that's one of the things you have been doing since you joined the Alcohol Section?
- 15 A. That's right.
 - Q. And have you been declared an expert in the courts before, entitled to give opinion evidence in the area of alcohol testing?
- A. I was allowed to give opinion evidence as well as

 my findings on analyses that I performed as I'm also
 designated as an analyst by the Attorney-General of
 the Province of New Brunswick.
- MR. ALLMAN: I understand there's no objection to Jean

 Claude Landry being declared an expert in the field

 of analysis of human blood for alcohol and opinion

 evidence thereon.
 - MR. FURLOTTE: That is correct, My Lord.
 - THE COURT: I declare him such an expert. If you were in private practice you could charge double fees.
 - MR. ALLMAN: I would show you now what has become exhibit P-ll, two vials of blood, allegedly two vials of

45-3025 (4 65)

10

20

- blood purporting to come from Annie Flam. Can you tell me anything about those items, please?
 - A. Yes, on the 14th day of July, 1989 I received a white envelope brought personally from Raymond Robichaud.
 - Q. The previous witness?
 - A. Previous witness. The white envelope contained two vials of blood, one of whom was empty and the other one was reported to contain blood taken from Annie Flam.
 - Q. And with regard to the one that was not empty and still contained some contents what did you proceed to do with that?
- A. I analyzed it to see if it contained any alcohol or similar volatiles.
 - MR. ALLMAN: Again, I don't propose to go through the technical process unless Mr. Furlotte wishes me to do so. I simply propose to come to the bottom line. What, if any, alcohol did you find to be present in the blood that you analyzed?
 - A. There was no alcohol found to be present in the exhibit that I analyzed.
- Q. Did you find anything else? You indicated that alcohol wasn't the only thing you might have been looking for. Did you find anything else?
 - A. I found a trace amount of acetaldehyde. A trace amount meaning a very small amount. I believe the concentration was 3 milligrams percent, milligrams of acetaldehyde per 100 milliliters of blood.
 - Q. What is acetaldehyde?

J.C. Landry - direct.

- A. Acetaldehyde is a volatile liquid that can be naturally produced by the body. It can -- It's normally present in a very small amount in the body. It can also be present in a higher concentration, especially if there was alcohol present because alcohol or ethanol alcohol breaks down into acetalde
 - alcohol or ethanol alcohol breaks down into acetaldehyde which in turn breaks down into acetic acid and carbon dioxide so it's a breakdown product of alcohol.
- Q. Irrespective of alcohol -- You indicated you found no alcohol. Irrespective of alcohol did I understand you to say that acetaldehyde is a naturally-occurring substance in the human body in small amounts?
- A. That's correct, in very small amounts. It can also be found in cigarette smoke or --
 - Q. What about the amount that you found in this particular sample? How would you describe that?
- A. The amount that I found was 3 milligrams percent.

 It's considered a trace amount. It's not a large
 amount. To indicate the source I don't know really
 what the source could be.

MR. ALLMAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Cross-examination Mr. Furlotte.

25 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FURLOTTE:

Q. Mr. Landry, however you pronounce it - sorry, I'm not an educated man --

THE COURT: Acetaldehyde, is it?

MR. FURLOTTE: Would you pronounce that again, please?

30 A. Acetaldehyde.

- Now, you said that the body normally produces small amounts and some can be found in cigarette smoke.

 Is that substance also in some drugs that a person might take?
- I'm not sure. I couldn't really tell. I doubt that it would be used as a solvent for some drugs because in larger amounts it can be toxic to the body.

 Actually, for individuals that are alcoholics and you want to prevent them from drinking they can be prescribed a substance which causes the alcohol to be broken down into acetaldehyde and elevated amounts of acetaldehyde renders the individual sick so it would prevent the individual from drinking.
- Q. So you mentioned one method would be through cigarette smoke. Any other methods?
 - A. There is scientific literature indicates that there's acetaldehyde present in cigarette smoke in fairly large quantities.
- Q. But you don't know of anything else?
 - A. To my knowledge, no. Those are the three main ways of introduction in the body. Naturally produced or if there is alcohol it's a breakdown product of alcohol or probably by cigarette smoke.
- Q. Now testing the blood for alcohol content, what effect would time have on when you conducted your test as to whenever the alcohol went in the blood as to when you take the test?
- A. It's possible that with time some alcohol could be lost, especially if there is very long periods of time that elapsed since the taking of the blood sample and the analysis.

45-3025 14-851

- Q. And I suppose the obvious question is what do you consider a long period of time?
 - A. I don't know. In excess of --
 - O. A month?
- 5 A. -- 3 months, or 4 or 5 months, a year, couple of years.
 - Q. That would be a long time. But what would be the shortest period, let's put it that way?
- A. Actually, it depends on how large on how big the

 quantity of alcohol was present in the vial, and
 actually the larger the amount of alcohol that was
 present the more likely or the more it would tend
 to lose, and as well on how full the vial was. Like
 the less there is in the vial like alcohol can
 evaporate into vapor and can escape around the
 stopper. So if the vial is really full it's going
 to lose less and if it's more towards the empty you
 might lose more.
- Q. What about other possible drugs that may have been in the blood that maybe you were looking for?
- A. Okay. Like when I say I look for the presence of alcohol I mean ethanol alcohol which is alcohol from the liquor stores. I also look for methanol alcohol which is found in gasline antifreeze or it's methylhydrate, wood alcohol. I also look for rubbing alcohol which is the isopropyl alcohol. I look for acetone which can be found on untreated diabetics. Ethyl acetate which can be found in glue or nail polish remover. These are the most common ones that I would normally look for.

45 3025 (4 85)

MR. FURLOTTE: That's fine. No further questions.

MR. ALLMAN: No re-examination. May this witness be excused? He is not stood aside.

THE COURT: I think if I were a jury member hearing your evidence and I smoked I would give up cigarettes immediately. You are excused, thank you.

(Discussion re adjournment.)

THE COURT: So we will adjourn now and, again, I warn the
jury please don't discuss the case with people unduly.
If you heard it on television don't believe everythink you hear. You have been in court. You are
in a much better position to know what has been done
than anybody on the outside.

(ADJOURNED - 4:30 P.M.)

TO

SEPTEMBER 5, 1991, 9:30.)

20

15

25